

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:47 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

Avazian

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrea <Yakn2@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 9:56 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi, I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

Before I offer the reasons why this agenda item would be a complete disaster for our suburban beach community, I wish to address the very presence of this agenda item and how it "arrived on the agenda" which I believe to be HIGHLY suspect and suggests to me that either staff or certain council members have manipulated the process. I don't make this comment lightly. I realize, without question, that this is a serious accusation. If not manipulation, possibly malfeasance or, at the VERY LEAST it is the VERY ESSENCE why many citizens have developed a deep distrust of staff and some elected officials.

First as someone who was at the Sept 3 meeting... From the minutes:

"A motion was made by Posey second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Clearly, Agenda Item 19 calls for a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our suburban beach community and quality of life. How on earth did this agenda item evolve from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds"???? At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT which takes away ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

SB 2 is a complete and total assault on everything that our City Attorney fought to protect, not to mention that acquiescing on SB2 will likely undermine all our legal efforts fought to retain local control....quite possibly causing irreparable damage. Further, we will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Again, I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.

Thank you

Andrea D Avazian

1407 Applecross Ln

Huntington Beach

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:24 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: No on 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Jerry Barry <jbatqma@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:06 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: No on 19

City Council Mtg 11/4/19

NO on agenda #19

Please don't throw away the progress we have made to preserve our small beach community by relinquishing our right to control and limit our city housing and zoning.

Please Vote NO on agenda #19

Jerry Barry - 55 year resident

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:24 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: No on Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Rita Barry <rrbarry15@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:15 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: No on Agenda Item 19

Mayor Peterson and Council members, regarding the
City Council Mtg on 11/4/19

Please vote NO on agenda item 19.

We as a Charter city need to be in control and Not allow
Sacramento or the government to mandate for us!! Rita
Barry 55 year resident!!

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:10 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda 19

AGENDA COMMENT

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Benedetto <lbedetto2@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:09 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda 19

I oppose this agenda. We have ENOUGH TRAFFIC AND CONGESTION IN HUNTINGTON BEACH !!!!!

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:37 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: csmk4ucla <csmk4ucla@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:52 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members,

We urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, WE URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

Sincerely,

Stephanie and Craig Billington

Concerned Huntington Beach Residents

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Jill <jillc38@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 5:45 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: opposition to 19-1904

Mayor Peterson & fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

I'm a bit confused with the item and its timing. According to the minutes of the 9/3 City Council meeting, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

A key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

Someone needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Staff and Council member Posey knew full well that Mayor Peterson would be out of town, advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of HD development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? This was no coincidence. The very least each of you can do is postpone the item until the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present. I am against the actual agenda item because if it's approved it will:

1) change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. Everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) take away all discretionary review of every project in this list from you and the citizens of our city. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love Huntington. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time.

Jill Bonk

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:54 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item 19. NO!

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Sylvia Calhoun <skc347@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:53 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 19. NO!

I oppose.

PLEASE give us a respite from constant encroachments of high density and from the dictates of Sacramento!

Sylvia Calhoun

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:41 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Chrisman <lindachrisman@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:39 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members, Please do not vote in favor of increasing housing density in Huntington Beach. Our family has lived here since 1977 and value the character of our wonderful family-oriented city. We don't want to resemble Los Angeles and New York with their huge apartment complexes that loom up in the sky. Traffic and parking will become a nightmare and our beautiful Surf City will be gone. We ask you to vote against agenda item 19 which takes away control of housing and allows the state and Gavin Newsom to dictate how we live. Our governor couldn't clean up San Francisco and he is trying to tell us how to run our city! Say no to Governor Newsom and his followers.

Sincerely,
Linda and Gary Chrisman
17122 Camelot Circle
Huntington Beach, CA 92649
Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: janet doe <jttimeout@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 5:04 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: 19-1904

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As We read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

We believe , one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult our intelligence and tell us its a coincidence. Quite frankly this seems to be SOP in politicslate friday, holiday votes etc & why DT was elected. Voters are tired of this type stuff!!!!

This MUST be postponed till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

I am against this agenda item because;

1)It will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) It Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) Finally, It will take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

PLEASE VOTE NO on 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Sincerely
Janet Doe
23 year resident

PS i voted for Posey & Delgleize in the past & these actions have really caused me to regret those votes.

Sent from my iPhone

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:47 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

-----Original Message-----

From: **janet doe** <jttimeout@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 8:12 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 19

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As We read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

We believe , one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult our intelligence and tell us its a coincidence. Quite frankly this seems to be SOP in politicslate friday, holiday votes etc & why DT was elected. Voters are tired of this type stuff!!!!

This MUST be postponed till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

I am against this agenda item because;

1) It will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) It Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) Finally, It will take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

PLEASE VOTE NO on Agenda item 19!!

Sincerely
Janet Doe
23 year resident

PS i voted for Posey & Delgleize in the past & these actions have really caused me to regret those votes.

Sent from my iPhone

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:46 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item #19-1904

AGENDA COMMENT

Donnelly

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim <kime106@optonline.net>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 6:36 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: gatesforhb@gmail.com
Subject: Agenda Item #19-1904

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to Agenda Item #19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Honestly ladies and gentlemen, I'm confused with the item and its timing. Here's why:

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As I read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

In my mind, one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult my intelligence and tell me it was a coincidence. This city knows better than that. If you aren't going to comply with my first request, the very least each of you can do is postpone the item till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

If you should choose to ignore both requests, please allow me to articulate why I am against the actual agenda item. This item, if approved:

1) Will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. As I said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) Finally, take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Agenda item #19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time. I know many residents are observing this situation and how each of you handle this Agenda Item #19-1904.

Sincerely,
Kim Donnelly

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:29 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW:

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Sparky Ferguson <terrsparky42@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:51 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject:

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Work Order: #204103

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/2019
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Wait to vote on the SB2 funds until all council members are present!

By : Helena Foutz
Email : rhfoutz@verizon.net
Phone : 714-373-0077
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:07 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #204103 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Helena, Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 8:50 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #204103 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 8:50 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #204103 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Switzer, Donna

From: Jean Garren <bun44@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 7:31 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: 19-1904 to city council

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Honestly ladies and gentlemen, I'm confused with the item and its timing. Here's why:

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As I read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

In my mind, one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult my intelligence and tell me it was a coincidence. This city knows better than that. If you aren't going to comply with my first request, the very least each of you can do is postpone the item till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

If you should choose to ignore both requests, please allow me to articulate why I am against the actual agenda item. This item, if approved:

1) will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. As I said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) Finally, take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time. I wish you the best.

Jean Garren

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:50 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Susan Gary <susangaryphotos@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 6:24 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

Jon Paul Gary
Susan Warner-Gary
15772 Belfast Lane
Huntington Beach 92647

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:30 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Item 19-1904

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Joti Ghuman <jotighuman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:38 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Item 19-1904

I request the city council to oppose Item 19-1904
I request the city council to represent the best interest of the residents of this great city
This may be a financial loss for some members of the city council
We elected you to represent us and not to enrich yourself
Joti Ghuman

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:36 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19.

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Don Gibas <gibb@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:59 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19.

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

Please take this notice very serious and from a member of this community since first purchasing our home in Huntington Beach in 1967. I am a very active person in the political events concerning our city. I have been also an active member of St Simon and Jude church since its beginning which has over 4,000 members. Our kids attended and my family have been very active in most all the programs over the years. Associated with the interfaith group originally started by our Father Christian. Through all my and my wife's activities I am well known by hundreds in many circles in our city and therefore will challenge any and all those who are not willing to vote tonight as noted below.

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

Donald and Billie Gibas
714-962-9695

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19 (19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Michael Gioan <michael@digithings.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 2:46 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: I oppose item 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Dear Mayor Peterson and City Council,
Please vote down item 19-1904 for the following reasons:
From City Council minutes (9/3/2019):

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally." Why send out for a "plan" to be approved (and therefore shift responsibility on City Staff) when the qualifying parameters for SB2 are clearly spelled out in the first place?

Secondly, a decision of this importance needs to be taken in a context where all City Council is present. Sure, you'd have a quorum, but you also know that Mayor Peterson will not be able to attend this meeting. Is there an emergency? No, this can easily be tabled. Why force a vote now, knowing that you are de-facto shorting potential opposition by one important voice and vote? Why not create a substitute motion instead to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place?

This vote is a big deal as it will mark a complete reversal of significant victories in limiting the encroachment of "for short-term profit-only" HDD. It will furthermore decrease the City right of oversight and promote a myriad consequences, from automatic parking variances, and insufficient setbacks to loss of planning control. The funding gain will be minimum and will not compensate for the derived loss of property values, not to mention quality of life. If we need to spend money, let's focus on managing salaries and pensions better and if all fails, let's submit a proposal for tax increase to the public.

Again, a decision on this issue is both ill-timed and unnecessary.

Sincerely,

--

Michael M. Gioan - Proud HB resident since 1999

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:41 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: VOT NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Eileen Harris <eharris@windes.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:40 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: VOT NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

November 4, 2019

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Re: Agenda Item 19-1094 Direct staff to prepare Amendments to the Housing Element of the General Plan and to the Beach Edinger Corridor Specific Plan (BECSP) to obtain Housing and Community Development (HCD)

certification for the purpose of being eligible to apply for SB 2 Funds

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members:

I strongly urge you to OPPOSE Agenda Item 19. As you are clearly aware our residents have been actively fighting against HDD and this action will take away local control. Local control provides us with the ability to enforce parking spaces, setbacks, height limits, etc. Not only will this further destroy our community, it will also increase traffic and crime, including, bringing more homeless addicts into our area. Please stop selling out our community to developers. We do NOT have the infrastructure in place to handle anymore HDD.

As such, I STRONGLY urge you to vote NO on Agenda item 19.

Respectfully,

Eileen Harris
Huntington Beach resident

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL This communication and any accompanying documents are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon this communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client, accountant-client, or other privileges as

to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact us by replying to this message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:00 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Oppose Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: William Hennerty Jr. <billhennerty@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:57 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>; Chi, Oliver <oliver.chi@surfcity-hb.org>; Peterson, Erik <Erik.Peterson@surfcity-hb.org>; Estanislau, Robin <Robin.Estanislau@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Oppose Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi,

I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation. To have such an item brought up, when Mayor Peterson is gone speaks volumes.

This goes against everything our city attorney is fighting for. This item should be removed from the agenda until a complete investigation on how this agenda item was put on the agenda.

This also means, we will be giving approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

This council should be listening to the people. Council works for us not for their own personal causes.

This shows a complete lack of regard for the community, and shows a deep allegiance to those who received campaign donations.

The city has a big problem with transparency. City staff are not the ones making any decisions without full council.

We can do better than this.

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Thank You,

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

William Hennerty

Switzer, Donna

From: Mary Ellen Hurrell <meh.brightideas@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 3:31 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Vote NO 19-1904

I support the content of the email sent to you by Rob Pool. He articulated the issue perfectly and I share his concerns, so I give you his words again to reinforce these thoughts.

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Honestly ladies and gentlemen, I'm confused with the item and its timing. Here's why:

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As I read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

In my mind, one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult my intelligence and tell me it was a coincidence. This city knows better than that. If you aren't going to comply with my first request, the very least each of you can do is postpone the item till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

If you should choose to ignore both requests, please allow me to articulate why I am against the actual agenda item. This item, if approved:

1) will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. As I said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they

were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) Finally, take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time. I wish you the best.

Mary Ellen Hurrell
Huntington Harbour

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:44 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Jensen <tccrj45@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:37 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi, I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

Before I offer the reasons why this agenda item would be a complete disaster for our suburban beach community, I wish to address the very presence of this agenda item and how it "arrived on the agenda" which I believe to be HIGHLY suspect and suggests to me that either staff or certain council members have manipulated the process. I don't make this comment lightly. I realize, without question, that this is a serious accusation. If not manipulation, possibly malfeasance or, at the VERY LEAST it is the VERY ESSENCE why many citizens have developed a deep distrust of staff and some elected officials.

First, as someone who either watches or attends every council meeting, this agenda item struck me as odd as I also listened to the council discussion on September 3, 2019 and went back to the minutes of the meeting. From the minutes:

"A motion was made by Posey second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Clearly, Agenda Item 19 calls for a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our suburban beach community and quality of life. How on earth did this agenda item evolve from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds"???? At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT which takes away ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

SB 2 is a complete and total assault on everything that our City Attorney fought to protect, not to mention that acquiescing on SB2 will likely undermine all our legal efforts fought to retain local control....quite possibly causing

irreparable damage. Further, we will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Again, I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.
Respectfully,
Tim Jensen

Sent from my iPhone

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:45 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Stop Agenda 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Ruthie Johnson <ruthiejohnsonofhb@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:36 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Stop Agenda 19

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

Ruth E Johnson
Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Work Order: #204001

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/2019
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



By : Lisa Kemp
Email : Lisakemp@verizon.net
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Please table the vote regarding the parcels of land to satisfy SB2 requirements until all council members are present.

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:57 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #204001 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Lisa, Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:47 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #204001 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 9:47 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #204001 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Work Order: #204002

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/2019
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Please wait until all city council members are present to vote regarding the parcels of land to satisfy SB2 requirements

By : Lisa Kemp
Email : Lisakemp@verizon.net
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:58 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #204002 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Lisa, Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:46 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #204002 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 9:46 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #204002 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Work Order: #203933

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/2019
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Item 19 on 11/4 City Councils Agenda (SB -2 Funding) Considering this item involves a contentious issue regarding HB, and ties into several other issues concerning HB and the State, I respectfully request that this matter be tabled until the full council is present to insure any decision is fully considered and all voices of the council are heard and their votes counted. Thank you and all the best, William Larkin

By : William Larkin
Email : wslarkin@yahoo.com
Phone : 949-310-5118
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:55 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #203933 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
William, Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:48 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #203933 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 9:48 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #203933 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 1:11 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19 TONIGHT

AGENDA COMMENT

From: dutch@socal.rr.com <dutch@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 1:07 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19 TONIGHT

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

Roy "Dutch" Ludt
Frustrated Citizen in RD453

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:52 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Bill Martin <billemartin1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:48 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.V

Come See Us @ www.woodbadgecritters.com

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:52 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Cheryl Martynec <camartynec@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:47 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard work by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON 19

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:34 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Oppose Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: vanessa martinez <rockonbaileybailey@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:23 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>; Chi, Oliver <oliver.chi@surfcity-hb.org>; Peterson, Erik <Erik.Peterson@surfcity-hb.org>; Billhennerty <billhennerty@yahoo.com>; Estanislau, Robin <Robin.Estanislau@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Oppose Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi,

I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation. To have such an item brought up, when Mayor Peterson is gone speaks volumes.

This goes against everything our city attorney is fighting for. This item should be removed from the agenda until a complete investigation on how this agenda item was put on the agenda.

This also means, we will be giving approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

This council should be listening to the people. Council works for us not for their own personal causes.

This shows a complete lack of regard for the community, and shows a deep allegiance to those who received campaign donations.

The city has a big problem with transparency. City staff are not the ones making any decisions without full council.

We can do better than this.

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Thank You,

Agenda Item No.: 19 (19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:57 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Sharon M. <marmiejoe@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 7:57 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>; Gates, Michael <Michael.Gates@surfcity-hb.org>; Chi, Oliver <oliver.chi@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi,

I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

We will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Again, I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.

Respectfully,

Sharon Messick
9352 Molokai Dr.
Huntington Beach 92646

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: larry mcneely <lmwater@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 2:20 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Agenda Item 19-1904

I urge the city council members to set this vote for a time when all are present, you did this for Barbara Delgleize when she was not there to vote on the Metro Car Wash Project please use the same consideration for Erik Peterson.

Thank You
Larry Mc Neely

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Sharon M. <marmiejoe@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 7:57 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; Gates, Michael; Chi, Oliver
Subject: OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi,

I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

We will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Again, I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.

Respectfully,

Sharon Messick
9352 Molokai Dr.
Huntington Beach 92646

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:29 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item 19

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

AGENDA COMMENT

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

From: Jean miller <jeaniemarimiller@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:47 AM
To: Jean miller <jeaniemarimiller@gmail.com>; CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 19

Dear City Council members.

I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

This will be a total disaster for our community not to mention thousands of residents oppose it. We spent thousands of dollars on legal fees fighting this.

It is highly suspect how this even got on the agenda and suggests to that either staff or certain council members have manipulated the process.

A motion was made by Posey seconded Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Clearly, Agenda Item 19 calls for a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our suburban beach community and quality of life. How on earth did this agenda item evolve from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds"???? At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT which takes away ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

SB 2 is a complete and total assault on everything that our City Attorney fought to protect, not to mention that acquiescing on SB2 will likely undermine all our legal efforts fought to retain local control....quite possibly causing irreparable damage. Further, we will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

I urge opposition to or removal of this agenda item.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jean Miller

Work Order: #204102

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



By : Lynda Muir
Email : Lynda.f.muir@gmail.com
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

SB2 needs to be tabled until all city council members are present for any type of vote. Wait until Mayor Petersen is present.

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:06 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #204102 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Lynda, Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 8:50 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #204102 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 8:50 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #204102 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Switzer, Donna

From: Rondi Nuno <rnuno@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 4:02 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Item 19-1904

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Honestly ladies and gentlemen, I'm confused with the item and its timing. Here's why:

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As I read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

In my mind, one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult my intelligence and tell me it was a coincidence. This city knows better than that. If you aren't going to comply with my first request, the very least each of you can do is postpone the item till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

If you should choose to ignore both requests, please allow me to articulate why I am against the actual agenda item. This item, if approved:

1) will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. As I said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

2) Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

3) Finally, take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time. I wish you the best.

Rondi Nuno

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:09 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW:

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Sharon Ortale <nanainsurfcity@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:06 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject:

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Madeline Pacilio-Brand <mpaciliobrand@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 4:57 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Opposition to 19-1904

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

The timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known?

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please **vote against Item 19-1904** on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time.

Madeline Pacilio-Brand
21571 Kanakoa Lane
Huntington Beach, Ca. 92646

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:27 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Urgent: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

From: Ann Palmer <Ann_Palmer@ahm.acura.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 10:16 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Urgent: Agenda Item 19

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

city.council@surfcity-hb.org

Dear Mayor Peterson, Huntington Beach City Council and Mr. Chi,

You could email city.council@surfcity-hb.org and it goes to all members. You could just say you oppose agenda item #19 and request it be removed from agenda. Some of us had a bunch to say, though.

To: Huntington Beach Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi.

This message is to strongly OPPOSE Agenda Item # 19. It is unclear why it is even being discussed at this meeting so I request that it be REMOVED from the agenda.

As a resident who has appeared and spoken against related topics it was a shock and disappointment to see item #19 on the agenda.

And as someone who watches every council meeting and attends when commuting schedule allows, item #18 reminded me of the council discussion on September 3, 2019.

Do you not recall from that meeting:

"A motion was made by Posey second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Agenda Item #19 proposes a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our

suburban beach community and quality of life. We are beyond curious about how this agenda item evolved from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds." At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT which takes away ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

SB 2 is a complete and total assault on everything that our City Attorney fought to protect, not to mention that acquiescing on SB2 will likely undermine all our legal efforts fought to retain local control....quite possibly causing irreparable damage. Further, we will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Your residents are becoming weary of having unexpected topics that should be at rest foisted upon them. It is our belief that you should REPRESENT your community! We cannot stop you from exploring ideas to which we are opposed but this agenda item seems much more of a slap in the face. We know you all work hard to keep the city running well but this particular pursuit of funding at this time is inappropriate at best.

In good faith, I am requesting that you remove Agenda Item #19. If that is not possible then please, responsibly, OPPOSE it.

Thank you,

Ann Palmer

HB Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Confidentiality Notice: This transmission (including any attachments) may contain confidential information belonging to the sender and is intended only for the use of the party or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, retention or the taking of action in reliance on the contents of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and erase all information and attachments.

Switzer, Donna

From: apalumbo@netzero.net on behalf of apalumbo@netzero.com
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 6:27 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: high density development

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

I supported the current city council that promised to oppose high density development and the resulting traffic density that lowers the quality of life in this city. The state and real estate developers have lied to us on the requirement for the city to adopt high density measures. I expect this city council to stand up for the citizens of the city against the special interest pushing this agenda item #19.

Sincerely;

Anthony Palumbo
6602 Kilda Circle
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Drink 1 Cup Before Bed, Watch Your Body Fat Melt Like Crazy

Celebrity Local

<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3232/5dbf8cb2767acb1726est02duc>

Sponsored Links (1)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:36 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: City Council, 11/4/19 Items 18, 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Galen Pickett <Galen.Pickett@csulb.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:58 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: City Council, 11/4/19 Items 18, 19

Honorable city council members:

I note with interest items 18 (19-1024) and 19 (19-1094) on the agenda for the upcoming Nov. 4, 2019 city council meeting. While independent and severable, the items are connected by the internal logic of our housing system and by the legal challenges facing the city. In my view, both items deserve broad-based council support. It is my contention that with these items the city is making important first steps toward rationalizing the various housing instabilities the region and state are experiencing. Additionally, it seems clear that we are rapidly approaching our last chance to take our first step.

Of the various lawsuits to which the city is a party, the suit brought by the state in Jan. 2019 and the Kennedy Commission suit spring from the issues items 18 and 19 address. These suits stem from the reduction of affordable housing in the housing element of the general plan, as you all are aware. The Jamboree development on Beach provides permanent supportive housing for seniors in danger of experiencing housing instability, and thus cuts directly at the underlying logic of both suits. Directing staff to create options for amendments to the housing element (and specifically the Beach-Edinger Corridor Specific Plan) for council consideration likewise is a step toward making both suits moot, with the added benefit of making the city eligible for state funding for the operation of facilities which would reduce the pressure the city faces from Judge Carter in the wake of the Boise decision.

In this light, taking action is the surest way to preserve the autonomy of our charter city and to conserve our ability to pursue an active legal strategy. The essence of political conservatism is to conserve the possibilities inherent in the future by acting in the present to hedge against the downside of inaction. The downside of inaction here is considerable: the financial pressure resulting from losing any one of these suits can squeeze out public safety spending, the inability of the city to enforce anti-camping and curfew laws would result in as few as a couple of weeks an economic catastrophe. Alternatively, taking the first steps outlined in items 18 and 19 preserves the autonomy of the city to maintain planning authority and gives direct evidence to all parties of our earnest intent to resolve the intertwined issues of homelessness, affordability, and quality of life.

With best wishes,

Prof. Galen T. Pickett
Dept. of Physics and Astronomy
CSU Long Beach
1250 Bellflower Blvd.
Long Beach, CA 90840

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:46 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: berreprincess@aol.com <berreprincess@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 11:44 PM
To: Chi, Oliver <oliver.chi@surfcity-hb.org>; CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19

Dear Mr Chi and City Council Members,

I am writing concerning the agenda item 19. Please vote against this!! This comes off very sketchy that it ends up during a meeting where our own Mayor, Mr. Peterson is not going to be in attendance to be a part of this vote and voice his opinion on it as well. I am disgusted by how this was done. I am also disgusted by the way Mr. Posey and a couple other council members had made false claims to be against HDD in our city to just make sure they got reelected to our city council during our last election. Yet here it is now being discussed because of these council members trying to push HDD on our city AGAIN! We have more then enough housing in Huntington Beach. Adding more does nothing to our city but create more issues with traffic and more accidents. It will put more of a strain on resources in the city as well. If one takes a look at what is for sale and rent in Huntington Beach, you will see there is no shortage of either. So just stop with the overbuilding that is NOT needed at all in Huntington Beach. STOP trying to go against what the residents of Huntington Beach want. We do NOT want anymore HDD!!! Say NO to the SB2 funds that will do more harm to our city then good.

Thank you,
Anna Plewa

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: pacj <pacj_03@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 3:47 PM
To: Estanislau, Robin; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Item 19 (SB2 funds)

Please postpone discussion of this item until you have a full council present.

Thank you.

Pat Quintana

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Work Order: #204090

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/2019
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Dear Council Members, I urge you to vote no on item no. 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar for the following reasons: 1 in order to comply with SB 2 and received funds from the state, we would be sacrificing the autonomy of the city to determine its own destiny its own destiny. 2 The absence of our mayor, Eric Peterson, makes the timing of this vote suspicious. Please vote no on item no. 19-1904 and maintain our independence as a charter City.

By : **Raymond Raines**
Email : rayraines@hotmail.com
Phone : 714-846-6367
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:02 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #204090 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Raymond, Thank you for sharing your concerns regarding Item No. 19-1904. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 8:59 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #204090 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 8:59 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #204090 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Work Order: #203949

Opened:
11/03/2019

Closed:
11/04/201
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

The Housing Issue until all 7 members are present

By : Steve Rothert
Email : steverothert@hotmail.com
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19 (19-1094)

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:56 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #203949 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Steve, Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your comments will be included in the record for this agenda item. Sincerely, Donna Switzer Deputy City Clerk

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 11/04/2019 9:47 AM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #203949 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 11/04/2019 9:47 AM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #203949 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:44 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

From: ARoyalty-Hanavan <clanhanavan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 11:44 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi,

I am writing to oppose agenda item 19. I ask that it be removed from the agenda as it was not originally intended to be more than something to be looked into. It is also disturbing this was put on the agenda when Mayor Peterson is out of town.

Thank you,

Amy Royalty
HB resident

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:35 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: 19-1904

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

AGENDA COMMENT

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

From: Dana Rushing <drushing4@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:10 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: 19-1904

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Honestly ladies and gentlemen, I'm confused with the item and its timing. Here's why:

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As I read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

In my mind, one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult my intelligence and tell me it was a coincidence. This city knows better than that. If you aren't going to comply with my first request, the very least each of you can do is postpone the item till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

If you should choose to ignore both requests, please allow me to articulate why I am against the actual agenda item. This item, if approved:

will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. As I said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

Finally, take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time. I wish you the best.

Dana Rushing

Switzer, Donna

From: Michelle Schomburg <bousky@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 7:36 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Cc: Fikes, Cathy
Subject: Agenda Item #19 VOTE NO

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

--
Michelle Schomburg
bouskyArts.net

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Ray Scrafield <octoolguy@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 3:26 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: 19-1904 on consent calendar

I copied this email from Rob Pool with his permission. He speaks for Barb and I.

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar.

Honestly ladies and gentlemen, I'm confused with the item and its timing. Here's why:

According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:

"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

As I read it, the key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan".

Anyone in the know understood what needed to be done in order to qualify for the SB2 funds. Everyone knew this was a plan by Councilmember Posey and his financial supporters. Bring the Housing Element in compliance with the state and we would be eligible for the SB2 funds. Something that simply worded would have been the "plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what each of you approved.

In my mind, one of you needs to create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do in the first place.

Further, the timing of this is not coincidental. Let's all be honest with ourselves on that point. Staff and Councilmember Posey knew full well that Mayor Erik Peterson would be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? Don't insult my intelligence and tell me it was a coincidence. This city knows better than that. If you aren't going to comply with my first request, the very least each of you can do is postpone the item till the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

If you should choose to ignore both requests, please allow me to articulate why I am against the actual agenda item. This item, if approved:

1. will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. As I said before, everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.
2. Will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.
3. Finally, take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks.

I love our city. I would hate to see decisions made about the size and scope of projects be taken away from others that love our city as much as I do.

Please vote against Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar.

Thank you for your time. I wish you the best.

Ray Barbara Scrafield
16444 Bolsa Chica St.
spc. 108
Huntington Beach, Ca. 92649
714-846-2504

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 8:35 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Magnolia Tank Farm

AGENDA COMMENT

From: krica256@aol.com <krica256@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, November 01, 2019 6:55 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Magnolia Tank Farm

Dear city council persons,

Thank you for your service to Huntington Beach.

As a resident of Huntington Beach I am extremely concerned that the appointed Planning Commission voted 5-2 in favor of recommending Magnolia Tank Farm/Shopoff Realty project's EIR certification. I want to let you know that I oppose this project and ask that you do so as well when this project comes before you for adoption. I cannot believe that it is even in consideration. This project is close to a highly toxic site and close to a pollution-generating power plant. Besides its proposed size will create more air, soil and noise pollution along with more traffic. It just doesn't make sense to approve something like that at that specific location.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

respectfully,

Christina Silva- Salgado
7652 Ontario Drive HB 92648
(714)307-7101

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:47 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Simpson <lfsimpson@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 8:20 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi, I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

How question how it "arrive on the agenda" which I believe to be HIGHLY suspect and suggests to me that either staff or certain council members have manipulated the process. This is another example why many citizens have developed a deep distrust of staff and some elected officials.

First, as someone who usually watches council meeting, this agenda item struck me as odd as I also listened to the council discussion on September 3, 2019 and went back to the minutes of the meeting. From the minutes:

"A motion was made by Posey second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Clearly, Agenda Item 19 calls for a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our suburban beach community and quality of life. How on earth did this agenda item evolve from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds"? At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT which takes away ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.
Respectfully, Lisa Simpson

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094

Switzer, Donna

From: Cari Swan <cswanie@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 6:58 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; Gates, Michael; Chi, Oliver
Subject: OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi,

I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

Before I offer the reasons why this agenda item would be a complete disaster for our suburban beach community, I wish to address the very presence of this agenda item and how it "arrived on the agenda" which I believe to be HIGHLY suspect and suggests to me that either staff or certain council members have manipulated the process. I don't make this comment lightly. I realize, without question, that this is a serious accusation. If not manipulation, possibly malfeasance or, at the VERY LEAST it is the VERY ESSENCE why many citizens have developed a deep distrust of staff and some elected officials.

First, as someone who either watches or attends every council meeting, this agenda item struck me as odd as I also listened to the council discussion on September 3, 2019 and went back to the minutes of the meeting. From the minutes:

"A motion was made by Posey second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Clearly, Agenda Item 19 calls for a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our suburban beach community and quality of life. How on earth did this agenda item evolve from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds"???? At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires **BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT** which takes away **ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT** and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

SB 2 is a complete and total assault on everything that our City Attorney fought to protect, not to mention that acquiescing on SB2 will likely undermine all our legal efforts fought to retain local control....quite possibly causing irreparable damage. Further, we will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Again, I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.

Respectfully,

Cari Swan
20412 Mansard Lane
HB

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 9:37 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: I OPPOSE City Council Agenda Item 19.

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Richard Thiel <rthiel@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 12:52 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: I OPPOSE City Council Agenda Item 19.

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members

I urge you to **OPPOSE Agenda Item 19**. This action will result in the complete undoing of everything the citizens fought for with respect to HDD! We will be rolling-over to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with regard to the Kennedy Commission lawsuit, it will completely undermine and cause irreparable damage to the hard word by the City Attorney's office fighting on behalf of the city and citizens of HB.

This action will take away ALL local control to review and approve the developments in the project areas as they become "By-Right" which means they are eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, added heights and lesser or no setbacks!

We will also be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose on us whether or not they are reasonable.

Again, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 19.

Richard M. Thiel (I am a Huntington Beach Community Services Commissioner)
20822 Hunter Lane,
Huntington Beach, CA

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19/19-1094)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:45 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Opposition to Item 19

AGENDA COMMENT

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Casselman Wellman <hbmom310@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 7:12 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Opposition to Item 19

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)

My email to Council and City Manager:

Dear Mayor Peterson, City Council and Mr. Chi, I am writing to OPPOSE AGENDA ITEM 19 and also to request that it be COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA following an investigation.

Before I offer the reasons why this agenda item would be a complete disaster for our suburban beach community, I wish to address the very presence of this agenda item and how it "arrived on the agenda" which I believe to be HIGHLY suspect and suggests to me that either staff or certain council members have manipulated the process. I don't make this comment lightly. I realize, without question, that this is a serious accusation. If not manipulation, possibly malfeasance or, at the VERY LEAST it is the VERY ESSENCE why many citizens have developed a deep distrust of staff and some elected officials.

First, as someone who either watches or attends every council meeting, this agenda item struck me as odd as I also listened to the council discussion on September 3, 2019 and went back to the minutes of the meeting. From the minutes:

"A motion was made by Posey second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

Clearly, Agenda Item 19 calls for a complete and total undoing of all the hard fought changes to the Beach Edinger Specific Plan (BECSP)....changes that were fought for by THOUSANDS of citizens who made it very clear that we expect to and are willing to fight for local control and sensible development that is compatible with our suburban beach community and quality of life. How on earth did this agenda item evolve from a motion requesting "a plan on how the city can ensure use of SB2 funds"???? At the VERY LEAST this item should be removed from the agenda until such time as a complete investigation on HOW THIS AGENDA EVOLVED FROM THE COUNCIL MEETING OF 9/3/19.

As to the agenda item itself, for any and all that have read and understand SB2 requirements....it requires BY-RIGHT DEVELOPMENT which takes away ALL CITY AND CITIZEN REVIEW and OVERSIGHT and the project areas also become eligible for density bonuses, automatic parking variances, no obligation to setbacks or height limits.

SB 2 is a complete and total assault on everything that our City Attorney fought to protect, not to mention that acquiescing on SB2 will likely undermine all our legal efforts fought to retain local control....quite possibly causing

irreparable damage. Further, we will be giving implicit approval to the state for any future housing demands they wish to impose on us whether or not they are appropriate or reasonable.

Again, I urge removal of this agenda item, or, at the least that you OPPOSE it.

Respectfully,

Lisa Wellman

Scott Wellman

Sent from my iPhone

Switzer, Donna

From: Linda Wentzel <lindamarieofhb@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 03, 2019 3:32 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Please OPPOSE or TABLE agenda Item 19-1904

Dear Mayor Peterson and fellow City Council members:

I am writing to you today to state my opposition to 19-1904 on the Consent Calendar. According to the minutes of the City Council meeting dated September 3, 2019, this was agreed upon:
"A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to direct the City Manager, Community Development Director, along with the City Attorney to report back to the City Council with a plan on how the City can ensure that the City is able to use the SB 2 funds that would be allocated to HB for homelessness prevention activities, including providing funding for a Navigation Center locally or regionally."

The key phrase is "report back to the City Council with a plan". What staff did was ignore the simplicity of the H Item and implement their plan. But that wasn't what you, the City Council approved on September 3.

PLEASE create a substitute motion to direct staff to go back and do EXACTLY what they were directed to do on September 3.

This item is so significant for our city, why would this item be put on the agenda when not all Council members can be present. Mayor Erik Peterson will be out of town, in Washington D.C., advocating for things of importance to our city. Why, when this is of imminent importance to Mayor Peterson and many citizens that fought for the slowing of high density development in our city, was this put on the agenda at a time when his absence was known? In the very least you can table the Item until the next City Council meeting when ALL of you will be present.

This item, if approved will change the landscape of our city both physically and figuratively. Everything the citizens of our city fought for with respect to HDD will have been lost. We will have admitted to the state that our lawsuits were baseless and, with respect to the Kennedy Commission suit, we will have admitted they were right all along. I can't imagine the damage this will do to our City Attorney and his office and their reputations.

Also if approved will give implicit approval to the state for any future housing requirements they may impose upon us, whether reasonable or not.

Finally, it will take away from you and the citizens of our city all discretionary review of every project in this list. They become by-right developments, eligible for density bonuses such as automatic parking variances, and lesser setbacks. This is not what I or many others want for Huntington Beach!

I love our city. I thought our City Council members were fighting for local control. Why then are you allowing decisions to be made about the size and scope of projects by those outside our city?

Thank you for your time and I hope that you will represent the wishes of the people that elected you and please vote AGAINST Item 19-1904 on the consent calendar or in the least table the item until Staff fulfills the City Council's request of September 3.

--
Linda Wentzel
lindamarieofhb@gmail.com
(c) 714.951.7463

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 11/04/19

Agenda Item No.: 19(19-1094)