

Esparza, Patty

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 4:01 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Aug. 19th Agenda

AGENDA COMMENT

From: larry mcneely <lmwater@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 3:59 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Aug. 19th Agenda

I ask the city council to Deny Item 19. 19-758, continue the public comments and make the vote without Barbara Delgleize present its done all the time. This developer has requested this delay to game the system. Barbara is a HDD supporter and the developer is counting on her support. STOP THE FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH DENSITY AT ALL COSTS, The BECSP was a bad plan and still is, the community was fooled into believing this was about a Remodel of the Huntington Center/ Bella Terra that was needed .

I have a Question. When the Agenda is published how and why does the city staff get the option to place "Word Qualifiers" after the item number like "Adopt, Approve, Recommended Actions" this wording sways the vote and unduly adds support. Why are they not stated as "Action Item" who is deciding that we use these Biased Statements and Words of Support ? Each Agenda Item is the council decision, are we relying on this same staff who recommended the Shelter Purchase ? and the recommended Contractor who Stole the Sports Center Money ? Take back your Local Control and stop this appalling language in the Agenda Items.

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8-19-2019

Agenda Item No.: 20 (19-758)

Esparza, Patty

From: MyHB <reply@mycivicapps.com>
Sent: Friday, August 16, 2019 11:37 AM
To: Estanislau, Robin; Switzer, Donna; Esparza, Patty
Subject: ☐ MyHB-#181710 City Council [07457]

MyHB

Issue Type/Subtype Changed - #181710

Workorder #181710 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Status

Change issue type

Work Order

#181710

Issue Type

City Council

Subtype

All Council Members

Staff Member(s)

Robin Estanislau, Donna Switzer, Patty Esparza

Notes

Please I urge you to deny the appeal to the Ellis Avenue condo/apartments. I reside in the neighborhood behind the proposed units. As the left hand turn Lanes from Beach boulevard on to Ellis Avenue are limited, there is already a significant amount of traffic that speeds through our neighborhood as a shortcut to Ellis. I believe this poorly-planned apartment building will increase this traffic because of the limited entrance. Residents will be forced to cut through my neighborhood from Beach boulevard to exit onto Ellis so they can make a right into the development. I already fear for my children's safety to play in the neighborhood and I only worry that this will make it more dangerous. This is also very crowded intersection, with Ellis being a small street, and this poorly planned development will make traffic worse. Thank you for your time.

Image



[View the Report](#)

Reporter Name

Carlee Okerman

Email


carleerae@hotmail.com

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8-19-2019

Agenda Item No.: 20 (19-758)

Esparza, Patty

From: MyHB <reply@mycivicapps.com>
Sent: Friday, August 16, 2019 11:37 AM
To: Estanislau, Robin; Switzer, Donna; Esparza, Patty
Subject:  MyHB-#181829 City Council [07470]

MyHB

Issue Type/Subtype Changed - #181829

Workorder #181829 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Status

Change issue type

Work Order

#181829

Issue Type

City Council

Subtype

All Council Members

Staff Member(s)

Robin Estanislau, Donna Switzer, Patty Esparza

Notes

Opposition of Ellis Avenue Condos/Apartments File #19-666 We have been residents of Huntington Beach since 1989 and owners of our property in Huntington Viewpoint since mid 1990's. I have also served on Our Home Owner's Association for coming up to ten year's cumulative. I am in opposition to adding more residents to this already congested area. Accidents have increased at that intersection and Newland/Ellis and even extending into the side streets. My daughter when coming to visit with her two small children was a victim of one of those accidents when she veered to avoid a head on collision and was broadsided. Street parking has increased from overflow from Demion and Elan onto Patterson and Chapel, etc. Across from this proposed is an SRO and not that much difference in square footage to those units. This is not inline with revitalization of the Beach/Edinger City's Master Plan to renovate, revitalize Beach Blvd. Instead, if approved, we will go backwards to contribute to future blight....Run down hotel and strip center, Jack in the Box and Car wash with an SRO and over populated Demion. Five Points, Pacific Center and Bella Terra offer good choices for shopping; but, we need more high end choices and upgraded Town Centers to serve the increased population. Please deny this project in line with the Staff and Planning Commissions recommendations/vote and support the residents instead of this developer. You were elected to support the residents including tax payers of Huntington Beach. This project, if approved, will affect your residents quality of life and there is no community support for this project. We are counting on you to represent us. Thank you.

[View the Report](#)

Reporter Name

Kris Carroll

Email

kcrissie7@gmail.com

Phone

714-356-1580

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8-19-2019

Agenda Item No.: 20 (19-758)

Work Order: #182061

Opened:
08/17/2019

Closed:
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Re the Appeal of Planning Commission Denial of Tentative Tract Map No. 18157 and Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042, 8-20-19 Council meeting. (Ellis Ave. Condos) Please deny the appeal. I agree with the Planning Commission's reasoning in its June 12, 2019 Notice of Action in denying the project. While I generally support higher density development where appropriate, such development must include enhanced traffic, bike and pedestrian flows. As the NOC states, the proposed project fails in this regard. Indeed, HB needs to improve bikeways and walkability citywide; there are too many bike and pedestrian fatalities currently, and I personally no longer ride a bike on City streets as it is too dangerous. Sincerely, Dan Jamieson Huntington Beach

By :

Email :

Phone :

Device :

Media Submitted
None

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 7:40 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182061 status has changed from new to resolved.
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 7:45 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: The Ellis Condo Development

Importance: High

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Gary Tarkington <garytarkington@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 18, 2019 5:19 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: The Ellis Condo Development
Importance: High

To the HB city council,

In am sending this in the hopes that you will listen to the citizens of HB. The Ellis Condo Development is a horrible idea for many reasons! It is way to large for the lot, THE CONGESTION THERE IS ALREADY AWFUL, and has had many serious accidents, the ingress outgress there IS ONLY ONE (what are the people going to do in case of a major disaster fire/earthquake)!! Having to make a uturn on Beach Blvd. is insane, AND IT WAS ALREADY DENIED!!

WE DON'T WANT or NEED this catastrophe!!

Please deny this again. We need some sanity while driving in this area.

Ann Tarkington

Huntington Beach

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 7:45 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item of city council meeting of 8/19/2019

AGENDA COMMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

From: Mike Mengel <mjmengel@mindspring.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 18, 2019 3:31 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item of city council meeting of 8/19/2019

Meeting Date: 8/19/19
Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Esteemed members of council,

This letter is written to urge you to vote against agenda item 19-758, which is on the agenda of the August 19, 2019 city council meeting. I also recommend that you do not continue this item to the September 3, 2019 council meeting. This item is the proposed Ellis Avenue condos project, which has already been denied for specific reasons. The specific reasons are:

1. The consolidation of three parcels into one 0.95 acre parcel is not consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan.
2. The project does not comply with the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan due to land use and circulation impacts.
3. The site is not physically suitable for the type and density of development proposed by the project.
4. The site will not function as an integrated development compatible with the vision of SP14 by merging three existing lots into a single 0.95 acre parcel.
5. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.
6. The project will generate conflicts with vehicular circulation on Ellis Ave. and there will be no connectivity for bicyclists to continue onto Beach Blvd.
7. The design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with public vehicular traffic. Vehicular access is provided via a single driveway along Ellis Avenue. The project will not allow motorists exiting the project site to turn left onto Ellis Ave. from the driveway and motorists entering the project site from eastbound Ellis Ave. will be required to make a U-turn at Patterson Ln. to access the site. Residents and visitors cannot access the project site from eastbound Ellis Ave. without continuing past the project to Patterson Ln. to make a U-turn into the project site. Additionally, even though motorists will be required to exit the project via a right hand turn onto Ellis Ave. only, motorists who do not abide by this restriction due to

frequent queuing on Ellis Ave. can create conflicts with eastbound Ellis Ave. traffic.

8. the development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will be detrimental to the general welfare of persons working or residing in the vicinity or detrimental to the value of the property and improvements in the neighborhood.

9. The project is a four-story building that is incompatible with surrounding developments, including two-story older multi-family residences to the east and a car wash and restaurant to the west.

10. The proposed project is not consistent with the Town Center Neighborhood Segment of the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan which supports the use of the Five Points Center as a community retail center. The proposed project does not encourage the restructuring and revitalization of surrounding properties to enhance the market appeal of the Five Points District of the BECSP. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

11. The granting of the CUP for the development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will adversely affect the General Plan. The project is not consistent with various specifically enumerated objectives and policies of the General Plan.

12. The BECSP encourages buildings to orient towards streets and provide enhancements to the pedestrian and public experience. Approximately five percent of the building length is oriented towards Ellis Ave. while the remainder is oriented to the adjacent residences to the east and commercial uses to the west.

13. The project architectural design and scale is not compatible with the vision of the BECSP. The adjacent properties will be impacted by the height and massing of the proposed project. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.

14. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

Thank you for your time.

Michael Mengel
16581 Grunion Lane #304
Huntington Beach, CA 92649

mjmengel1@verizon.net
(714) 846-7196

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 7:49 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Please vote no

AGENDA COMMENT

From: plara2@verizon.net <plara2@verizon.net>
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 3:52 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Please vote no

Please vote no on agenda item 19-758. We live in that neighborhood. Turns on and off Taylor Drive as well as Ellis Ave will be a nightmare. It is already bad enough. People were killed in a car crash on Taylor Drive a few weeks ago. The dealerships are there. Vehicles and car carriers need easy uncongested access to the car dealerships. The car carriers are painful as they stop in the middle of the street. There is no room for more traffic there. This is the absolute worst spot for condos or apartments. We need more restaurants and businesses. No more apartments or condos in this area please. All around us on Beach Blvd apartments have been built. It needs to stop please.

Thank you!
Pat and Carol Lara

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 7:49 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Agenda item 19-758

AGENDA COMMENT

From: krica256@aol.com <krica256@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 11:56 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 19-758

Dear City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on the this item regarding the proposed HDD on Ellis avenue. That corner is already very busy as is. We don't need more HDD right there.

Thank you,
Christina Silva-Salgado
(714)307-7101

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 2019-758)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 7:49 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Ellis Ave. Condos (#20 on Agenda of Aug. 19, 2019)

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

AGENDA COMMENT

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

From: Gino J. Bruno <gbruno@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 5:38 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Kiff, Dave <dave.kiff@surfcity-hb.org>; Luna-Reynosa, Ursula <ursula.luna-reynosa@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Ellis Ave. Condos (#20 on Agenda of Aug. 19, 2019)

Council Members:

The proposed Ellis Ave. Condos (Item #20 on Monday's Agenda) should be DENIED when it comes before you for vote on September 3rd. Listen carefully to the majority of your Planning Commissioners who voted AGAINST it.

The proposed development violates the letter . . . and the spirit . . . of the Beach Edinger Corridors Specific Plan.

Simply put: *IT DOESN'T FIT!!*

At its meeting on June 11th, the Planning Commission DENIED the project, with Findings For Denial that included (if you're interested):

1. The consolidation of three parcels into one 0.95 acre parcel is not consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan.
2. The project does not comply with the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan due to land use and circulation impacts.
3. The site is not physically suitable for the type and density of development proposed by the project.
4. The site will not function as an integrated development compatible with the vision of SP14 by merging three existing lots into a single 0.95 acre parcel.
5. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.
6. The project will generate conflicts with vehicular circulation on Ellis Ave. and there will be no connectivity for bicyclists to continue onto Beach Blvd.
7. The design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with public vehicular traffic. Vehicular access is provided via a single driveway along Ellis Avenue. The project will not allow motorists exiting the project site to turn left onto Ellis Ave. from the driveway and motorists entering the project site from eastbound

Ellis Ave. will be required to make a U-turn at Patterson Ln. to access the site. Residents and visitors cannot access the project site from eastbound Ellis Ave. without continuing past the project to Patterson Ln. to make a U-turn into the project site. Additionally, even though motorists will be required to exit the project via a right hand turn onto Ellis Ave. only (and U-turns at Beach are not permitted), motorists who do not abide by this restriction due to frequent queuing on Ellis Ave. can create conflicts with eastbound Ellis Ave. traffic.

8. The development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will be detrimental to the general welfare of persons working or residing in the vicinity or detrimental to the value of the property and improvements in the neighborhood.

9. The project is a four-story building that is incompatible with surrounding developments, including two-story older multi-family residences to the east and a car wash and fast-food restaurant to the west.

10. The proposed project is not consistent with the Town Center Neighborhood Segment of the Beach Edinger Corridors Specific Plan which supports the use of the Five Points Center as a community retail center. The proposed project does not encourage the restructuring and revitalization of surrounding properties to enhance the market appeal of the Five Points District of the BECSP. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

11. The granting of the CUP for the development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will adversely affect the General Plan. The project is not consistent with various specifically enumerated objectives and policies of the General Plan.

12. The BECSP encourages buildings to orient towards streets and provide enhancements to the pedestrian and public experience. Approximately five percent of the building length is oriented towards Ellis Ave. while the remainder is oriented to the adjacent residences to the east and commercial uses to the west.

13. The project architectural design and scale is not compatible with the vision of the BECSP. The adjacent properties will be impacted by the height and massing of the proposed project. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.

14. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

Please vote to support the majority of your Planning Commissions.

They got it right!

Gino J. Bruno
Huntington Beach

Switzer, Donna

From: agendacomment@surfcity-hb.org
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 9:50 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Public Comments on Council Agenda Items

AGENDA COMMENT

Subject Agenda Item #20 - Support for Appeal - HB Planning Commission was WRONG!
Name Steven C Shepherd
Email steve@shepherdarchitects.com

Comments

The Planning Commission should have pproved this project the first time and now I'm asking you to overturn their inappropriate and incorrect ruling. The reasons for my support of the applicant's appeal for very simple:

1.The Project complies with Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance and Municipal Codes. 2.The Project is similar in use to surrounding properties. 3.The Project updates and modernizes an outdated, underutilized, and visually decrepit property. 4.The Project provides new and much needed housing units for our Huntington Beach community.

These are the simple facts related to this project as researched and listed by Huntington Beach Planning Dept. staff in their original Findings and Conditions of Approval document. These findings and original recommendation to the Planning Commission were clear: approve the project.

Where the Planning Commission failed our community the first time, you are now being asked as our elected officials to put right their short-sighted failure.

APPROVE THIS PROJECT!

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Aube, Nicolle

From: Pamela Mccay <pmccay85@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 3:19 PM
To: Aube, Nicolle
Subject: Stop the Ellis Ave Condos

Hi Nicolle,

I will actually be able to make it to the meeting on Monday but I wanted to send my email again from the previous vote as all of my concerns remain the same.

I am born and raised in Huntington Beach and I currently live at 18311 Patterson Ave, #2. This is my third time living on this street in the last ten years and I have currently been in my apartment for 4 years. My neighborhood, which is directly behind this proposed site, already has horrendous parking due to the entire neighborhood being fourplexes. We have been having a problem with Elan parking on our street because they do not want to pay for the monthly parking fee to park there on top of their astronomical rent. I have actually spoken to residents while they park in front of my house. They also told me that they tell their guest to park on our street as well. We see people every day walking to and from their cars and Elan. (And no, they are not using the crosswalk on beach) I have been petitioning to get our neighborhood permit parking and all of the residents are in favor of this. On top of the terrible parking, getting in and out of the neighborhood is horrendous. I can't even come out on my own street because the traffic is often backed up all the way to the next exit. Sometimes I can't even get out on that street (Goodwin). This intersection is already a dangerous area and I was almost t-boned coming into my tract on Monday morning on my way home from work. Adding even more traffic and congestion to this intersection will be a disservice to the city and increase the amount of accidents that already occur here. I personally know someone who was side swiped due to someone making a left turn out of the DK/jack in the box parking lot, which is where the developer confirmed the exit would be when he came knocking on all of our doors trying to get his petition signed to start the project again.

I know these complexes are all about making money for the developers, who have already greased the palms of numerous council members to push this through. Our residents do not want this! Most of these complexes have rent so high that most people can't even afford it.

I really hope this email helps keep this eye sore off this corner and keep traffic and accidents to a minimum and safety as the highest priority.

Thank you for your time,

Pamela McCay, BSN, RN

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Aube, Nicole

From: Carol Ballard <carol.ballard.hb.ca@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 8:32 PM
To: Aube, Nicole
Subject: Beach|Ellis development

I'm not sure if you guys are absolutely crazy or what, but there is no way more congestion would be a good idea on the corner of Beach Blvd. and Ellis Ave. I live near there, and it's horrible. People pull out of the Elan apts at about 5 mph as it is and slows down the traffic to a near stop. Adding another building on the other side is ridiculous.

I say no to this, a HUGE RESOUNDING NO!!!

Carol Ballard

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Aube, Nicole

From: Kathy McHale <kathymchale@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 7:26 AM
To: Aube, Nicole
Subject: Ellis Avenue Condo Complex

I am writing this email in opposition to the proposed Ellis Avenue Condo Complex. As it is, the intersection of Beach and Ellis/Main is one of the worse in the city. Adding more traffic to an already congested intersection is totally irresponsible and presents a significant safety hazard.

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 1:12 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW:

AGENDA COMMENT

From: EVENT EXPOS <eventexpos@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 12:41 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>; Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject:

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members,

I am writing to Oppose Agenda Item 20.... the denial of Planning Commission Tentative Tract Map No. 18157 and Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042 (Ellis Ave. Condos). was the correct decision. My opposition to this project is strongly motivated by the ridiculously dangerous traffic situation that already exist in this area. I would rather travel the 405 at rush hour than cross Beach Blvd at Ellis anytime of the day, adding more density in this area is a slap in the face to the residents of Huntington Beach...

Everyone of you campaigned against high density development.....Show the people of Huntington Beach you can keep those promises...

Thank you

Yvonne Mauro

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 12:46 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: broadband

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Doug Silver Summit Financial <doug@silversummitfinancial.com>
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 11:58 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: broadband

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

ERIK PETERSON, Mayor
LYN SEMETA, Mayor Pro Tem
PATRICK BRENDEN, Councilmember
KIM CARR, Councilmember
BARBARA DELGLEIZE, Councilmember
JILL HARDY, Councilmember
MIKE POSEY, Councilmember

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20/19-758

RE: Broadband, Wireless, and Transportation Infrastructure Technology Ad-Hoc Committee – SUPPORT

Dear Mayor and Honorable Councilmembers,

I am in support of this idea and agree with the language below. I live in a part of the city that **did not** get FIOS and never will because there are not plans by Frontier to improve their infrastructure, they are too debt laden. So the only option is Spectrum which has an essential monopoly and give poor service, slow speeds and high cost to both business and residential customers. Huntington Beach needs to be a 21st century city and can only do this by investing in our community.

Technology Infrastructure is the backbone of any 21st Century City. When we started our modern cities, we installed clean water pipes and sewer pipes due to advances in public health. In the 1900s we oiled the dirt roads for the coming technology of the automobile; we installed copper lines to support electric lighting and then telephones. Fiber optic infrastructure is the next leap for City Infrastructure. Studying how the City can augment technological infrastructure for businesses, wireless providers and transportation infrastructure will help create economic prosperity just as previous infrastructure improvements have.

With that, I support the Planning Commission's recommendation to the City Council for your body to form an Ad Hoc Committee to study the proposals outlined within the recommendations transmitted by the Planning Commission. I support an "all of the above" approach to review what Government, local businesses and residents need. The Ad Hoc Committee should be tasked with pulling together the disparate studies the City has undertaken in the past few years and identifying the open needs of the community and then to create recommendations to the Council for further study and action.

Taking this action in conjunction with the creation of the Research and Technology Zone will signal that Huntington Beach is once again, "open for business."

Please vote to support the formation of the Ad Hoc Committee on Broadband, Wireless and Transportation Infrastructure Technology.

Douglas Gahn

Switzer, Donna

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 12:41 PM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: OPPOSE AGENDA Item 20

AGENDA COMMENT

From: Cari Swan <cswanie@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 12:17 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: OPPOSE AGENDA Item 20

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members,

I am writing to Oppose Agenda Item 20 that seeks the Appeal of Planning Commission Denial of Tentative Tract Map No. 18157 and Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042 (Ellis Ave. Condos). I oppose the project on the grounds that it is simply UNSAFE and presents DANGEROUS traffic hazards to an already burdened inter-section in our city.

As someone who used to shop and frequent the Five Points Shopping Center, I no longer frequent this center and generally avoid it due to the traffic challenges that emerged after the opening of The Elan high density apartment complex along with additional high density projects on Beach Blvd. It used to be very simple access from SE HB by traveling either Newland to Ellis or even up Beach Blvd. but both are now over-burdened. It's much easier to find other places to shop (Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley). I can only imagine the challenges faces the residents in the immediate area of the proposed project who do not have other choices for accessing Ellis and Beach and it troubles me that this happens time and time again to residents who have invested their entire lives in H.B. and are continually thrown under the bus by the latest and greatest development whim.

I think it's also worth mentioning that I am not a person that considers myself "anti-development"; quite the contrary. I do believe our development must to SAFE and COMPATIBLE with the surround community. I urge you to reject this proposal and not subject the community to further traffic and safety hazards.

Respectfully,

Cari Swan

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)



Douglas Gahn
Financial and Retirement Advisor
Insurance
714.454.7719
714.963.3468 fax
www.silversummitfinancial.com

Helping families and individuals to Retire Happy and Worry Free

Work Order: #182214

Opened:
08/18/2019

Closed:
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

NO ON 19! NO TO DEVELOPERS...NO TO MORE TRAFFIC...NO TO MORE APT/CONDOS AT THAT INTERSECTION....IT IS ALREADY A HAZARD

By : Lynn Unger
Email : Lynnungerhb@gmail.com
Phone : 714-960-0082
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 2019-758

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:33 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182214 status has changed from referred to resolved.
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Support Worker: 08/19/2019 9:21 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182214 has been assigned to Patty Esparza

Share with Citizen: NO

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182214 status has changed from new to referred.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182214 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182214 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Work Order: #182237

Opened:
08/18/2019

Closed:
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Beach and Ellis property up for review Please stand with our Planning Commission decision!
Thanks,RogerG.Smith,DDS BaezaCircle,HB 92648

By : Roger g Smith
Email : res1rgsdds@gmail.com
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:35 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182237 status has changed from referred to resolved.
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182237 status has changed from new to referred.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182237 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182237 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Work Order: #182087

Opened:
08/17/2019

Closed:
08/19/201
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



By : Janene Acosta
Email : acofam@verizon.net
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 2019-758)

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Tahir Salim's project at 8041 Ellis Ave.

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:31 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182087 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Support Worker: 08/19/2019 9:24 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182087 has been assigned to Patty Esparza

Share with Citizen: NO

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182087 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182087 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182087 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Work Order: #182143

Opened:
08/17/2019

Closed:
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



By : Sharon Tower
Email : sgtower66@gmail.com
Phone : 714-962-1909
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Ellis Ave. proposed Condo/Apartments Please deny the proposed Condo/Apartment complex on Ellis and Beach. HB is already strained by the traffic on our over crowded streets.

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:32 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182143 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Support Worker: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182143 has been assigned to Patty Esparza

Share with Citizen: NO

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182143 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182143 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182143 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Work Order: #182474

Opened:
08/19/2019

Closed:
08/19/2019
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

STREET ADDRESS



By : Kathy Moro
Email : kathy_moro@yahoo.com
Phone :
Device :

Media Submitted
None

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Re The reconsideration of the proposal to develop the corner of Ellis and BeachBlvd., I again write you in opposition to this development. I sincerely hope that you will turn down the developers request as his proposal is not an appropriate use of the space at that intersection. It will greatly increase traffic and contribute to the problem of high density development in our city.

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 1:05 PM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182474 status has changed from assigned to resolved.
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 12:49 PM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #182474 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 12:49 PM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #182474 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

Esparza, Patty

From: Estanislau, Robin
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2019 3:14 PM
To: Kristen Romo
Cc: Esparza, Patty; Aube, Nicolle
Subject: RE: Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042

Hello, Kristen. I will include your comments to the record -- the Huntington Beach City Council will consider this item on September 3.

Robin Estanislau, CMC, City Clerk
City of Huntington Beach
714-536-5405

Please consider the HB City Clerk's office for your passport needs!

-----Original Message-----

From: Kristen Romo <kcromo7@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2019 2:38 PM
To: Estanislau, Robin <Robin.Estanislau@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042

Hello,

I am a Fountain Valley resident living near the corner of Newland and Ellis and have become aware of the proposed project to add the 48 unit condo on Beach and Ellis.

I realize that as a non HB resident my voice may have zero impact on a decision in Huntington Beach, but still wanted to be counted among those who are opposed to this project. Having lived at this corner for the past 23 years (my home backs to Ellis), I can attest to the traffic, noise, dirt, and countless accidents that have only increased since the addition of the two high density building that are already on the corner at Beach and Ellis.

Has there been an environmental impact study? Is there a study on on file? When there is a collision in the intersection of Newland and Ellis, which cities emergency services are called?

The decision to add an additional 48 units, and the additional occupants at one of the busiest Huntington Beach intersections as well as the overflow streets seems to be very short sighted. I am asking for this project proposal to be rejected.

I thank you for your time.

Kristen Romo

Estanislau, Robin

From: Dombo, Johanna
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2019 9:11 AM
To: Agenda Comment
Cc: Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: FW: Beach and Ellis condo project

AGENDA COMMENT

-----Original Message-----


From: Kellie Newman <knewman48@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2019 12:37 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Beach and Ellis condo project

I understand there is a vote coming up in regards to a proposed condo project on the busy intersection of Beach and Ellis. I would very much like to let the council know I greatly oppose such a large scale project in a small already congested space.

Thank you for listening,

Concerned neighbor and Life long resident of HB

Esparza, Patty

From: MyHB <reply@mycivicapps.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 7:48 PM
To: Switzer, Donna; Esparza, Patty; Estanislau, Robin
Subject:  MyHB-#182946 Agenda & Public Hearing Comments [07631]

MyHB New Report Submitted - #182946

Status

new

Work Order

#182946

Issue Type

Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

Subtype

City Council Meeting

Notes

Apartments at Beach and Ellis. I urge you to vote NO for this project. I live on Hartlund and Magic. All overflow traffic cuts thru our tract and speeds there now. The lot the building is designated for is not level so when done the project will exceed the allotted 4 stories casting an even greater shadow on the current residents. Without a second entry and exit the project just doesn't work from a traffic and safety point of view. I understand that Sacramento says to build however, when we strip away all the politics and just look at this project in it's most simple form, it is being forced into a small space. Please continue to stand up for what is right for Huntington Beach as our spokespersons and reject this project.

[View the Report](#)

Reporter Name

jay howard

Email

jhoward250@aol.com

Phone


714-261-9922

Report Submitted

AUG 20, 2019 - 7:47 PM

Please do not change subject line when responding.

Esparza, Patty

From: MyHB <reply@mycivicapps.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 8:44 AM
To: Estanislau, Robin; Switzer, Donna; Esparza, Patty
Subject:  MyHB-#184634 City Council [07879]

MyHB

Issue Type/Subtype Changed - #184634

Workorder #184634 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Status

Change issue type

Work Order

#184634

Issue Type

City Council

Subtype

All Council Members

Staff Member(s)

Robin Estanislau, Donna Switzer, Patty Esparza

Notes

I am opposed to the building of more apartment/condos at Beach and Ellis (old Car Wash). Since allowing the project across the street my vehicle has been sideswipe by people cutting through the neighborhood to avoid traffic and my Grand Daughter and Great Grand Daughter were hit by a resident running a red light at Beach and Elis. Their car was totaled. You are putting far too much traffic at Beach and Elis and accidents have increased substantially. The double traffic lights across from Denny's and the second light at Beach are too close together in my opinion as well causing confusion. People are rushing to make the lights in heavy traffic with many running the lights.

[View the Report](#)

Reporter Name

Michael Nelson

Email

mikenelson@socal.rr.com

Phone

714-791-4713

Report Submitted

AUG 27, 2019 - 8:00 PM

Please do not change subject line when responding.

Robin Estanislau, City Clerk
Huntington Beach City Hall
200 Main Street
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

RECEIVED
2019 AUG 23 PM 2:50
8/21/2019
CITY CLERK
CITY OF
HUNTINGTON BEACH

Re: Conditional Use Permit No 17-042

Council Members


We agree with the Planning Commission denial of this permit. They have done a complete study of the environmental concerns of this project and have concluded that there will be an adverse impact to the community.

Already there are multiple parking issues with the apartment building on the southside of this project with many of the overflow parking drifting into the residential adjacent residential community. Approval of this project would only add to the current problem.

We are residents of Fountain Valley just a half mile east of this proposed project. We have lived here over 30 years and have witnessed a dramatic rise in the flow of traffic eastward on Ellis. This increased flow has caused many accidents at the intersection of Ellis and Newland. Please review the accident reports at this intersection. Both Fountain Valley and Huntington Beach have refused to address the dangerous condition of this intersection which is caused by topography of the intersection where there two blind downhill streets come together. This project will just make this intersection more dangerous.

Affordable housing is a concern to most coastal Cities in California but this project is not the correct the solution due to adverse impact on the community. If the developer were to increase the affordable units to 50% and increase the parking allocations to ensure that there would be minimum impact to the surrounding community then maybe the Planning Commission could re-review the project and weigh environmental impacts versus the benefits to adding 24 affordable units to the community.

We urge the City Council to reject this current proposal.


Dave Fujii

8521 Ostrich Circle
Fountain Valley, Ca 92708
714-873-5588

08-23-2019

Robin Estanislau, City Clerk
Huntington Beach City Hall
200 Main Street
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

RECEIVED
2019 AUG 27 AM 11:19
CITY CLERK
CITY OF
HUNTINGTON BEACH

Re: Conditional Use Permit No 17-042

Sirs:

We are residents of West Fountain Valley, in particular just northeast of Newland and Ellis at the address stated below.

This permit referenced above outlines the development of a new 48 unit condo and commercial building essentially at the corner of Beach and Ellis (in place of a liquor store, part of a car wash and some level of current residential housing, basically leaving the existing Jack In The Box restaurant in place). A copy of the notice of Public Hearing is attached.

This is to express our strong opinion that the development outlined in this permit would result in an extreme negative traffic effect along Ellis far east of the development and other areas. There is already such a negative traffic effect in this area as a direct result of the construction of such an already completed development directly south across Ellis from this proposed one. There are two entrances to our housing tract, one being Santa Isadora from Ellis just east of Newland which provides entrance to ours north of Ellis and another housing tract south of Ellis. The traffic now is such that it is often backed up so far east of the Ellis/Newland traffic light that it's difficult and increasingly dangerous to exit our tract (even when it's not backed up). Also, traffic approaching Beach from the east along Ellis is a nightmare often requiring multiple light changes to get through the intersection. This is due mainly to that already completed development and would be made further worse by this new development.

Another traffic issue that is becoming more congested and dangerous is the intersection of Ellis and Newland itself. As there is a downhill approach to this intersection from both Ellis heading east from these developments and south on Newland. Drivers seem to speed down these streets and meet at that intersection with the resultant numerous serious accidents, including fatal ones, other injury crashes and significant property damage. This is further amplified by the lack of left turn signals at the intersection, which has seemingly shown a significant increase since the construct of the already completed multi unit complex at Beach and Ellis. This will only get worse with the construction of this new development outlined in this proposal.

We urge the Huntington Beach City Council to reject this proposal for the sake of public safety and overall permanent negative effect on quality of life in this area as, we understand, the City Planning Commission has already done.

Thank you

Denis B. Swinhart *Chris Swinhart*

DENIS & CHRIS SWINHART
18460 SANTA ISADORA ST
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA 92708-5525
714-965-8818

Over please -

I'd like to add because of all the increased traffic @ Ellis and Newland, we have major amount of cars short cutting through our neighborhood... trying to avoid the signal. Most of these cars are flying through our neighborhood... not safe. There are too many cars that come in and try to cut down Ostrich Street (a cul-de-sac), turn around and come back to our street, Santa Isadora, thus creating alot of traffic on Ostrich. This traffic is getting worse all the time. Ellis is a connecting thoroughfare from Euclid to Main Street to the Pier. Promoting tourism has added to the traffic, please consider our position.

Chris Seewald