

Switzer, Donna

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 3:49 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: CCE

-----Original Message-----

From: Kevin Anderson <kpanderson@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 3:35 PM
To: Posey, Mike <Mike.Posey@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: CCE

I will be opting out ASAP. You need your head examined if you think you represent the people of HB- you don't.

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 6:16 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 21-085(CCE) and Agenda Item 21-102

From: Brian White <blw111575@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 5:14 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 21-085(CCE) and Agenda Item 21-102

We respectfully request each of you vote "No" on Agenda Items 21-085(CCE) and 21-102. Tito Ortiz is a highly loved and respected member of the Huntington Beach community and was voted into office with a historic landslide majority of votes. His voters appreciate his views and actions and stand by him wholeheartedly. Brian and Lorna White

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 6:18 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Agenda item 21-085 (CCE) and Agenda item 21-102

-----Original Message-----

From: John Felton <jfelton@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 5:39 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda item 21-085 (CCE) and Agenda item 21-102

My wife and I respectfully request that each of you vote "No" on Agenda items 21-085 (CCE) and Agenda item 21-102. We have the utmost amount of faith and respect for Tito Ortiz as a Huntington Beach council member, and know that he will do best for our city which is invaluable to all of us. He was voted into office by a landslide, and for very good reason. His dedication to the betterment of our city, views and actions, and love for HB speaks for itself.

Respectfully,
John and Stephanie Felton.

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Voting

From: sherrie wolfe <sherriewolf@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 1:23 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>; Posey, Mike <Mike.Posey@surfcity-hb.org>; Kalmick, Dan <Dan.Kalmick@surfcity-hb.org>; Delgleize, Barbara <Barbara.Delgleize@surfcity-hb.org>; Moser, Natalie <Natalie.Moser@surfcity-hb.org>; Carr, Kim <Kim.Carr@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Voting

Dear Huntington Beach City Council Members,

I am writing to urge you to reject Agenda Item 21-085 (CCE). We have no business being in the energy business. There is no justification in voting in the affirmative on that item.

I also urge you to reject Agenda Item 21-102 (Removing Tito Ortiz as Mayor Pro Tem). He was voted in with the most votes in HB ever so that proves he represents the majority of people in our city. It is no reason to remove his status because you disagree with him. This smacks of silencing a conservative just like social media is doing and I dare say, it resembles the "R" word. He is our first Mexican American voted in for this position. Also, it is despicable that the LA Times and the OC Register was notified by Oliver Chi and Kim Carr to write a hit piece on Tito. Talk about childish actions. REALLY? Why don't you all do the jobs you are paid to do and accept that there are differences between all people that should be worked out, not just silenced when they are voiced. When you silence Tito, you silence all of the people who voted for him to represent us. And all of you represent us.

Again, please vote NO on the CCE and on Item 21-102.

Sincerely,
Sherrie Wolfe

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:01 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: TITO ORTIZ / CCE

-----Original Message-----

From: Sherry Kennedy <dksmrs5@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 1:16 PM
To: Ortiz, Tito <Tito.Ortiz@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: TITO ORTIZ / CCE

We support you Tito!!!

Dear HB Council Members ,

I am writing to tell you I do NOT support your recall of Tito Ortiz or joining in the CCE.

Tito represents traditional Huntington Beach values and has overwhelming majority of votes from the tax paying citizens of Huntington Beach. Do not use your liberal agenda to remove him so that you can do as you wish.

Do NOT join the CCE with Irvine.

Thank you,

Sherry Kennedy
HB Resident & Tax Payer

Sherry Kennedy

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: vote

From: Felicia Coen <thecoens2@verizon.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 11:48 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: vote

Dear City Council Members,

Please vote no on CCE!!!

Please do not remove Tito Ortez, a man of integrity who has the insight to work towards a better representation of his constituents and a betterment of our wonderful community.

I have lived in HB since 1963; My husband , Al, served as mayor 3 times, and was on the City Council for 12 years.

May you continue in your dedication in keeping HB the wonderful city it is.

Sincerely

Felicia Coen

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:08 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Tito Ortiz Pro Tem Mayor

From: Valentina Bankhead <bankheadcountry@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 9:30 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Fwd: Tito Ortiz Pro Tem Mayor

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Valentina Bankhead** <bankheadcountry@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Jan 31, 2021 at 9:11 AM
Subject: Re: Tito Ortiz Pro Tem Mayor
To: Dan.Kalmick@surfcity-hb.org <Dan.Kalmick@surfcity-hb.org>, Kim.Carr@surfcity-hb.org <Kim.Carr@surfcity-hb.org>, Natalie.Moser@surfcity-hb.org <Natalie.Moser@surfcity-hb.org>, barbara.delgleize@surfcity-hb.org <barbara.delgleize@surfcity-hb.org>, erik.peterson@surfcity-hb.org <erik.peterson@surfcity-hb.org>, mike.posey@surfcity-hb.org <mike.posey@surfcity-hb.org>, tito.ortiz@surfcity-hb.org <tito.ortiz@surfcity-hb.org>

NO on Agenda Item 21-085(CCE) and Agenda Item 21-102 (Removing Tito as Mayor Pro Tem)

To Mayor Carr
Pro Tem Mayor Mr Tito Ortiz
Councilman Mr Erik Peterson
Councilwoman Natalie Moser
Councilwoman Barbara Delglize
Councilman Posey
Councilman Kalmick

Tito Ortiz, a grassroots LATINO candidate from HB, who won by the most votes in the HISTORY in Huntingtons election November 2020, is now our Pro Tem Mayor. I understand that Carr, Posey and Kalmick want to remove his ProTem status. You 3 are putting us on notice that you would like to hold a "No Confidence Vote" to REMOVE Tito Ortiz from his position as Pro Tem Mayor. I believe you are making this move because you do not like that Tito is anti mask. Besides the fact that Tito's personal views and values, are his own, and which are absolutely honorable and guided by faith family country; you most likely do not agree with his conservative nature nor his choice of President.

It is an outrageous attempt to vote on removing his leadership roles. How damn insulting, as well as the hugest slap in the face to all your HB constituents that voted for him. And may I also say that he is the 2nd Latino on our council history, since 1988 when the people voted in Jim Silva. Who also became our Mayor from 1992-

1994. That was historic for Huntington Beach to have a minority seat. And Mr Silva's legacy was preserved. There was no character vandalizing such as this back then.

This is a witch hunt perpetrated by Gina Clayton Tarvin and her bully radical left hate crew. I'm sure you are all aware of her dangerous divisive tactics. I'm not sure how this behavior is acceptable by Gina's school board where she works at, as a teacher, is acceptable, let alone the party of tolerance she belongs to. These people bully and silence everyone with a conservative view. By demonizing, race baiting, and so far, "legally" harassing people on social media, publicly. I hope you understand that Tito holds a "No Bullying" program for young kids yearly and teaches them the best rules to handle harassment. See website from the OC Weekly 2012.

[HTTPS://WWW.OCWEEKLY.COM/TITO-ORTIZ-BAD-BOY-OF-HUNTINGTON-BEACH-AND-MMA-HALL-OF-FAMER-JUST-SAYS-NO-TO-BULLYING-6446764/](https://www.ocweekly.com/tito-ortiz-bad-boy-of-huntington-beach-and-mma-hall-of-famer-just-says-no-to-bullying-6446764/)

TITO ORTIZ, "BAD BOY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH" AND MMA HALL OF FAMER, JUST SAYS NO TO BULLYING

MATT COKER | POSTED ON OCTOBER 30, 2012

This item agenda vote should be rejected by the citizens that live in Huntington as well as yourselves. I reject your item agenda, as I voted for Tito and I have lived here since 2018 and I am a resident that is blessed to know that my vote counted, and I am represented by a Latino on that council.

How dare you even think you can remove him when you claim to be seated on city council to do the best job for the citizens. This community has always been about hardworking people and it's a small beach town that deserves honorable council members.

Simply, the uproar here is not because of corruption, fraud, bribery, breaking ones oath, illegal discretions, or betrayal to the Huntington Beach residents and it's not about rescinding on policies, resolutions, or mandates that affect any of us.

This is because you 3 simply do not like Tito. And this is a way to attempt, to remove his authority, position, and election accomplishment.

Tito has always been about the citizens and prospering the city for our best interests.

Tito has given back to multiple local charities and supported youth groups as well as veteran groups and given back to this community through a variety of organizations, 10 fold, over many many years. He is chosen and was seated to help fix our homeless problem, further our safety in this town, and be a voice for the community, not big union reps or jocking for more financial loss, or exposure to our bottom line. He is not onboard for his own special interests, as Michael Posey has demonstrated, per this agreement to add this agenda item.

Tito does not want to take away any more from our pockets and will do everything he can to not add to our city's debt. He will make the best decisions possible with city council, to avoid risk to HB residents. He will support the best possible resolutions for our safety, economic growth and is extremely transparent.

He is NOT About HDD, or insane land deals that do not benefit the economy here or detract from our local small businesses. Truly his personal agenda is not catering to a lobbying corporation for bigger business deals for their pockets, as Posey has done so many times. Or defunding police as a platform, which is under Carr & Kalmicks platform with the Democrat party and union reps; and that they must accomplish before their tenure is up.

There is NO undermining agenda with Tito, but to make HB safe, affordable, and giving the citizens here, a peace of mind that we are taken care of by our city officials. We need honest, hard working, & dedication from city council. Not a circus show of Carr, Posey, & Kalmick pulling out the clownshow by removing a winner of a seat for their own pleasure and threats from union and “crying Karen’s” about Tito’s personal choice to wear a mask or NOT.

You need to stop this blatant disregard to Mr Ortiz’s mask or no mask personal decision. Please work with him in conjunction with your ideas on real issues that relate to our city. He has listened and is respectful to you all. A Latino that literally blew your voting numbers out of the water, you should be very impressed. Our Latino community is tremendously proud of him. Please stop wasting our time and tax payer dollars to this dog & pony show. Your showboating to the liberal agenda is in plain sight.

Thank you for your time; please make the best decision for our diverse community.

Regards,
Valentina Bankhead
Huntington Beach resident

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:23 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: NO ON CCE

From: Dorothy Sheldon <dorshel@twc.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 30, 2021 3:53 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: NO ON CCE

City Council of Huntington Beach:

NO ON CCE!

Support Councilman Tito Ortiz

Dorothy Sheldon

14742 Mimosa Lane

Tustin, CA

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:26 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 21-102 & Agenda Item 21-085(CCE)

From: Anthony Palumbo <apalumbo3@verizon.net>
Sent: Saturday, January 30, 2021 1:14 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 21-102 & Agenda Item 21-085(CCE)

Dear City Council Members; I oppose the agenda item to remove Tito Ortiz as Mayor Pro Tem. Although I did not vote for Mr. Ortiz he was duly elected and the City Council Members behind this dirty trick will have to face voters like me in the future. I City Council member who has been elected by the voters has every right to remain on the City Council and to become mayor when his turn comes. Because some council members do not share his views or approve of his actions is no reason to remove him from his position. I do not like Council Members trying to censor colleague.

I am also against the Community Choice Energy program. It seems that certain Council Members wish to get into the energy business and want the ability to raise energy rates without input from the citizens of this city. This is dictatorial control over our energy rates and I am firmly against the CCR and any council members that votes in favor of instituting the ill-advised program on Huntington Beach. How about working for the best interest of the citizens of this city and leave the power trip.

Anthony Palumbo CPA
(714) 274-5018

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:27 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Tito

From: Brian White <bwrecondo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 30, 2021 12:58 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Tito

Please vote against agenda 21-085(cce) and 21-102
Thank you,
Brian and Lorna White
H.B. 92649

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:45 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Yes on CCE, Admin Item 21, Feb. 1, 2021 CC mtg.

From: Dan Jamieson <broker_advocate@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 30, 2021 10:06 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Yes on CCE, Admin Item 21, Feb. 1, 2021 CC mtg.

Dear City Council:

Please approve Administrative Item 21, regarding the Community Choice Energy (CCE) Joint Power Authority (JPA), at the Feb. 1, 2021 council meeting.

CCE programs were designed by the state to introduce competition into the power-procurement market. Such a program will likely lower power rates for HB residents and businesses, and offer more options on sourcing electricity. Importantly, residents will still have the option of using S. Calif. Edison to procure power.

Sincerely,

Dan Jamieson

Huntington Beach

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 3:12 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Feb 1 city council agenda items

From: Michelle Marciniec <michellemarym@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 6:21 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Feb 1 city council agenda items

Dear honorable city council members,

We are writing today in opposition to the CCE: Agenda Item 21-085. Please vote No on this item. We are against further bureaucracies and regulations.

Second, we prefer you to vote NO on removing Tito Ortiz as Mayor Pro Tem. Tito was elected by many thousands of HB residents because we agree with his values; in spite of the fact that there were many other Republican candidates to vote for.

It is arrogant and sanctimonious of council members to decide that Tito doesn't deserve the position he rightfully earned. Huntington Beach is a conservative city, filled with freedom loving people, and we denounce your left wing actions and the propaganda you fill our left wing papers with. You repeatedly tell lies, and are dividing our city.

Yours,
Stephen & Michelle Marciniec
327 18th St.
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 9:24 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: No CCE!

From: Sherry Daniels <sherryd628@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 8:36 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: No CCE!

Dear Councilmembers,

I and my entire family are strongly opposed to our city getting into the energy business and urge you to vote No on joining a CCE. This is a disastrous proposition! It will not provide any significant savings on our energy bills. The supposed 2% savings on my last bill would have been \$3; that's a joke. In addition, I have no confidence in our city hall being able to manage this because we aren't in the energy business! Every electrician and electrical engineer I have heard speak on CCEs say it's a sham and doesn't work the way the sales pitch says it's going to work.

Now is the time to listen to the Huntington Beach residents, not the pro-CCE outsiders, who monopolized the public comments the last time this was on the agenda. Should you approve this agenda item, and this goes forward, we will be opting out, and encourage all of our family, friends and neighbors to also opt out.

Sincerely,
Sherry Daniels

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 9:24 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Item #21 – Yes for Membership in Orange County Power Authority

From: Craig Preston <craigp4444@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 8:32 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Item #21 – Yes for Membership in Orange County Power Authority

Dear City Council –

I ask for your **strong support for staying in OCPA**. Let's bring Community Choice for Huntington Beach families and businesses ASAP. The lower cost energy and cleaner air benefit us all. I support the citizens of HB getting consumer choice, cost savings, and energy resilience. Action is needed now. Leadership is needed now, for we are facing fires, floods, and an economic downturn.

Here are just two examples of leadership to move us to a lower carbon atmosphere.

1. U.S. Chamber of Commerce announced 1/19/21 a big shift in now supporting "a market-based approach to accelerate greenhouse gas emissions reductions across the U.S. economy." Here is one of the articles about it.

<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/policy/energy/us-chamber-carbon-pricing-climate-change>

2. General Motors committing 1/28/21 to phase out gasoline-powered cars and trucks by 2035.

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/28/business/gm-zero-emission-vehicles.html?campaign_id=60&emc=edit_na_20210128&instance_id=0&nl=breaking-news&ref=cta®i_id=57132365&segment_id=50516&user_id=c1c65ff567efb89e96d1720bfeb6374

4

I say YES on Item #21-A! Let's increase your priority for CCE.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Craig Preston
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 9:26 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Agenda Item 21-085 - Vote No

From: Ginger Leibfreid <skincarebyginger@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 7:49 PM
To: Carr, Kim <Kim.Carr@surfcity-hb.org>; Ortiz, Tito <Tito.Ortiz@surfcity-hb.org>; Posey, Mike <Mike.Posey@surfcity-hb.org>; Delgleize, Barbara <Barbara.Delgleize@surfcity-hb.org>; Kalmick, Dan <Dan.Kalmick@surfcity-hb.org>; atalie.Moser@surfcity-hb.org; Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>; Peterson, Erik <Erik.Peterson@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Agenda Item 21-085 - Vote No

Dear Mayor Carr and Council Members,

After reviewing all of the information available on CCE with Irvine proposal, I am urging the City take a measured approach and vote No on item 21-085. It would be best to see how other cities already in the CCE perform before jumping into a financial disaster to Huntington Beach and its residents. As you know the city of Palmdale is already pulling out of the deal.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ginger Leibfreid
32 Year Huntington Beach Resident and Home Owner
Costa Mesa Business Owner

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: No on Agenda Item 21-085(CCE)

From: Ted Ross <tedross_0077@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:02 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: No on Agenda Item 21-085(CCE)

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

As a resident of Huntington Beach, I urge this topic be stopped ASAP on behalf of the taxpayers of Huntington Beach. Rationale for this position follows:

1. "Green Energy" and Renewables are a failure wherever they are implemented. Simply put, Renewables cannot compete with traditional sources of energy either fiscally or reliably. At this moment renewables are entirely dependent on subsidies from Federal and State sources. As we see in South Australia, over reliance on wind power has created severe blackouts.
2. "Greenwashing". The only way CCAs can claim to "bring Green Power" to an area is with the complex shell game of RECs (Renewable Energy Certificates). Every time a renewable power plant generates one MW of energy a renewable energy certificate or REC is generated. Here energy consultant Jim Phelps describes the Shell Game of renewable energy certificates *"Most of MCE's (Marin Clean Energy) Deep Green energy is based on a paper trading scheme, known as a Renewable Energy Certificate (REC). Each REC is produced by a renewable energy resource, such as a windfarm in Washington or an industrial scale solar farm somewhere in the US. One REC represents one megawatt- hour (MWh) of energy from the windfarm. In the case of MCE, Washington keeps the wind energy and MCE buys its inexpensive RECs, giving MCE the right to tell everyone it is the one that's green -- not the wind farm. But since MCE still needs to deliver actual electricity to its Marin customers, it purchases cheap gas-fired power, then reports that REC to governing agencies. Voila -- "clean" gas-fired energy! And it's all perfectly legal. Legal, yes. But not particularly ethical or responsible to MCE customers, some of whom, thanks to MCE's misleading marketing tactics, still believe they get "green electricity" through their light sockets."*
3. The Fallacy of energy "Delivery". CCAs claim to "bring" green power to your area. From a REC presentation in 2011 comes the CA definition of "Energy Delivery" and how RECs are used to mislabel power. The Western Regional Grid is interconnected by nature, power cannot be "delivered" from one destination to the next due to the fungibility of electricity.
 1. For RPS compliance, electricity is deemed delivered if either generated at a location within state, or **scheduled for consumption** by California end-use retail customers.
 2. Electricity generated by facilities located in-state or having their first point of interconnection to WEC transmission system in-state satisfies California RPS delivery requirements.

3. Electricity may be delivered into California, **at different time** than when RPS-certified facility generated electricity per Cal. Public Resources Code § 25741(a).
4. **Delivered electricity may also be generated at a different location than that of RPS-certified facility.**
5. PPA **must include both RECs and electricity** generated by facility as bundled commodity, and **matching quantity of electricity must be delivered** to in-state market hub (a.k.a. a “zone”) or an in-state point of delivery (a.k.a. a “node”) which is located within California.
6. For out-of-state generators, RPS-eligible generation from an out- of-state project that is “scheduled . . . into a California balancing authority without substituting electricity from another source” **qualifies as an “in-state product.”** Intended to enable out-of-state projects that have firm transmission rights and the corresponding right to schedule and deliver power into California to **qualify for the most advantageous of the three (REC) portfolio categories as effectively an in-state bundled sale.** May *potentially* provide broad exemption from limitations on transactions involving both firm and shaped products and unbundled RECs for existing projects.

4) Current political climate. CCAs are entirely dependent on subsidized renewable energy. As we see with the Trump Administration's moves with EPA and pronouncements on Climate Change it is likely that subsidies for renewables will be curtailed. On March 16th OMB Director Mulvaney went so far as to say “As to Climate Change we’re not spending money on that anymore, we consider that a waste of your money”. If and When the subsidies are lowered or removed CCAs will no longer be viable yet the contracts binding SBCTA and other COGs will be in place jeopardizing consumer’s access to energy and opening the door to massive lawsuits.

5) The Key to Renewables is Storage. A lack of electrical energy storage facilities in transmission systems leads to a key limitation. Electrical energy must be generated at the same rate at which it is consumed. A sophisticated control system is required to ensure that the power generation very closely matches the demand. If the demand for power exceeds supply, the imbalance can cause generation plant(s) and transmission equipment to automatically disconnect and/or shut down to prevent damage. In the worst case, this may lead to a cascading series of shut downs and a major regional blackout. Examples include the US Northeast blackouts of 1965, 1977, 2003, and major blackouts in other US regions in 1996 and 2011. Electric transmission networks are interconnected into regional, national, and even continent wide networks to reduce the risk of such a failure by providing multiple redundant, alternative routes for power to flow should such shut downs occur. Transmission companies determine the maximum reliable capacity of each line (ordinarily less than its physical or thermal limit) to ensure that spare capacity is available in the event of a failure in another part of the network.

As you can see, CCA will endanger ratepayers access to affordable energy and is far too risky to be implemented at this time.

Yours truly,

Ted Ross
Huntington Beach Voter!

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: _____

Agenda Item No.: _____

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:01 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Option A Item 21-085

From: Audrey Prosser <prosserga@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:34 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Option A Item 21-085

Please vote to approve Option A, of Item 21-085 to approve Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority.

It will save residents and the City money.

It is a critical step for us to take for cleaner air. It will help me and many others that suffer from asthma.

**Audrey Prosser
9382 Sunridge Dr
Huntington Beach, CA 92646**

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:05 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Support Option A, of Item 21-085

From: Jessica Castillo <castillo119love@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 6:58 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Support Option A, of Item 21-085

Dear Huntington Beach Mayor and Council Members,

I support Option A, of Item 21-085: Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority.

It is important to me because reducing emissions is important to me to save our beaches and keep our air clean. Also, I value renewable energy and CCE's and the option to choose more renewables; CCA's are buying more renewables than SCE.

Signed,

Jessica Castillo
Huntington Beach
castillo119love@gmail.com

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:10 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: I support option 'A', item 21-085 on today's agenda

From: suvangeer@sbcglobal.net <suvangeer@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:59 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: I support option 'A', item 21-085 on today's agenda

A) Maintain membership in the Orange County Power Authority Community Choice Energy Joint Power Authority.

It's the right thing for Huntington Beach residents – more jobs, lower utility rates, less pollution.

Suvan Geer

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:17 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: I support CCA for Huntington Beach

From: KIRK NASON <kirk_nason@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:45 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Cc: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: I support CCA for Huntington Beach

Dear Huntington Beach Mayor and Council Members,

I support Option A, of Item 21-085: Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority.

I want clean power and choice. CCA has been proven across the state to be cheaper than monopolies like SCE & PG&E

Regards,

Kirk J. Nason
714 321-7298

- Use my referral code to get 1k miles of free Supercharging on a new Tesla:

<http://ts.la/kirk4045>

Excuse brevity & typos

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Vote NO on items 21-085 and 21-102

From: palatine@verizon.net <palatine@verizon.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 10:48 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Vote NO on items 21-085 and 21-102

To all city council members,

Please vote NO on these items :

21-085 -- The City of Huntington Beach does not need to be involved with the Community Choice Energy Program. That is a poor decision on your part.

21-102 -- Do NOT remove Tito Ortiz from his Mayor Pro Tem position. The residents of Huntington Beach supported him overwhelmingly in the election. Your attempt to remove him from this position is typical dirty politics that the residents of Huntington Beach are fed up with. It shows you do not care at all who the voters want to represent them. Disgusting!!!

We will turn out next election to vote the rest of you out of office.

Tito, and Erik, we support you!!

Janice Campbell
7572 Rhone Ln
Huntington Beach
CA 92647

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: City Council agenda item: 21-085 Consider Maintaining Membership with the Orange County Power Authority (OCPA), a Community Choice Energy (CCE) Joint Power Authority (JPA)

From: H Meyers <hmeybsan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:41 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: City Council agenda item: 21-085 Consider Maintaining Membership with the Orange County Power Authority (OCPA), a Community Choice Energy (CCE) Joint Power Authority (JPA)

I support Option A, of Item 21-085: Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority. it is of the utmost importance for our future that you vote for Option A.

Option A is necessary so that we can transition more rapidly to renewable energy leading also to improved air quality; so that our energy costs can be kept as low as possible, which would encourage businesses to come to and remain in Huntington Beach; so that the community, not investors, benefits from utility services; and so that we have choice in our energy provider and are not subject to the whims of a monopoly.

As a coastal city, Huntington Beach is especially endangered by climate change and the rising sea levels it causes. We must act now for a livable future.

Thank you,
Hildy Meyers
201 20th St
Huntington Beach

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Steven C. Shepherd, Architect <steve@shepherdarchitects.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 2:39 PM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL
Subject: YES on Item #21-A (Remain as founding member of Orange County Power Authority)

January 31, 2021
Supplemental Communications to City Council
Huntington Beach City Council Meeting for February 1, 2021

Re: Item #21 – Membership in Orange County Power Authority

Dear City Council –
Your initial vote to become one of the Orange County Power Authority (OCPA) founding members showed tremendous vision and leadership. I congratulate you all on your initial action and am writing today to encourage you to continue this relationship.

I strongly support an aggressively affirmative vision for Huntington Beach’s future and believe maintaining membership in the OCPA fits into such a vision very nicely.

Please vote to support our continued membership in the OCPA! YES on Item #21-A!

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Steve Shepherd
Huntington Beach, CA 92646

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Lisa Swanson <lisainlb@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 7:12 PM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: 21-085 Consider Maintaining Membership with the Orange County Power Authority (OCPA), a Community Choice Energy (CCE) Joint Power Authority (JPA)

Dear HB Council Members, thanks for your dedicated service to our community. My name is Lisa Swanson and I have owned my home on Compass Lane for 11 years. I expressed my strong support for joining the OCPA as a founding member in comments that are part of the record from the special meeting. I have reviewed the report prepared by MRW & Associates, LLC and believe it provides very good justification to maintain HB's membership. Thanks for commissioning the report and also for posting the CCE FAQ website. I hope both of these efforts have helped to lessen any public concerns and disinformation related to this endeavor. Please vote YES on Item 21A and move forward with implementing CCE in Huntington Beach!

Sincerely,
Lisa Swanson
714-851-7523

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Audrey Prosser <prosserga@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2021 11:19 PM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: Public Comment Item 21-085

My name is Audrey Prosser. I am a resident of Huntington Beach.
I am writing to ask you to support Option A on Agenda Item 21-085

A) Maintain membership in the Orange County Power Authority Community Choice Energy Joint Power Authority.

Audrey Prosser

Sent from my iPhone

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Linda K <lkteamtalk@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:50 AM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: In support of Community Choice Energy. It's a PROVEN revenue builder for cities

Dear Mayor and City Council,

Thank You for all your hard work for the City of Huntington Beach.

Please vote for Option A, Item 21-085 to stay in the first CCA JPA, Orange County Power Authority.

Community Choice Energy/Aggregation (CCE/CCA) is long overdue for Huntington Beach and all of Orange County!

Community Choice is a smart, fiscally responsible thing for a City to choose for its residents and businesses.

- It's supported by many conservative-leaning Mayors and Council members.
- CCA's have a strong record of financial and local rewards.
- 5 CCA's have achieved increased Credit Ratings THIS YEAR by Standards and Poor and Moody's whereas utilities have not, (See below for reference).

Here are some other facts:

Most of what I reference is found here: <https://cal-cca.org/cca-impact/>

23 CCA agencies are currently operating with over **10 million customers**.

CCA's have been operating in California for **TEN YEARS**

They all deliver rates for the generation portion of electricity **CHEAPER** than the utilities

Over 10 million customers have the **EASY TO DO** choice of opting out of a CCA, **but 94% stay in**

(It's a click of a button and a short questionnaire to OPT-OUT).

In Irvine's feasibility study, they estimate that 3.4 million per year will be returned to the City for programs **AFTER ALL EXPENSES ARE PAID**. That's all staffing, fees, loans, obligations. All of that money gets put back into energy programs, savings or jobs for the ratepayers.

Elected Officials and staff on currently active CCA's are readily available to support you, answer questions, and tell you why it is a wise choice to join. Please reach out to them. You can find all CCA contacts on the California CCA website by scrolling down and clicking on the links. <https://cal-cca.org/cca-impact/>

Thank You!

Linda Kraemer, M.S.

OC Clean Power - www.occleanpower.org

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Work Order: #513036

Opened:
01/29/2021 10:49

Closed:
--

This issue is new Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

City Council

SUB TYPE

All Council Members

STREET ADDRESS



By : Thomas Whalen
Email : tmbawha@verizon.net
Phone : 714-842-4151
Device :

Media Submitted
None

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

I am asking you to please vote NO on agenda items 21-085 & agenda item 21-102. Tito Ortiz was elected to the city council by the greatest number of voters in HB history. He was not backed by any special interest groups such as the realtors who always find a way to make money off the city council decisions. He is responsible to the citizens of HB and not to the council members who do not like his demeanor. The voters of HB will look long and hard at the council members who are looking for re-election and think twice about individuals who are only there to line their pockets.

Notes Added By staff: 01/31/2021 8:24 PM

Cathy Filkes

Thank you for your message. If you intended for this message to be submitted as a formal public comment, please re-state it via Zoom during the public comments portion of the upcoming City Council meeting; read page 1 of the City Council Meeting Agenda for instructions. If you intended for this message to be submitted as supplemental communication, please email it to supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org. For questions, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (714) 536-5227. Thank you.

Share with Citizen: YES

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Work Order: #513311

Opened:
01/29/2021 21:38

Closed:
--

This issue is new

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

City Council

SUB TYPE

All Council Members

STREET ADDRESS



COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

Vote no on Agenda Item 21-085 (CCE) and item 21-102 (re Tito) - Don't give control of City away and respect ALL elected officials not just those you like

By : Martin Golden
Email : goelver1@gmail.com
Phone : 714-390-8809
Device :

Media Submitted
None

Notes Added By staff: 01/31/2021 4:47 PM

Cathy Fikes

Thank you for your message. If you intended for this message to be submitted as a formal public comment, please re-state it via Zoom during the public comments portion of the upcoming City Council meeting; read page 1 of the City Council Meeting Agenda for instructions. If you intended for this message to be submitted as supplemental communication, please email it to supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org. For questions, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (714) 536-5227. Thank you.

Share with Citizen: YES

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Bev Sansone <drsansone001@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:38 AM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: Item 21-085

Dear Huntington Beach Mayor and Council Members,

I support Option A, of Item 21-085: Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority.

This is important to me because it makes sense for the future of our city and our country. We can no longer keep our heads in the sand about climate change. Those who continue to deny the science belong in the past and we can no longer listen to them. We need clean energy now and joining the Community Choice JPA OC Power Authority is only the start of what needs to be done to protect the country.

Thank you,
Beverly Sansone
HB resident for 25 years.

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Sue Jervik <suejervik@pm.me>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:50 AM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: Comments for city council meeting on 2.1.21

Dear Huntington Beach City Council members,
Please take into consideration my comments on the following agenda items.

Agenda item 21-085
I OPPOSE our city joining the Community Choice Energy Program.
Agenda item 21-102
I OPPOSE removing Council member Tito Ortiz from his Mayor Pro Tem position.
Thank you,
Sue Jervik

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: CCE

From: Bev Sansone <drsansone001@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:36 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: CCE

Dear Huntington Beach Mayor and Council Members,

I support Option A, of Item 21-085: Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority.

This is important to me because it makes sense for the future of our city and our country. We can no longer keep our heads in the sand about climate change. Those who continue to deny the science belong in the past and we can no longer listen to them. We need clean energy now and joining the Community Choice JPA OC Power Authority is only the start of what needs to be done to protect the country.

Thank you,
Beverly Sansone
HB resident for 25 years.

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:23 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: In support of Community Choice Energy

From: Sandra Smallshaw <sgsmallshaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:20 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: In support of Community Choice Energy

Dear Huntington Beach Mayor and Council Members,

I support Option A, of Item 21-085: Huntington Beach staying in the Community Choice JPA, OC Power Authority. It is important to me because no one can deny the reality of a changing climate and its impact on our lives, both now and in the future. As a beachside community, it is important that we take necessary steps now to mitigate the effects of a changing climate, including the continued erosion of our beaches. I value renewable energy and appreciate the competitive rates and energy choices that CCA allows. Thank you,

Sandy Smallshaw
Resident of Huntington Beach
Sgsmallshaw@gmail.com

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Tristan Miller-Mansey <tristan@mansey.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:29 PM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Cc: CITY COUNCIL
Subject: Stay in OCPA

Dear City Council,

Please stay in the OCPA regarding Agenda item 21-085 and support to maintain membership in OCPA. This is a revenue-positive program that keeps rate payer dollars in the city for the city.

Thank you!
Tristan

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21 (21-085)

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:48 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Stay in OCPA

-----Original Message-----

From: Tristan Miller-Mansey <tristan@mansey.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:29 PM
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Cc: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Stay in OCPA

Dear City Council,

Please stay in the OCPA regarding Agenda item 21-085 and support to maintain membership in OCPA. This is a revenue-positive program that keeps rate payer dollars in the city for the city.

Thank you!
Tristan

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)

Work Order: #514406

Opened:
02/01/2021 10:33

Closed:
02/01/202
1

This issue is resolved

Est. Resolution Date: Not Yet Set

City Council

SUB TYPE

All Council Members

STREET ADDRESS



By : Sue Jervik
Email : Suejervik@pm.me
Phone : 714-904-0406
Device :

Media Submitted
None

COMMENTS & ADDITIONAL NOTES

For the city council meeting on Monday, February 1, 2021
Agenda item 21-085: I OPPOSE our city joining the Community Choice Energy Program.
Agenda item 21-102: I OPPOSE removing Councilman Tito Ortiz from his Mayor Pro Tem position.

Status Changed: 02/01/2021 10:41 AM

Sandra Frakes

Work Order #514406 status has changed from new to resolved.
Thank you for your message. If you intended for this message to be submitted as a formal public comment, please re-state it via Zoom during the public comments portion of the upcoming City Council meeting; read page 1 of the City Council Meeting Agenda for instructions. If you intended for this message to be submitted as supplemental communication, please email it to supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org. For questions, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (714) 536-5227. Thank you.

Share with Citizen: YES

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/1/21

Agenda Item No.: 21(21-085)