

# Work Order: #182061

Opened:  
08/17/2019

Closed:  
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

## Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

### SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

### STREET ADDRESS



### COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Re the Appeal of Planning Commission Denial of Tentative Tract Map No. 18157 and Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042, 8-20-19 Council meeting. (Ellis Ave. Condos) Please deny the appeal. I agree with the Planning Commission's reasoning in its June 12, 2019 Notice of Action in denying the project. While I generally support higher density development where appropriate, such development must include enhanced traffic, bike and pedestrian flows. As the NOC states, the proposed project fails in this regard. Indeed, HB needs to improve bikeways and walkability citywide; there are too many bike and pedestrian fatalities currently, and I personally no longer ride a bike on City streets as it is too dangerous. Sincerely, Dan Jamieson Huntington Beach

By :

Email :

Phone :

Device :

Media Submitted  
None

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 7:40 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182061 status has changed from new to resolved.  
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 7:45 AM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: The Ellis Condo Development

**Importance:** High

### AGENDA COMMENT

---

**From:** Gary Tarkington <garytarkington@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 18, 2019 5:19 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** The Ellis Condo Development  
**Importance:** High

To the HB city council,

In am sending this in the hopes that you will listen to the citizens of HB. The Ellis Condo Development is a horrible idea for many reasons! It is way to large for the lot, THE CONGESTION THERE IS ALREADY AWFUL, and has had many serious accidents, the ingress outgress there IS ONLY ONE (what are the people going to do in case of a major disaster fire/earthquake)!! Having to make a uturn on Beach Blvd. is insane, AND IT WAS ALREADY DENIED!!

WE DON'T WANT or NEED this catastrophe!!

Please deny this again. We need some sanity while driving in this area.

Ann Tarkington

Huntington Beach

### SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 7:45 AM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: Agenda item of city council meeting of 8/19/2019

### AGENDA COMMENT

### SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

**From:** Mike Mengel <mjmengel@mindspring.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 18, 2019 3:31 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Agenda item of city council meeting of 8/19/2019

Meeting Date: 8/19/19  
Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Esteemed members of council,

This letter is written to urge you to vote against agenda item 19-758, which is on the agenda of the August 19, 2019 city council meeting. I also recommend that you do not continue this item to the September 3, 2019 council meeting. This item is the proposed Ellis Avenue condos project, which has already been denied for specific reasons. The specific reasons are:

1. The consolidation of three parcels into one 0.95 acre parcel is not consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan.
2. The project does not comply with the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan due to land use and circulation impacts.
3. The site is not physically suitable for the type and density of development proposed by the project.
4. The site will not function as an integrated development compatible with the vision of SP14 by merging three existing lots into a single 0.95 acre parcel.
5. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.
6. The project will generate conflicts with vehicular circulation on Ellis Ave. and there will be no connectivity for bicyclists to continue onto Beach Blvd.
7. The design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with public vehicular traffic. Vehicular access is provided via a single driveway along Ellis Avenue. The project will not allow motorists exiting the project site to turn left onto Ellis Ave. from the driveway and motorists entering the project site from eastbound Ellis Ave. will be required to make a U-turn at Patterson Ln. to access the site. Residents and visitors cannot access the project site from eastbound Ellis Ave. without continuing past the project to Patterson Ln. to make a U-turn into the project site. Additionally, even though motorists will be required to exit the project via a right hand turn onto Ellis Ave. only, motorists who do not abide by this restriction due to

frequent queuing on Ellis Ave. can create conflicts with eastbound Ellis Ave. traffic.

8. the development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will be detrimental to the general welfare of persons working or residing in the vicinity or detrimental to the value of the property and improvements in the neighborhood.

9. The project is a four-story building that is incompatible with surrounding developments, including two-story older multi-family residences to the east and a car wash and restaurant to the west.

10. The proposed project is not consistent with the Town Center Neighborhood Segment of the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan which supports the use of the Five Points Center as a community retail center. The proposed project does not encourage the restructuring and revitalization of surrounding properties to enhance the market appeal of the Five Points District of the BECSP. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

11. The granting of the CUP for the development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will adversely affect the General Plan. The project is not consistent with various specifically enumerated objectives and policies of the General Plan.

12. The BECSP encourages buildings to orient towards streets and provide enhancements to the pedestrian and public experience. Approximately five percent of the building length is oriented towards Ellis Ave. while the remainder is oriented to the adjacent residences to the east and commercial uses to the west.

13. The project architectural design and scale is not compatible with the vision of the BECSP. The adjacent properties will be impacted by the height and massing of the proposed project. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.

14. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

Thank you for your time.

Michael Mengel  
16581 Grunion Lane #304  
Huntington Beach, CA 92649

[mjmengel1@verizon.net](mailto:mjmengel1@verizon.net)  
(714) 846-7196

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 7:49 AM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: Please vote no

**AGENDA COMMENT**

**From:** plara2@verizon.net <plara2@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 17, 2019 3:52 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Please vote no

Please vote no on agenda item 19-758. We live in that neighborhood. Turns on and off Taylor Drive as well as Ellis Ave will be a nightmare. It is already bad enough. People were killed in a car crash on Taylor Drive a few weeks ago. The dealerships are there. Vehicles and car carriers need easy uncongested access to the car dealerships. The car carriers are painful as they stop in the middle of the street. There is no room for more traffic there. This is the absolute worst spot for condos or apartments. We need more restaurants and businesses. No more apartments or condos in this area please. All around us on Beach Blvd apartments have been built. It needs to stop please.

Thank you!  
Pat and Carol Lara

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 7:49 AM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: Agenda item 19-758

AGENDA COMMENT

**From:** krica256@aol.com <krica256@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 17, 2019 11:56 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Agenda item 19-758

Dear City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on the this item regarding the proposed HDD on Ellis avenue. That corner is already very busy as is. We don't need more HDD right there.

Thank you,  
Christina Silva-Salgado  
(714)307-7101

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 7:49 AM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: Ellis Ave. Condos (#20 on Agenda of Aug. 19, 2019)

### SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

#### AGENDA COMMENT

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

**From:** Gino J. Bruno <gbruno@socal.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 17, 2019 5:38 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Cc:** Kiff, Dave <dave.kiff@surfcity-hb.org>; Luna-Reynosa, Ursula <ursula.luna-reynosa@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Ellis Ave. Condos (#20 on Agenda of Aug. 19, 2019)

#### Council Members:

The proposed Ellis Ave. Condos (Item #20 on Monday's Agenda) should be DENIED when it comes before you for vote on September 3<sup>rd</sup>. Listen carefully to the majority of your Planning Commissioners who voted AGAINST it.

The proposed development violates the letter . . . and the spirit . . . of the Beach Edinger Corridors Specific Plan.

Simply put: *IT DOESN'T FIT!!*

At its meeting on June 11<sup>th</sup>, the Planning Commission DENIED the project, with Findings For Denial that included (if you're interested):

1. The consolidation of three parcels into one 0.95 acre parcel is not consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan.
2. The project does not comply with the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan due to land use and circulation impacts.
3. The site is not physically suitable for the type and density of development proposed by the project.
4. The site will not function as an integrated development compatible with the vision of SP14 by merging three existing lots into a single 0.95 acre parcel.
5. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.
6. The project will generate conflicts with vehicular circulation on Ellis Ave. and there will be no connectivity for bicyclists to continue onto Beach Blvd.
7. The design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with public vehicular traffic. Vehicular access is provided via a single driveway along Ellis Avenue. The project will not allow motorists exiting the project site to turn left onto Ellis Ave. from the driveway and motorists entering the project site from eastbound

Ellis Ave. will be required to make a U-turn at Patterson Ln. to access the site. Residents and visitors cannot access the project site from eastbound Ellis Ave. without continuing past the project to Patterson Ln. to make a U-turn into the project site. Additionally, even though motorists will be required to exit the project via a right hand turn onto Ellis Ave. only (and U-turns at Beach are not permitted), motorists who do not abide by this restriction due to frequent queuing on Ellis Ave. can create conflicts with eastbound Ellis Ave. traffic.

8. The development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will be detrimental to the general welfare of persons working or residing in the vicinity or detrimental to the value of the property and improvements in the neighborhood.

9. The project is a four-story building that is incompatible with surrounding developments, including two-story older multi-family residences to the east and a car wash and fast-food restaurant to the west.

10. The proposed project is not consistent with the Town Center Neighborhood Segment of the Beach Edinger Corridors Specific Plan which supports the use of the Five Points Center as a community retail center. The proposed project does not encourage the restructuring and revitalization of surrounding properties to enhance the market appeal of the Five Points District of the BECSP. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

11. The granting of the CUP for the development of a mixed-use building consisting of 48 condominium residences and 891 sf. of retail space will adversely affect the General Plan. The project is not consistent with various specifically enumerated objectives and policies of the General Plan.

12. The BECSP encourages buildings to orient towards streets and provide enhancements to the pedestrian and public experience. Approximately five percent of the building length is oriented towards Ellis Ave. while the remainder is oriented to the adjacent residences to the east and commercial uses to the west.

13. The project architectural design and scale is not compatible with the vision of the BECSP. The adjacent properties will be impacted by the height and massing of the proposed project. The length and height of the proposed building is not compatible with the long, narrow characteristics of the 0.95 acre site.

14. The project does not support the vibrant commercial corridor envisioned in the Five Points District because only one percent of the total square footage of the project is allocated to commercial use.

Please vote to support the majority of your Planning Commissions.

They got it right!

Gino J. Bruno  
Huntington Beach

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** agendacomment@surfcity-hb.org  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 9:50 AM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** Public Comments on Council Agenda Items

**AGENDA COMMENT**

**Subject** Agenda Item #20 - Support for Appeal - HB Planning Commission was WRONG!  
**Name** Steven C Shepherd  
**Email** steve@shepherdarchitects.com

**Comments**

The Planning Commission should have pproved this project the first time and now I'm asking you to overturn their inappropriate and incorrect ruling. The reasons for my support of the applicant's appeal for very simple:

1.The Project complies with Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance and Municipal Codes. 2.The Project is similar in use to surrounding properties. 3.The Project updates and modernizes an outdated, underutilized, and visually decrepit property. 4.The Project provides new and much needed housing units for our Huntington Beach community.

These are the simple facts related to this project as researched and listed by Huntington Beach Planning Dept. staff in their original Findings and Conditions of Approval document. These findings and original recommendation to the Planning Commission were clear: approve the project.

Where the Planning Commission failed our community the first time, you are now being asked as our elected officials to put right their short-sighted failure.

**APPROVE THIS PROJECT!**

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

**Aube, Nicolle**

---

**From:** Pamela Mccay <pmccay85@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 17, 2019 3:19 PM  
**To:** Aube, Nicolle  
**Subject:** Stop the Ellis Ave Condos

Hi Nicolle,

I will actually be able to make it to the meeting on Monday but I wanted to send my email again from the previous vote as all of my concerns remain the same.

I am born and raised in Huntington Beach and I currently live at 18311 Patterson Ave, #2. This is my third time living on this street in the last ten years and I have currently been in my apartment for 4 years. My neighborhood, which is directly behind this proposed site, already has horrendous parking due to the entire neighborhood being fourplexes. We have been having a problem with Elan parking on our street because they do not want to pay for the monthly parking fee to park there on top of their astronomical rent. I have actually spoken to residents while they park in front of my house. They also told me that they tell their guest to park on our street as well. We see people every day walking to and from their cars and Elan. (And no, they are not using the crosswalk on beach) I have been petitioning to get our neighborhood permit parking and all of the residents are in favor of this. On top of the terrible parking, getting in and out of the neighborhood is horrendous. I can't even come out on my own street because the traffic is often backed up all the way to the next exit. Sometimes I can't even get out on that street (Goodwin). This intersection is already a dangerous area and I was almost t-boned coming into my tract on Monday morning on my way home from work. Adding even more traffic and congestion to this intersection will be a disservice to the city and increase the amount of accidents that already occur here. I personally know someone who was side swiped due to someone making a left turn out of the DK/jack in the box parking lot, which is where the developer confirmed the exit would be when he came knocking on all of our doors trying to get his petition signed to start the project again.

I know these complexes are all about making money for the developers, who have already greased the palms of numerous council members to push this through. Our residents do not want this! Most of these complexes have rent so high that most people can't even afford it.

I really hope this email helps keep this eye sore off this corner and keep traffic and accidents to a minimum and safety as the highest priority.

Thank you for your time,

Pamela McCay, BSN, RN

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

**Aube, Nicole**

---

**From:** Carol Ballard <carol.ballard.hb.ca@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 17, 2019 8:32 PM  
**To:** Aube, Nicole  
**Subject:** Beach|Ellis development

I'm not sure if you guys are absolutely crazy or what, but there is no way more congestion would be a good idea on the corner of Beach Blvd. and Ellis Ave. I live near there, and it's horrible. People pull out of the Elan apts at about 5 mph as it is and slows down the traffic to a near stop. Adding another building on the other side is ridiculous.

I say no to this, a HUGE RESOUNDING NO!!!

Carol Ballard

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

**Aube, Nicole**

---

**From:** Kathy McHale <kathymchale@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 7:26 AM  
**To:** Aube, Nicole  
**Subject:** Ellis Avenue Condo Complex

I am writing this email in opposition to the proposed Ellis Avenue Condo Complex. As it is, the intersection of Beach and Ellis/Main is one of the worse in the city. Adding more traffic to an already congested intersection is totally irresponsible and presents a significant safety hazard.

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 1:12 PM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW:

AGENDA COMMENT

**From:** EVENT EXPOS <eventexpos@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 12:41 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>; Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:**

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members,

I am writing to Oppose Agenda Item 20.... the denial of Planning Commission Tentative Tract Map No. 18157 and Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042 (Ellis Ave. Condos). was the correct decision. My opposition to this project is strongly motivated by the ridiculously dangerous traffic situation that already exist in this area. I would rather travel the 405 at rush hour than cross Beach Blvd at Ellis anytime of the day, adding more density in this area is a slap in the face to the residents of Huntington Beach...

Everyone of you campaigned against high density development.....Show the people of Huntington Beach you can keep those promises...

Thank you

*Yvonne Mauro*

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 12:46 PM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: broadband

### AGENDA COMMENT

**From:** Doug Silver Summit Financial <doug@silversummitfinancial.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 11:58 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** broadband

### SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

ERIK PETERSON, Mayor  
LYN SEMETA, Mayor Pro Tem  
PATRICK BRENDEN, Councilmember  
KIM CARR, Councilmember  
BARBARA DELGLEIZE, Councilmember  
JILL HARDY, Councilmember  
MIKE POSEY, Councilmember

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20/19-758

RE: Broadband, Wireless, and Transportation Infrastructure Technology Ad-Hoc Committee – SUPPORT

Dear Mayor and Honorable Councilmembers,

I am in support of this idea and agree with the language below. I live in a part of the city that **did not** get FIOS and never will because there are not plans by Frontier to improve their infrastructure, they are too debt laden. So the only option is Spectrum which has an essential monopoly and give poor service, slow speeds and high cost to both business and residential customers. Huntington Beach needs to be a 21st century city and can only do this by investing in our community.

Technology Infrastructure is the backbone of any 21<sup>st</sup> Century City. When we started our modern cities, we installed clean water pipes and sewer pipes due to advances in public health. In the 1900s we oiled the dirt roads for the coming technology of the automobile; we installed copper lines to support electric lighting and then telephones. Fiber optic infrastructure is the next leap for City Infrastructure. Studying how the City can augment technological infrastructure for businesses, wireless providers and transportation infrastructure will help create economic prosperity just as previous infrastructure improvements have.

With that, I support the Planning Commission's recommendation to the City Council for your body to form an Ad Hoc Committee to study the proposals outlined within the recommendations transmitted by the Planning Commission. I support an "all of the above" approach to review what Government, local businesses and residents need. The Ad Hoc Committee should be tasked with pulling together the disparate studies the City has undertaken in the past few years and identifying the open needs of the community and then to create recommendations to the Council for further study and action.

Taking this action in conjunction with the creation of the Research and Technology Zone will signal that Huntington Beach is once again, "open for business."

Please vote to support the formation of the Ad Hoc Committee on Broadband, Wireless and Transportation Infrastructure Technology.

Douglas Gahn

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Dombo, Johanna  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 12:41 PM  
**To:** Agenda Comment  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy; CITY COUNCIL  
**Subject:** FW: OPPOSE AGENDA Item 20

AGENDA COMMENT

**From:** Cari Swan <cswanie@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2019 12:17 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** OPPOSE AGENDA Item 20

Dear Mayor Peterson and Council Members,

I am writing to Oppose Agenda Item 20 that seeks the Appeal of Planning Commission Denial of Tentative Tract Map No. 18157 and Conditional Use Permit No. 17-042 (Ellis Ave. Condos). I oppose the project on the grounds that it is simply UNSAFE and presents DANGEROUS traffic hazards to an already burdened inter-section in our city.

As someone who used to shop and frequent the Five Points Shopping Center, I no longer frequent this center and generally avoid it due to the traffic challenges that emerged after the opening of The Elan high density apartment complex along with additional high density projects on Beach Blvd. It used to be very simple access from SE HB by traveling either Newland to Ellis or even up Beach Blvd. but both are now over-burdened. It's much easier to find other places to shop (Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley). I can only imagine the challenges faces the residents in the immediate area of the proposed project who do not have other choices for accessing Ellis and Beach and it troubles me that this happens time and time again to residents who have invested their entire lives in H.B. and are continually thrown under the bus by the latest and greatest development whim.

I think it's also worth mentioning that I am not a person that considers myself "anti-development"; quite the contrary. I do believe our development must to SAFE and COMPATIBLE with the surround community. I urge you to reject this proposal and not subject the community to further traffic and safety hazards.

Respectfully,

Cari Swan

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)



Douglas Gahn  
Financial and Retirement Advisor  
Insurance  
714.454.7719  
714.963.3468 fax  
[www.silversummitfinancial.com](http://www.silversummitfinancial.com)

Helping families and individuals to Retire Happy and Worry Free

# Work Order: #182214

Opened:  
08/18/2019

Closed:  
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

## Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

### SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

### STREET ADDRESS



### COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

NO ON 19! NO TO DEVELOPERS...NO TO MORE TRAFFIC...NO TO MORE APT/CONDOS AT THAT INTERSECTION....IT IS ALREADY A HAZARD

By : Lynn Unger  
Email : Lynnungerhb@gmail.com  
Phone : 714-960-0082  
Device :

Media Submitted  
None

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 2019-758

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:33 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182214 status has changed from referred to resolved.  
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Support Worker: 08/19/2019 9:21 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182214 has been assigned to Patty Esparza

Share with Citizen: NO

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182214 status has changed from new to referred.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

**Antonia Graham**

Workorder #182214 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

**Antonia Graham**

Workorder #182214 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

# Work Order: #182237

Opened:  
08/18/2019

Closed:  
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

## Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

### SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

### STREET ADDRESS



### COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Beach and Ellis property up for review Please stand with our Planning Commission decision!  
Thanks,RogerG.Smith,DDS BaezaCircle,HB 92648

By : Roger g Smith  
Email : res1rgsdds@gmail.com  
Phone :  
Device :

Media Submitted  
None

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:35 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182237 status has changed from referred to resolved.  
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182237 status has changed from new to referred.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182237 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:20 AM

**Antonia Graham**

Workorder #182237 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

# Work Order: #182087

Opened:  
08/17/2019

Closed:  
08/19/2019  
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

## Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

### SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

### STREET ADDRESS



By : Janene Acosta  
Email : acofam@verizon.net  
Phone :  
Device :

Media Submitted  
None

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 2019-758

### COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Tahir Salim's project at 8041 Ellis Ave.

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:31 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182087 status has changed from assigned to resolved.  
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Support Worker: 08/19/2019 9:24 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182087 has been assigned to Patty Esparza

Share with Citizen: NO

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182087 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182087 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

**Antonia Graham**

Workorder #182087 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

# Work Order: #182143

Opened:  
08/17/2019

Closed:  
08/19/2019

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

## Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

### SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

### STREET ADDRESS



By : Sharon Tower  
Email : sgtower66@gmail.com  
Phone : 714-962-1909  
Device :

Media Submitted  
None

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

### COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Ellis Ave. proposed Condo/Apartments Please deny the proposed Condo/Apartment complex on Ellis and Beach. HB is already strained by the traffic on our over crowded streets.

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:32 AM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182143 status has changed from assigned to resolved.  
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Support Worker: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Workorder #182143 has been assigned to Patty Esparza

Share with Citizen: NO

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

Antonia Graham

Work Order #182143 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Assigned Worker: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

**Antonia Graham**

Workorder #182143 has been assigned to Robin Estanislau.

Share with Citizen: NO

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 9:23 AM

**Antonia Graham**

Workorder #182143 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO

# Work Order: #182474

Opened:  
08/19/2019

Closed:  
08/19/2019  
9

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

## Agenda & Public Hearing Comments

### SUB TYPE

City Council Meeting

### STREET ADDRESS



By : Kathy Moro  
Email : kathy\_moro@yahoo.com  
Phone :  
Device :

Media Submitted  
None

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 8/19/19

Agenda Item No.: 20(19-758)

### COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Re The reconsideration of the proposal to develop the corner of Ellis and BeachBlvd., I again write you in opposition to this development. I sincerely hope that you will turn down the developers request as his proposal is not an appropriate use of the space at that intersection. It will greatly increase traffic and contribute to the problem of high density development in our city.

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 1:05 PM

Donna Switzer

Work Order #182474 status has changed from assigned to resolved.  
Thank you for sharing your concerns. Your email will be included as a Supplemental Communication to this City Council agenda item.

Share with Citizen: YES

Status Changed: 08/19/2019 12:49 PM

Johanna Dombo

Work Order #182474 status has changed from new to assigned.

Share with Citizen: YES

Issue Type/Subtype Changed: 08/19/2019 12:49 PM

Johanna Dombo

Workorder #182474 Issue type changed from City Council to Agenda & Public Hearing Comments and subtype City Council Meeting.

Share with Citizen: NO