#### PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

**SERVICE: PD Modernization Project** 

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Provide Project Management and A/E services

**VENDOR: Erickson-Hall** 

**OVERALL RANKING: 1 of 3** 

#### SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

Assistant Police Chief, Budget Manager, Construction Manager, Facilities Manager, Infrastructure Manager.

### I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

Written Proposal Score: 462

VENDOR NAME – Minimum Qualifications Review					
<u>Criteria</u>	Total Weighted Score	Maximum Score			
Program Management Capabilities	120	125			
Technical Approach	116	125			
Previous Experience	111	125			
Cost Control/Financial	115	125			
Total	462	500			

## II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

Interview Ranking: 1

## Erickson-Hall - Summary of Review

- Individual team member qualifications, specifically the assigned Project Manager
- Proposed a broader and more cohesive Project Team, which includes the architect already familiar with the project. No learning curve.
- Project understanding of specific items described in the RFQ
- Experience with tenant improvements versus ground up construction
- Project approach addressing the schematic design included in the RFQ
- Interaction/exchange and response to questions during the interview
- Project control tools and rapid response capabilities displayed during interview

#### **Erickson-Hall – Pricing**

• \$1,599,741

### PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

**SERVICE: PD Modernization Project** 

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Provide Project Management and A/E services** 

**VENDOR: Vendor 2** 

**OVERALL RANKING: 2 of 3** 

## SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

Assistant Police Chief, Budget Manager, Construction Manager, Facilities Manager, Infrastructure Manager.

# I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

• Written Proposal Score:

VENDOR NAME - Minimum Qualifications Review					
<u>Criteria</u> Program Management	Total Weighted Score	Maximum Score			
Capabilities	113	125			
Technical Approach	104	125			
Previous Experience	117	125			
Cost Control/Financial	109	125			
Total	443	500			

## II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

Interview Ranking: 2

## **VENDOR 2 – Summary of Review**

- The firm has experience and depth for providing PM@ Risk services both in their proposal and in interview.
- The firm has experience with new PD facilities.

VEND	OR 2 – Pricing		
•	\$1,369,000		

### PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

**SERVICE: PD Modernization Project** 

**SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Provide Project Management and A/E services** 

**VENDOR: Vendor 3** 

**OVERALL RANKING: 3 of 3** 

## SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

Assistant Police Chief, Budget Manager, Construction Manager, Facilities Manager, Infrastructure Manager.

# I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

Written Proposal Score: 364

VENDOR NAME – Minimum Qualifications Review					
Criteria	Total Weighted Score	Maximum Score			
Program Management Capabilities	86	125			
Technical Approach	92	125			
Previous Experience	90	125			
Cost Control/Financial	96	125			
Total	364	500			

## II. <u>DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW</u>

Interview Ranking: Was not interviewed.

### **VENDOR 3 – Summary of Review**

- Was not interviewed
- Staff lacked rehabilitation experience of existing PD facilities
- Listed projects not related to rehabilitating existing PD facilities.

## **VENDOR 3 – Pricing**

• Did not submit a formal fee proposal