**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION:** Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Dudek

**OVERALL RANKING: 1** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

# I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 97

Vendor #1 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	Total Weighted	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	9.6	10
Firm Qualifications Staffing (Experience of key	25	25
individuals) Understanding and	25	25
Methodology	14	15
Reference Check	5	5
Interview	14	15
Local Preference Check	4.4	5
Total	97	100

# II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

 The city is familiar with Dudek because of their past work history with Public works capital improvement projects, Dudek have provided satisfactory performance with the past projects with Public Works Departement.

# **VENDOR #1 – Summary of Review**

• Vendor #1 has worked with the City of Huntington Beach on past projects and has performed satisfactory work.

# VENDOR #1 - Pricing

- Low end from \$110/Hr for Construction Inspector I
- High end to \$195/Hr for Principal/Manager in Construction

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION:** Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Ardurra Group

**OVERALL RANKING: 2** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

# I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

• Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 95.75

Vendor #2 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	<b>Total Weighted</b>	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	9	10
Firm Qualifications	25	25
Staffing (Experience of key individuals) Understanding and	22.5	25
Methodology	14.25	15
Reference Check	5	5
Interview	10	15
Local Preference Check	5	5
Total	95.75	100

# II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

# VENDOR #2 - Summary of Review

 Ardurra has on-call construction management and inspection contracts with the City of Anaheim, City of Lake Forest, and City of Long Beach. They are well versed in local agency public works projects, especially horizontal construction.

#### **VENDOR #2 – Pricing**

- Low end from \$120/Hr for Labor Compliance
- High end to \$230/Hr for Principal-in-Charge or CASp Inspector

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION:** Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Wallace and Associates

**OVERALL RANKING: 3** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

### I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

• Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 95.75

Vendor #3 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	Total Weighted	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	9	10
Firm Qualifications Staffing (Experience of key	23.75	25
individuals) Understanding and	23.75	25
Methodology	14.25	15
Reference Check	10	10
Interview	15	15
Local Preference Check	0	5
Total	95.75	100

# II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

 The city is familiar with Wallace and Associates as they have previously acted as On-Call CM in various contracts, including the current federalized on-call CM.
 They have a history of high performance on City projects.

### **VENDOR #3 – Summary of Review**

 Wallace and Associates has worked with the City of Huntington Beach on past projects and has performed excellent work. Their proposal for the new contracts is comprehensive and their qualifications excellent.

# VENDOR #3 - Pricing

- Low end from \$84/Hr for Administrative Support
- High end to \$200/Hr for Project Principal / Project Manager

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION:** Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** RMA Group

**OVERALL RANKING: 4** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

### I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 95

Vendor #4 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	Total Weighted	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	10	10
Firm Qualifications	24	25
Staffing (Experience of key individuals) Understanding and	25	25
Methodology	13	15
Reference Check	4	5
Interview	14	15
Local Preference Check	5	5
Total	95	100

# II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

RMA group, vendor #4 has conducted similar scope type Construction
 Management tasks for other city and county jurisdictions, LA metro rail projects.
 RMA has conducted similar CM work in the past within the size and scope of
 upcoming city's CIP program, and have completed both vertical and horizontal
 construction management tasks, and site inspections at the same capacity for
 other city and county jurisdictions of a similar and or larger size, with successful
 project deliveries and outcome.

# **VENDOR #4 – Summary of Review**

 Vendor #4 has worked with other City and or county jurisdiction in the past on similar type scope projects and has performed satisfactory work with assigned projects.

# VENDOR #4 - Pricing

- Low end from \$95/Hr for Administrative
- High end to \$200/Hr for Project Manager

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Arcadis

**OVERALL RANKING: 5** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

# I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 94.5

Vendor #5 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	Total Weighted	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	9	10
Firm Qualifications	23.75	25
Staffing (Experience of key individuals) Understanding and	22.5	25
Methodology	14.25	15
Reference Check	5	5
Interview	15	15
Local Preference Check	5	5
		T
Total	94.5	100

# II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

# **VENDOR #5 – Summary of Review**

 Arcadis has performed well as inspectors and as schedule managers for City construction projects in the past. They have expertise in water and sewer projects, and in Primavera P6 scheduling software.

#### **VENDOR #5 – Pricing**

- Low end from \$165.84/Hr for Construction Manager
- High end to \$286.75/Hr for Principal-in-Charge / Senior Construction Manager

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION:** Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Totum Corp

**OVERALL RANKING: 6** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

# I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

• Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 93

Vendor #6 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	Total Weighted	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	7	10
Firm Qualifications	25	25
Staffing (Experience of key individuals) Understanding and	22.5	25
Methodology	4.5	15
Reference Check	10	10
Interview	15	15
Local Preference Check	5	5
Total	93	100

# II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

- The city is familiar with Totum Corp because of their past work history with the city parks projects.
- Totum Corp's references provided favorable reviews, and has a history of work relevant to the City's CIP.

# **VENDOR #6 – Summary of Review**

 Totum Corp has worked with the City of Huntington Beach on past projects and has performed satisfactory work on assigned projects.

### **VENDOR #6 - Pricing**

- Low end from \$70/Hr for Office Clerical / Admin
- High end to \$175/Hr for Principal / Sr. CM / Sr. PM

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION:** Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Berg & Associates

**OVERALL RANKING: 7** out of 25

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

# I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

• Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 92.25

Vendor #7 <u>– Minimum Qualifications Review</u>		
	<b>Total Weighted</b>	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	8	10
Firm Qualifications	22.5	25
Staffing (Experience of key individuals) Understanding and	22.5	25
Methodology	14.25	15
Reference Check	5	5
Interview	15	15
Local Preference Check	5	5
Total	92.25	100

# II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

# **VENDOR #7 – Summary of Review**

 Berg has an extensive staff of construction managers and inspectors. They currently have CM and inspection on-call contracts with the cities of Los Angeles and Santa Monica.

### **VENDOR #7 – Pricing**

- Low end from \$77.42/Hr for Document Control, or \$116.13/Hr for Public Outreach
- High end to \$220.81/Hr for Senior Resident Engineer

**SERVICE:** On-Call Construction Management Services

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Construction and inspection support for City's annual Capital

Improvement Program projects.

**VENDOR:** Psomas

**OVERALL RANKING: 8** out of 25

# SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Contract Administrator 2. Contract Administrator 3. Contract Administrator

### I. <u>MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW</u>

Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 92

Vendor #8 - Minimum Qualifications Review		
	<b>Total Weighted</b>	<u>Maximum</u>
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	9	10
Firm Qualifications	21	25
Staffing (Experience of key individuals) Understanding and	25	25
Methodology	14	15
Reference Check	5	5
Interview	13	15
Local Preference Check	5	5
Total	92	100

# II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

Psomas have conducted similar type projects with other city and county
jurisdiction, they have the capacity of in-house Construction Management staff as
well as Engineering staff to perform and provide services as needed in the
capacity of the CM firm, they also provide as needed on-call engineering services
for the current FY2021-23 as needed Engineering on-call 3 years contract with
HB City.

# **VENDOR #8 – Summary of Review**

 Vendor #8 has worked with other City jurisdiction on the same type of project scope and has performed satisfactory work, in addition Psomas is City's on call

#### **VENDOR #8 - Pricing**

- Low end from \$95/Hr for Administrative Support
- High end to \$260/Hr for Project Director / Principal-in-Charge