

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

SERVICE: On-Call Architectural Engineering

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Engineering support for City's annual Capital Improvement Program projects.

VENDOR: IDS Group, Inc.

OVERALL RANKING: 1 out of 18

SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Maintenance Operations Manager 2. Admin Analyst Senior 3. General Services Manager

I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

- Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 85.7

IDS Group – Minimum Qualifications Review		
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Total Weighted Score</u>	<u>Maximum Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	8.7	10
Qualifications and experience of Firm, key individuals and sub-consultants.	24	25
Staffing	24.3	25
Understanding & Methodology	13.7	15
References	10	10
Local Vendor Preference	5	5
Interview (Optional)	-	15
Total	85.7	105

II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

- Clients such as the City of Irvine, City of La Palma, and John Wayne Airport, to name a few

IDS Group – Summary of Review	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• IDS Group's sample projects are relevant to city needs• The company has a wide range of experienced staff	

IDS Group – Pricing	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low end from \$55/Hr for Office Administration• High end to \$190/Hr for Principal	

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

SERVICE: On-Call Architectural Engineering

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Engineering support for City's annual Capital Improvement Program projects.

VENDOR: Westberg+White Architecture

OVERALL RANKING: 2 out of 18

SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Maintenance Operations Manager 2. Admin Analyst Senior 3. General Services Manager

I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

- Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 84.3

Westberg+White Architecture – Minimum Qualifications Review		
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Total Weighted Score</u>	<u>Maximum Score</u>
<i>Proposal Clarity</i>	7.3	10
<i>Qualifications and experience of Firm, key individuals and sub-consultants.</i>	24	25
<i>Staffing</i>	24	25
<i>Understanding & Methodology</i>	14	15
<i>References</i>	10	10
<i>Local Vendor Preference</i>	5	5
<i>Interview (Optional)</i>	-	15
Total	84.3	105

II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

- Clients such as Golden West College, Citrus Community College, and Rancho Santiago Community College, to name a few

Westberg+White Architecture – Summary of Review
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Westberg+White Architecture staff has relevant experience in government-related projects

Westberg+White Architecture – Pricing
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low end from \$70/Hr for Administrative Support• High end to \$215/Hr for Partner/Principal

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

SERVICE: On-Call Architectural Engineering

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Engineering support for City's annual Capital Improvement Program projects.

VENDOR: Pacific Rim Architecture

OVERALL RANKING: 3 out of 18

SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Maintenance Operations Manager 2. Admin Analyst Senior 3. General Services Manager

I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

- Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 81

Pacific Rim Architecture – Minimum Qualifications Review		
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Total Weighted Score</u>	<u>Maximum Score</u>
<i>Proposal Clarity</i>	8.7	10
<i>Qualifications and experience of Firm, key individuals and sub-consultants.</i>	23.3	25
<i>Staffing</i>	22.7	25
<i>Understanding & Methodology</i>	14.3	15
<i>References</i>	7	10
<i>Local Vendor Preference</i>	5	5
<i>Interview (Optional)</i>	-	15
Total	81	105

II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

- Clients such as the City of Los Angeles, Pacific Lutheran University, and County of Los Angeles, to name a few

Pacific Rim Architecture – Summary of Review
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pacific Rim Architecture had a clear proposal with concise methodology• Sample projects similar to city of Huntington Beach needs

Pacific Rim Architecture – Pricing
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low end from \$75/Hr for Administrative/Clerical• High end to \$210/Hr for Principal (Architecture/Structural/Civil)

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AWARD ANALYSIS

SERVICE: On-Call Architecture & Engineering

SERVICE DESCRIPTION: Engineering support for City's annual Capital Improvement Program projects.

VENDOR: Vendor #4

OVERALL RANKING: 4 out of 18

SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS/RATERS:

1. Maintenance Operations Manager 2. Admin Analyst Senior 3. General Services Manager

I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REVIEW

- Written Proposal Score: Avg. of 79.3

Vendor #4 – Minimum Qualifications Review		
<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Total Weighted Score</u>	<u>Maximum Score</u>
Proposal Clarity	8.3	10
Qualifications and experience of Firm, key individuals and sub-consultants.	23.7	25
Staffing	22.7	25
Understanding & Methodology	12.7	15
References	7	10
Local Vendor Preference	5	5
Interview (Optional)	-	15
Total	79.3	105

II. DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

- Clients such as the County of Orange, City of Ontario, and Whittier Law School, to name a few

VENDOR #4 – Summary of Review	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Vendor #4 proposal was comprehensive and clearly stated experience and relevant projects• Well suited for small city projects	

VENDOR #4 – Pricing	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low end from \$65/Hr for Administrative Support• High end to \$200/Hr for Principal Architect	