

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Friday, September 1, 2023 8:36 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed Statement of Human Dignity

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Elizabeth SanFilippo <elizabethsanfilippo@fuller.edu>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 1, 2023 7:59:17 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Proposed Statement of Human Dignity

I am a Huntington Beach resident for over thirty years and am opposed to the proposed changes to the Statement of Human Dignity, particularly the deletion of sexual orientation, gender, gender identity or gender orientation. As a person of faith, I believe all people are made in the image of God and should be treated with dignity. We are called to speak out against hate. Members of the LGBTQ community have been victims of hate crimes. By preserving this language in our Statement of Human Dignity, we oppose these hate crimes and seek to protect our citizens from them.

Thank you for your consideration.

Elizabeth San Filippo

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 9/5/2023

Agenda Item No.: 32 (23-734)

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Sheila Ellis <sheila.ellis78@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 8:18 AM  
**To:** Van Der Mark, Gracey  
**Cc:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); Moser, Natalie; McKeon, Casey; Kalmick, Dan; Burns, Pat; Bolton, Rhonda; Strickland, Tony  
**Subject:** Re: Tuesday Council Meeting Agenda

I am so glad you asked!!! I hope you are doing so with an open mind and heart.

The current council majority has repeatedly made the LGBTQ+ community feel ostracized and vulnerable. By excluding them, it invites hate against them. Removal of the Pride Flag during the month of June, the inclusion of "all lives" into the proposed Resolution Denouncing Antisemitic and LGBTQ+ Hate, and now the removal of:

**T**he Huntington Beach City Council declares that everyone should be treated with courtesy and respect, regardless of their racial background, their nation of origin, the religion they practice, their sexual orientation, gender or disability status. It is the right of all citizens to pursue their daily lives with the knowledge that they will not be physically harmed or verbally abused.

**T**he Huntington Beach City Council states in the strongest terms that it will vigorously fight criminal activity known as "hate crime" with all of the resources at its disposal. A hate crime is any criminal or attempted criminal act which is motivated by the victim's race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, gender or disability status. It may involve a verbal, written or physical action that is intended to create emotional suffering, physical harm or property damage.

and inclusion of:

**T**he City of Huntington Beach will recognize from birth the genetic differences between male and female and respect the strengths and benefits of each. Each sex carries advantages and disadvantages that warrant separation during certain activities (ie. sports). We encourage an environment free of hostility and discourage the erasure of either genders separate accomplishments.

into the Declaration of Policy About Human Dignity. This transparent dig at the LGBTQ+ community is an effort to make the community less safe, more open to abuse and hate.

I truly hope you were asking me to help you understand better how so many of the residents feel. Huntington Beach should welcome and protect all who live, shop and visit here. It would be a huge blow to our city to have human rights groups start warning people about coming to our City because they would be unsafe. As you know, Florida has had significant loss of revenues as businesses, tourists, conventions, etc. pull out for their stance on this issue.

Thank you again for taking the time to request further information. I hope this will enlighten you and your fellow council members.

Sheila Ellis  
(she/her)

On Fri, Sep 1, 2023 at 8:31 PM Van Der Mark, Gracey <[Gracey.VanDerMark@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Gracey.VanDerMark@surfcity-hb.org)> wrote:  
Where exactly are we spreading hate? Please elaborate.

**Gracey Van Der Mark**  
Mayor Pro Tem - City of Huntington Beach

---

**From:** Sheila Ellis <[sheila.ellis78@gmail.com](mailto:sheila.ellis78@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 1, 2023 1:39:12 PM  
**To:** [supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org) <[supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org)>; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <[city.council@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:city.council@surfcity-hb.org)>; Van Der Mark, Gracey <[Gracey.VanDerMark@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Gracey.VanDerMark@surfcity-hb.org)>; Moser, Natalie <[Natalie.Moser@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Natalie.Moser@surfcity-hb.org)>; McKeon, Casey <[Casey.McKeon@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Casey.McKeon@surfcity-hb.org)>; Kalmick, Dan <[Dan.Kalmick@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Dan.Kalmick@surfcity-hb.org)>; Burns, Pat <[Pat.Burns@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Pat.Burns@surfcity-hb.org)>; Bolton, Rhonda <[Rhonda.Bolton@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Rhonda.Bolton@surfcity-hb.org)>; Strickland, Tony <[Tony.Strickland@surfcity-hb.org](mailto:Tony.Strickland@surfcity-hb.org)>  
**Subject:** Tuesday Council Meeting Agenda

I have read the draft of the proposed Statement of Human Dignity and wonder why the draft excludes so many of our residents, guests and tourists? The overt bigotry is unmistakable. You are clearly stating my non-binary family member and my friend's trans granddaughter are not welcome or safe here. Instead of alienation, inclusion of our marginalized community should be part of this statement.

Additionally, the proposed censure of a council member for making true statements during a council meeting is unnecessary and inflammatory. Many human rights groups have labeled Ms. Van Der Mark a racist and Holocaust Denier and her social media history certainly supports that. Her photo ops with avowed white supremacists also support her reputation as antisemitic. As my grandmother would say "If you lie down with dogs, you get up with fleas." Council Member Moser did nothing wrong calling out the facts.

Also, the proposed Resolution of No Mask No Vaccine City is absolute ignorance. Your encouragement to your followers to not protect themselves and others is abhorrent. Even your leader Trump has been vaccinated!

I, along with many other residents, are disturbed by the hate being spread in our city and the council members who support it. We will be watching the council meeting on Tuesday.

--  
Sheila Ellis  
(She/Her)

--  
Sheila Ellis  
(She/Her)

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 1:17 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Policy on human dignity and councilwoman Moser

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Deanna Boyce <deannadboyce@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 9:28:47 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Policy on human dignity and councilwoman Moser

First, I am just absolutely shocked and disgusted that you would include the gender paragraph in this policy. It doesn't even make sense! So so weird, and out of place, and absolutely unnecessary. Why the bizarre obsession? If your aim is to divide HB even more, then you're doing a good job.

Second, how can you censure Ms Moser on speaking the truth? The entire council knows that MANY HB citizens take issue with Gracey's hateful connections (many photos with known racists) and past comments (namely the video on the Holocaust).

The 4 already show absolutely no respect to the other 3 council members, and you couldn't have had a discussion about it? You have to publicly censure her? I don't understand this at all.

I want to thank Natalie Moser for voicing the concerns of many of us, and being brave enough to point out the hypocrisy of assigning Gracey to anything dealing with ethics.

Thank you,  
D. Boyce

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** David Rynerson <drynerson@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 5:46 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Ad Hoc Committee on Declaration on Human Rights

City Council Majority -

When I wrote to you previously, I did so because of the of the absolute lack of explanation for why you thought the declaration on human rights needed changing and the opaqueness of your intended changes.

It is now abundantly clear why you refused to publicly disclose your planned "modifications" to the existing declaration. While acknowledging that everyone should be treated with respect and dignity, you turn around in the very next paragraph and decide to marginalize those who don't identify as either male or female, and then turn around again and say to treat all people equally. You say that the sexes must be separated in sports. Have you never heard of mixed doubles tennis? Equestrian events? How do you propose to treat those who identify as non-binary? What sports do they get to play? Is that treating them with respect and dignity? No one is asking you to endorse their life choices - just not to treat them like they don't exist and have no right to being treated with respect and dignity.

You then had the audacity to plan to censure Councilwoman Moser for asking a straightforward question about one of the people being appointed to that ad hoc committee. Councilwoman Van Der Mark took great umbrage at being asked the simple question of whether or not she believed the holocaust was real - which seems to be a pretty relevant question for someone being appointed to rewrite the existing declaration on human rights. Especially in view of some of the reporting about her -- see the linked reporting here:

<https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=6b8d9a790b67bc35JmItdHM9MTY5MzYxMjgwMCZpZ3VpZD0xYjE4ZTkzNy05N2E0LTZiOWYtMjcxC1mODg3OTY0YTZhMTImaW5zaWQ9NTE4OQ&ptn=3&hsh=3&fclid=1b18e937-97a4-6b9f-2710-f887964a6a12&psq=gracie+van+der+mark&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cub2N3ZWVrbHkuY29tL2dyYWNieS12YW4tZGVyLW1hcmstcGVkZGxici1vZi1yYWNpc3QtY29uc3BpcmFjaWVzLXJ1bnMtZm9yLXNjaG9vbC1ib2FyZC1pbi1oYi8&ntb=1>

If anyone was disrespectful in the exchange between the two councilwomen, it was Councilwoman Van Der Mark, so if anyone is censured, it should be her.

Thus far, your tenure has been marked by a lack of transparency, unpreparedness, and the appearance that you think being in the majority allows you to totally discount anyone who does not agree with you. You are proposing charter amendments without explanation or discussion, pursuing lawsuits costing the city significant amounts of money, while settling with the promoter who backed your campaigns without a whimper, and then being less than transparent about that settlement and the follow-on lawsuit against Amplify Energy.

By now you've been treated to enough council meetings that you should clearly understand that not everyone in Huntington Beach agrees with you - quite the contrary. It's time that you start operating with that understanding, rather than looking like a junior high school student council bent on enforcing your personal beliefs. I know that at least some of you can do it. I've had reasonable and productive exchanges with Councilman McKeon. You can do better, and I hope to see you do so.

Sincerely,

David Rynerson

6272 Newbury Drive

Huntington Beach, CA 92647

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Diana Lithgow <lithgownp@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 7:08 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on both the Charter Review Proposals and the "Policy on Human Dignity"

City Council Members and Supplemental Committees:

I strongly OPPOSE the items drafted in the TWO ad hoc committees that are up for a vote this Tuesday, Sept 5th:

1. Charter Review Ad Hoc Committee Proposals
2. Policy on Human Dignity

### **Charter Review:**

-Item #7: The Charter Review proposals are thinly disguised Voter Suppression attempts and must not be adopted. There are NO concerns by the OC Registrar of Voters as to the security of our county elections--and limiting the voter polling locations AND requiring city monitoring of ballot drop boxes is an attempt to INTIMIDATE voters . . . not secure validity of voters. Shame on you--copying Texas and Florida shenanigans. We MUST NOT make changes to fix a problem that does not exist--only to suppress voters. NO!

-Item #2: The ONLY reason a City Council Meeting should be cancelled is a lack of quorum (due to illness or other matters)--not at the whim of the Mayor or Majority of Council Members (who are currently Republican, by the way). Again, shameless attempt to suppress Democracy. NO!

-Item #6: We must NOT "dumb down" our City Clerk Qualifications. Making these changes to possibly "hand pick" a candidate for the position that does not currently hold qualifications (such as a family member) is despotic. NO!

### **Policy on Human Dignity:**

All paragraphs EXCEPT the paragraph on "genetic differences between a male and a female" are in support of Human Dignity--I support the paragraphs that do not address gender. Shame on you for again attempting to copy Texas and Florida's intolerance of people within a document actually titled "Policy on Human Dignity"--shameful and sneaky is what this is. You are not even being secretive--but saying the quiet part out loud--you have NO RESPECT for people who are gender different than you. I OPPOSE this paragraph wholeheartedly. NO!

(Not to mention that the grammar in this Policy is something out of an elementary school--PLEASE learn to write properly if you are going to draft policy! My double doctorate degrees cringed reading this poorly edited "Policy on Human Dignity".

**Again, I urge a NO vote on both the Charter Review Proposals and the "Policy on Human Dignity".**

Dr. Diana Lithgow  
19841 Flagstone Lane  
Huntington Beach, CA 92646

## HUNTINGTON BEACH HOMEOWNER SINCE 1983

*Diana Lithgow, PhD, DNP, RN, FNP-BC, FAANP*  
*Professor of Nursing*  
*College of Graduate Nursing*  
*Western University of Health Science*  
*909-469-5523 (CGN Office)*  
[DLithgow@WesternU.edu](mailto:DLithgow@WesternU.edu)

---

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 7:10 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** FW: Human Dignity Declaration

---

**From:** pacj <pacj\_03@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 6:49 PM  
**To:** Estanislau, Robin <Robin.Estanislau@surfcity-hb.org>; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Human Dignity Declaration

Dear Councilmembers,  
There is much division regarding the City's Human Dignity Declaration.

It's a shame that the concept of human dignity is a political football.

The City currently complies with federal and state civil rights laws and the concept of equal protection for all.

Rather than create a further division in this City through amendments to this philosophical document, why not just eliminate it?

Thank you.

Julio and Pat Quintana

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 7:10 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** FW: Charter Review and Policy on Human Dignity votes 9/5/23

---

**From:** Diana Lithgow <lithgownp@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 7:06 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Charter Review and Policy on Human Dignity votes 9/5/23

HB City Council,

I strongly OPPOSE the items drafted in the TWO ad hoc committees that are up for a vote this Tuesday, Sept 5th:

1. Charter Review Ad Hoc Committee Proposals
2. Policy on Human Dignity

### **Charter Review:**

-Item #7: The Charter Review proposals are thinly disguised Voter Suppression attempts and must not be adopted. There are NO concerns by the OC Registrar of Voters as to the security of our county elections--and limiting the voter polling locations AND requiring city monitoring of ballot drop boxes is an attempt to INTIMIDATE voters . . . not secure validity of voters. Shame on you--copying Texas and Florida shenanigans. We MUST NOT make changes to fix a problem that does not exist--only to suppress voters. NO!

-Item #2: The ONLY reason a City Council Meeting should be cancelled is a lack of quorum (due to illness or other matters)--not at the whim of the Mayor or Majority of Council Members (who are currently Republican, by the way). Again, shameless attempt to suppress Democracy. NO!

-Item #6: We must NOT "dumb down" our City Clerk Qualifications. Making these changes to possibly "hand pick" a candidate for the position that does not currently hold qualifications (such as a family member) is despotic. NO!

### **Policy on Human Dignity:**

All paragraphs EXCEPT the paragraph on "genetic differences between a male and a female" are in support of Human Dignity--I support the paragraphs that do not address gender. Shame on you for again attempting to copy Texas and Florida's intolerance of people within a document actually titled "Policy on Human Dignity"--shameful and sneaky is what this is. You are not even being secretive--but saying the quiet part out loud--you have NO RESPECT for people who are gender different than you. I OPPOSE this paragraph wholeheartedly. NO!

(Not to mention that the grammar in this Policy is something out of an elementary school--PLEASE learn to write properly if you are going to draft policy! My double doctorate degrees cringed reading this poorly edited "Policy on Human Dignity".

**Again, I urge a NO vote on both the Charter Review Proposals and the "Policy on Human Dignity".**

Dr. Diana Lithgow  
19841 Flagstone Lane  
Huntington Beach, CA 92646  
**HUNTINGTON BEACH HOMEOWNER SINCE 1983**

*Diana Lithgow, PhD, DNP, RN, FNP-BC, FAANP*  
*Professor of Nursing*  
*College of Graduate Nursing*  
*Western University of Health Science*  
*909-469-5523 (CGN Office)*  
[DLithgow@WesternU.edu](mailto:DLithgow@WesternU.edu)

---

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Nikki Reidt <nmreidt@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 2, 2023 10:00 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Appalled...

This city council majority is attempting to make changes that have no purpose. Instead of solving REAL problems, you are creating more and making faceless claims and suggestions. You are using your power to feed social discord and creating more tension and anger in our community. Shame on you!

Re: Elections -

Orange County elections are known to be exceptionally safe. If someone is coming to you with questions about this, there are many facts you can provide them...but instead you are choosing to fan the flame and encourage questions. You are creating MORE regulations and making life more difficult for our residents based on your emotions and personal opinions rather than facts and evidence. Requiring ID for voting does NOT increase the integrity of our elections. It does the opposite and is shown to make it MORE difficult for people to vote. Many people do not have a driver's license or passport, government identifications get lost, have wrong addresses when people move and cost money that people may not have. What purpose do cameras at drop boxes serve? Was there any issues at drop boxes in Huntington Beach? Is this really a good use of public funds?

You are not solving a problem, you are creating one. Requiring voter ID, placing cameras at election sites or drop boxes or changing anything about our elections is unnecessary and will do nothing more than cost our city MORE money. You have already wasted enough. There is no need to alter elections in Huntington Beach.

Re: Olympic Flag -

When your original oppressive flag ban was being voted on, many people brought up "what about the sister city flag" and "what about an Olympic flag" but you were so focused on forcing through a flag ban before June so you wouldn't need to consider the PRIDE flag. The hypocrisy and homophobia of this city council majority is disgusting. The time and energy spent on these social battles is a waste. If you would have left the flag policies alone to begin with, we wouldn't need to be wasting more time on this now.

Re: Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity -

The Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity was created as a statement to what Huntington Beach aspires to be. Who could possibly disagree or question the goal that everyone ought to be treated with dignity and respect? Do you believe that people ought to be safe from hate crimes in our community? Is your intention to send a loud message to the white supremacists around that we accept them and their danger to our community? That's what you're doing. This document was created after two heinous hate crimes in our city - a man was brutally murdered in our community and another attempted. Hate crimes are on the rise in Huntington Beach...is this what you want to encourage? The actions of this city council are abhorrent. The timing if this is disgusting. You are not making our city better. You are creating more issues. You are dismantling policies and programs for no reason other than your personal social agendas. Council member Moser's questions about the appropriateness of Council member Van der Mark sitting on a committee for human dignity was absolutely appropriate and a question many of us have. This council majority is not even pretending to be fair and instead cramming through discriminatory and, likely, unconstitutional policies left and right.

It is no secret that Council member Van der Mark is alleged to be antisemitic and to support white supremacists. If these rumors are not true, she ought to have made a public statement months (years) ago. Council member Moser was bringing up an excellent point and was not accusatory or offensive in any way. She simply asked a question. Can see Mark could have listened and responded. Instead. She became hostile and defensive. Unfortunately, this council

majority can't handle questions because they don't do any research or base decisions on facts and are functioning from purely emotional stances. What was inappropriate in this instance was the Mayor and City Attorney chiming in and instead of allowing Moser to complete her statement, interrupting and attaching Moser while siding with Van der Mark. We all have a right to know if there is an antisemitic, white supremacist sympathizer on our city council.

Re: No Mask/No Vaccine Mandate.

I don't believe that any of you are doctors, scientists or public health experts. This has no place in the agenda. This is ridiculous. You already have a choice about wearing a mask or getting vaccinated or not. No one is forcing this on you. Again, you are creating problems that do NOT exist. Stop wasting our time with your politicized drama.

Re: Dissolving of city boards and committees.

It's incomprehensible that 4 people think that they, alone, know what is best for an entire community. What do you fear about committees and discussion? Do facts and research scare you? This council was not elected to steamroll decisions and disregard other opinions. The responsibility of a city council is to listen to the people. To consider FACTS, as well as alternate opinions. Instead you have chosen for your small group to meet behind closed doors and make decisions for our entire city without talking to those with actual knowledge and experience in the areas you are altering.

Making decisions behind closed doors without facts or discussions with the people actually involved in the real life, day to day, experiences is unethical. You continue to destroy our city and cost us ridiculous amounts of money in law suits. What are you trying to do? Do you have no compassion for those of us living here and raising children here or are you just on a personal mission to destroy our city and waste our hard earned money? You have gone to some lows in the past 9 months but surely there are actual issues you could be addressing rather than dismantling declarations that do nothing more than help people feel safe. Stop wasting our time and money with your culture wars.

Disappointed,  
Nichole Reidt  
Resident of Huntington Beach

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Cora Zeek <crzeek13@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 5:52 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Cc:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Sept 5 agenda items- community voice

Hello I am not able to attend the city council meeting. Please find my voter opinions on the following:

1. Censuring of Moser would be embarrassingly wrong. From the video it is apparent that she brought up a difficult and awkward but legitimate concern about Van Der Mark's political background and past actions. These actions, which have been documented online in videos and the press, show to me that she has discriminatory leanings and has associated herself with aggressive hate groups. So yes, I think questioning her ability to serve on a committee focused on "human dignity" is legit.

What isn't legit is how Van der Mark jumped on Moser's comment right away and called her a liar and escalated the exchange and how council members berated Moser for just questioning something that is a legitimate concern and was not a personal attack. Van der Mark should've just addressed the concern calmly if in fact her concerns had no merit. But instead, she got wildly defensive.

2. Oppose tax payer funds going to a lawsuit I was totally against. No!

3. Opposed this ridiculous and shameful "Policy on Human Dignity" this paragraph is particularly disturbing: "The City of Huntington Beach will recognize from birth the genetic differences between male and female and respect the strengths and benefits of each. Each sex carries advantages and disadvantages that warrant separation during certain activities (ie. sports). We encourage an environment free of hostility and discourage the erasure of either genders separate accomplishments."

It's feels very "Handmaid's Tale" and creepy, and anti-LGBTQ.

Those who wrote this seem to want the world to think HB is the west coast version of red-neck Florida. I do not think this is conducive to being an inclusive community or world class business and tourism town. "Don't go to HB it's a red neck and skin head town" has been its reputation since the 80s. I'm against perpetuating this reputation.

3. For the latter reasons, I oppose the "anti-vaccine and mask mandate" declaration. HB can't be looked at as a place where people don't care if you get sick or die by visiting a person or a business establishment in HB.

These are just a few of my concerns.

Best regards.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:45 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd:

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Thomas Mckerr <tomckerr@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 8:57:05 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:**

The best thing that happened for HB was a recent letter in the Pilot from a Costa Mesa resident who pointed out that Costa Mesa had also gone through a bout with elected bigots. They got voted out and Costa Mesa has recovered nicely. I hope we can do the same.

Tom McKerr  
8592 Acapulco Circle  
92646

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:46 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: "Policy on Human Dignity "

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** SallySanders <sally\_sanders@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:42:24 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** "Policy on Human Dignity "

Hello,

My name is Sally Sanders and I'm writing to voice my objections over this policy which is clearly transphobic and homophobic with the usual dog whistles the right uses, like "sexual grooming" and "recognize from birth the genetic differences between male and female."

There is a nationwide effort to eliminate trans/nonbinary people from existence with hundreds of new anti- LGBTQ laws on the books. There is no reason for this policy other than to create division and hate and add more fuel to the anti-trans agenda.

Vote NO on this policy on human dignity.  
By the way, how ironic that it was named that.  
It's the complete opposite of human dignity.

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** SallySanders <sally\_sanders@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:47 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Policy on Human Dignity

Hello,

My name is Sally Sanders and I'm writing to strongly object to this policy, which is the opposite of human dignity. This policy uses far right rhetoric/hate speech and is clearly transphobic with phrases like "sexual grooming".

Please vote NO on this hateful, discriminatory policy that will be on the agenda on Sept. 5.

Sent from my iPad

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Betty Kanne <bettykanne@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:54 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Supplement- September 5, 2023 City Council Meeting

September 3, 2023

City Council Members,

I ask that you abandon all efforts to place items on the March 2024 Ballot that would change our City Charter. The four majority members mislead voters by pledging not to alter the City Charter and are now poised to utterly transform it for their own benefit.

The Charter Review Ad Hoc Committee Proposals should be soundly rejected with varying levels of outrage and vehemence starting with the most cynically crafted **Numbers Five** and **Six** shamefully designed as a customized pair serving to slide the wife of our city attorney into a "forever" job as City Clerk with key and crucial election responsibilities. That feat fits nicely into the even more abhorrent **Number Seven** that is in fact an overt attempt of voter suppression. It is intended to reduce voting participation by the most vulnerable in our community. This includes young and/or newly franchised voters or those with language obstacles or disabilities. These items must be soundly rejected.

Reject the cynically written new "**Policy on Human Dignity**" that drips with homophobic and transphobic tropes. It's an embarrassment to the open minded, humanity embracing, tolerant people of this community. It is shameful. I propose we reinstate the previous Human Dignity Statement crafted thirty years ago by the highly respected, formidable leaders Shirley Dettloff and Ralph Bauer in a time of great trial in our community when we then faced violence and hatred from virulent skinhead neo-nazis. That was a powerful and meaningful statement worthy of this community. This "revision", this vile statement is not. Reject it.

Remove the agenda item to Censure Council Member Natalie Moser. This wholly unnecessary addition to the agenda is an obvious distraction from the real issues that should be of concern to this Council and taxpayers of Huntington Beach. The move to censure is every bit as unseemly as the very behavior it questions. Drop it from the agenda.

Focus on the true problems in our community and cease sowing discord needlessly. Stop the meaningless distractions.

Betty Kanne  
16331 Woodstock Lane  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647  
bettykanne@hotmail.com  
(40+ year HB resident, homeowner and voter)

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Sheila Ellis <sheila.ellis78@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 10:33 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); Van Der Mark, Gracey; Moser, Natalie; McKeon, Casey; Kalmick, Dan; Burns, Pat; Bolton, Rhonda; Strickland, Tony  
**Subject:** Tuesday city council meeting agenda

After reviewing the agenda for Tuesday's city council meeting, I am urging all council members to vote no on agenda item numbers 15, 16, 22, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35.

--

Sheila Ellis  
(She/Her)

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 11:37 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Three Requests - September 5, 2023 City Council Meeting

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Betty Kanne <bettykanne@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:51:35 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Three Requests - September 5, 2023 City Council Meeting

September 3, 2023

City Council Members,

I ask that you abandon all efforts to place items on the March 2024 Ballot that would change our City Charter. The four majority members mislead voters by pledging not to alter the City Charter and are now poised to utterly transform it for their own benefit.

The Charter Review Ad Hoc Committee Proposals should be soundly rejected with varying levels of outrage and vehemence starting with the most cynically crafted **Numbers Five** and **Six** shamefully designed as a customized pair serving to slide the wife of our city attorney into a "forever" job as City Clerk with key and crucial election responsibilities. That feat fits nicely into the even more abhorrent **Number Seven** that is in fact an overt attempt of voter suppression. It is intended to reduce voting participation by the most vulnerable in our community. This includes young and/or newly franchised voters or those with language obstacles or disabilities. These items must be soundly rejected.

Reject the cynically written new "Policy on Human Dignity" that drips with homophobic and transphobic tropes. It's an embarrassment to the open minded, humanity embracing, tolerant people of this community. It is shameful. I propose we reinstate the previous Human Dignity Statement crafted thirty years ago by the highly respected, formidable leaders Shirley Dettloff and Ralph Bauer in a time of great trial in our community when we then faced violence and hatred from virulent skinhead neo-nazis. That was a powerful and meaningful statement worthy of this community. This "revision", this vile statement is not. Reject it.

Remove the agenda item to Censure Council Member Natalie Moser. This wholly unnecessary addition to the agenda is an obvious distraction from the real issues that should be of concern to this Council and taxpayers of Huntington Beach. The move to censure is every bit as unseemly as the very behavior it questions. Drop it from the agenda.

Focus on the true problems in our community and cease sowing discord needlessly. Stop the meaningless distractions.

Betty Kanne  
16331 Woodstock Lane

Huntington Beach, CA 92647  
bettykanne@hotmail.com  
(40+ year HB resident, homeowner and voter)

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** dmlithgow@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 2:29 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Oppose Charter Review Ad Hoc Committee Proposals

Dear Huntington Beach City Council Members,

I strongly oppose several of the charter review committee proposals.

On the proposal for Section 310, I cannot understand why we would lower the qualifications for the Clerk position. This makes no sense to me.

The proposal for Section 702 for Local Control over Elections comes across as a waste of taxpayer money to monitor ballot drop boxes. Just how they are monitored could come across as voter intimidation. I also see no reason for removing reliance on the state election code. We should have continuity for how we run our elections across the state.

I see no reason to "update" the **Policy on Human Dignity**. Leave it as it is. Please!

Thank you,

Douglas Lithgow  
10841 Flagstone Ln  
Huntington Beach, CA 92646

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Fran Delaney <francesbdelaney@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 2:51 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Current City Council Agenda Items

City Council Members,

I am a Huntington Beach resident and have lived at my home for 33 years. I am shocked and embarrassed on behalf of all residents by the outlandish and dangerous proposals put forth in the August 1, 2023 meeting and items on the agenda for the September 5th meeting.

I emphatically ask that you vote against these agenda items on September 5, 2023, City Council Meeting:

724-240

23-700 and all recommended actions

23-683 and all recommendations

23-734 and all recommended actions

23-731

23-738

I highly disapprove of the censure Councilwoman Moser for her comments made as concern for who would be placed on an Ad Hoc committee for changes to the Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity. She questioned underlying associations with Neo-Nazis and Proud Boys which have never been addressed. Councilwoman Van Der Merck's response was out of order and hysterical and by far more deserving of a censure.

I strongly disapprove of 1) streamlining, consolidating, and/or dissolving a select number of the City's Boards, Commissions, and Committees and Council Committees 2) the attempt to require voter ID's and monitoring on drop off ballot boxes, 3) changing the election cycles for the City Clerk and City Treasure, 4) changing the requirements for City Clerk. All of these actions are an attempt to control and limit the voice and votes of residents and are unnecessary and most likely illegal.

I am shocked at the proposed changes to the City's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity and strongly oppose them. The verbiage is a direct attack on trans individuals, adults, and children and a veiled attempt to find reasons to ban books in the public library. These actions will also be found illegal and the City will become responsible for even more expensive court proceedings and fines.

In addition, the rapid and unstudied withdrawal from OCPA will result in a multimillion-dollar fine. This will be layered atop the unprecedented settlement to maintain partnerships for the air show, the legal fees to fight the State of California, the senseless and inflated salary increase for the City Attorney, and certainly the many fines and court proceedings that will take place, as a result, of the proposed actions in the September 5th meeting. All of these bloated expenses were unnecessary and made with little knowledge or care for fiscal responsibility and will most likely result in a needed tax increase for residents in the future. It's shameful both morally and fiscally.

Finally, an attempt to limit election access, and to attempt to stack elections to favor themselves and strip away the rights of particular groups of individuals is moving toward fascism, which I find atrocious and shameful for

all of us who reside in this city. For those who don't see the similarity of these action to fascism, please read some of the descriptions of what these actions are, taken from Merriam Webster dictionary:

"... severe economic and social regimentation and forcible oppression of the opposition. A tendency toward or actual exercise of strong autocratic or dictatorial control."

If the City tampers with elections such as what is proposed, that is exactly where we are.

Please read my requests and vote against the proposals listed above.

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Janna Koch <jannapkoch@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 4:21 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fw: Vote no on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Janna Koch <jannapkoch@yahoo.com>  
**To:** City.Council@surfcity-hb.org <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 at 04:08:32 PM PDT  
**Subject:** Vote no on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

To the HB City Council,

RE: City council agenda. VOTE NO on Agenda items  
15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35!

I am unhappy with the job performance of this City Council. Your actual job is to represent ALL of the voters in HB. Also, to take care of city business and properly maintain the city resources and infrastructure.

You are not only not doing a good job of that, but it has come to my attention that you intend to squander 1.2 million of the City's dollars on an unnecessary special election. If you want to have a PAC...go out and raise YOUR OWN MONEY! Meanwhile the Central Library has bathrooms not working, RATS, the parking lot is rapidly degrading, and a host of other maintenance issues abound including proper ADA access for Seniors and disabled persons.

The City Council is breaking the CA State law by not completing the Housing Element. This is your JOB!

I vote to Censure the HB City Council for not doing it!

It is past time to stop acting like a mimic of the dysfunctional House of Representatives in the US Congress and get the job done! If you do not want to do the job, then please EXIT the HB City Council chambers like Tito and let others who are better qualified to do so get on with it!

We expect to have the same responsibilities and opportunities to vote as every other citizen of the State of California...it is NOT your job to decide otherwise!

It is highly inappropriate to declare the City of Huntington Beach to be a Non-mask mandate city. Again, we expect to have the same protections necessitated in a public health crisis as the rest of the citizens of Orange County. I am Covid At-Risk...my pandemic will NEVER be over. I am also my sole support and need my fellow residents to not make me sick. As to fiscal responsibility, I do not wish the City of HB to have to payout on lawsuits against the city for infecting people by public servants of the city or have to pay for sick days for the entire HB City Hall, HBPD, HBFD, etc. to infect themselves all again. There are areas of public

buildings that are NECESSARY to mask in during a public health crisis. This is because again, the City has FAILED to properly update them, namely places like the tiny, ventilation-impaired bathrooms at the Central Library!!!

Lastly, I value the service of Natalie Mosier on the HB City Council. She represents the People of HB better than any other person on the council. If the City Council members did not have exposure for comments made regarding their integrity, then it would be much more difficult to do so. I would be much less successful than Natalie in keeping a proper demeanor in the same circumstances.

Thank you for your attention to these matters...get the job done!

Janna Koch  
Huntington Beach  
jannapkoch@yahoo.com

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Linda Law <lindaklaw@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 6:48 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; City Council  
**Subject:** Vote No on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

Dear Council Members,  
Please vote no on agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35 at the September 5, 2023 City Council meeting.

I don't even know where to start with my objections to these agenda items.

The proposed charter amendments are unnecessary and would be extremely costly. Over a million dollars to place these amendments on a March 2024 ballot is ridiculous.

There is no evidence of voter fraud that necessitates the city having a separate local election that requires voter id and requires the monitoring of ballot drop boxes.

The City has gotten itself involved in costly anti-housing lawsuits and settled with the Air Show operator for millions when the city is supposedly broke.

The City Council and or the City Attorney have no business determining what books are available in our public libraries. That is the job of our librarians. Let them do their jobs.

Failing to recognize the transgender community in the revised Statement on Human Dignity is just shameful.

Censuring Council Member Moser for speaking the truth is beyond hypocritical. Having a known racist and anti-semitic on the council should be called out every day. There are photos, tapes, and newspaper articles that prove that relationship.

Against staff recommendations, the mayor is recommending that the city choose the lowest ranked Federal Government Affairs lobbyist. Why is that happening?

Proposing that the city ban broad universal mask and vaccine mandates as a response to Covid 19 or its variants is just ill informed. Covid 19 continues to mutate and having mask and vaccine mandates available is just good public health policy.

Dissolving numerous boards with little or no public input is very troubling to say the least.  
What's happening with the Climate Action Plan for the City?

Our high standards for the City Clerk position and other positions should continue.

Thank you for your time.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 7:18 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Upcoming Resolutions

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** ed giardina <edgiardina@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 1:38:38 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Upcoming Resolutions

Dear Council Majority,

Your obsession with policing the genitals of our city's youth is deeply disturbing to me as a parent and homeowner.

Clearly, you lack real ideas to improve and make our city world class so you are resorting to easy and tired red meat culture wars.

I am currently embarrassed to call Huntington Beach my home.

Ed Giardina

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 7:19 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Vote no on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Janna Koch <jannapkoch@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 4:08:31 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Vote no on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

To the HB City Council,

RE: City council agenda. VOTE NO on Agenda items  
15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35!

I am unhappy with the job performance of this City Council. Your actual job is to represent ALL of the voters in HB. Also, to take care of city business and properly maintain the city resources and infrastructure.

You are not only not doing a good job of that, but it has come to my attention that you intend to squander 1.2 million of the City's dollars on an unnecessary special election. If you want to have a PAC...go out and raise YOUR OWN MONEY! Meanwhile the Central Library has bathrooms not working, RATS, the parking lot is rapidly degrading, and a host of other maintenance issues abound including proper ADA access for Seniors and disabled persons.

The City Council is breaking the CA State law by not completing the Housing Element. This is your JOB!

I vote to Censure the HB City Council for not doing it!

It is past time to stop acting like a mimic of the dysfunctional House of Representatives in the US Congress and get the job done! If you do not want to do the job, then please EXIT the HB City Council chambers like Tito and let others who are better qualified to do so get on with it!

We expect to have the same responsibilities and opportunities to vote as every other citizen of the State of California...it is NOT your job to decide otherwise!

It is highly inappropriate to declare the City of Huntington Beach to be a Non-mask mandate city. Again, we expect to have the same protections necessitated in a public health crisis as the rest of the citizens of Orange County. I am Covid At-Risk...my pandemic will NEVER be over. I am also my sole support and need my fellow residents to not make me sick. As to fiscal responsibility, I do not wish the City of HB to have to payout

on lawsuits against the city for infecting people by public servants of the city or have to pay for sick days for the entire HB City Hall, HBPD, HBFD, etc. to infect themselves all again. There are areas of public buildings that are NECESSARY to mask in during a public health crisis. This is because again, the City has FAILED to properly update them, namely places like the tiny, ventilation-impaired bathrooms at the Central Library!!!

Lastly, I value the service of Natalie Mosier on the HB City Council. She represents the People of HB better than any other person on the council. If the City Council members did not have exposure for comments made regarding their integrity, then it would be much more difficult to do so. I would be much less successful than Natalie in keeping a proper demeanor in the same circumstances.

Thank you for your attention to these matters...get the job done!

Janna Koch  
Huntington Beach  
jannapkoch@yahoo.com

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** martha bergman <marthabergman21@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 7:19 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** One resident's opinion

Dear Huntington Beach Council Members,

I have been a resident of Huntington Beach for over sixty years. For the record, I would like to state that I **FIRMLY OPPOSE** the following:

Changing our voting process, specifically as suggested by the current proposals concerning the requirement of voter identification and monitoring of ballot boxes .

Changing the requirements to be the City Clerk.

Censure of Councilwoman Moser for doing her job and asking important questions about the appropriateness of Van der Mark's placement on the committee to change our city's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity.

Changing our city's **Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity** as suggested by that same committee.

Sincerely,  
Martha Bergman

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 7:20 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: One resident's opinion

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** martha bergman <marthabergman21@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 7:18:35 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** One resident's opinion

Dear Huntington Beach Council Members,

I have been a resident of Huntington Beach for over sixty years. For the record, I would like to state that I **FIRMLY OPPOSE** the following:

Changing our voting process, specifically as suggested by the current proposals concerning the requirement of voter identification and monitoring of ballot boxes .

Changing the requirements to be the City Clerk.

Censure of Councilwoman Moser for doing her job and asking important questions about the appropriateness of Van der Mark's placement on the committee to change our city's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity.

Changing our city's **Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity** as suggested by that same committee.

Sincerely,  
Martha Bergman

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Lisa Williams <lwilliamshb@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:21 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** proposed HB Policy on Human Dignity

Dear City Council,

I have read your **Policy on Human Dignity** and am quite disheartened to see that you have written it with blatant discrimination. While you say that "our citizens honor and respect differences that exist in Huntington Beach" what is really meant is that you honor and respect people as long as they "fit" into a certain box that conforms to biased standards set by society.

Your policy discriminates against those that are gender non-conforming under the guise that you are "protecting children." When we work toward exclusion, rather than inclusion of all peoples, we are creating a segregated society - the "haves and the have-nots"; the "worthy and the unworthy"; we "other" people. This is what happened with the black and white communities with segregation. Black children were not allowed to interact with white children in schools, restaurants, bathrooms, etc. because they were deemed unworthy, unclean, dangerous, etc. - all in the name of "protecting" white children from the degradation of being exposed to the life of a black child. Now, instead of the "others" being black children, they are gender non-conforming children. PLEASE do not go backwards and repeat human atrocities again. LEARN from our mistakes, our irrational fears, our misunderstandings and lead with empathy, love, and compassion toward an equitable society where all are able to thrive, live, love, and learn free from discrimination, hatred, and harassment - where basic human rights are protected for ALL human beings.

Learning about differences at a young age and understanding that we are all made unique, and one-of-a-kind with our own set of gifts and talents to share with the world benefits all. It helps people have perspective, empathy, understanding and make space for all to thrive. We all belong in this world and we all have our purpose for being here. This type of mindset affords the opportunity for collaboration, cooperation, teamwork, and positive growth across all potential barriers of race, creed, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation, ability level, culture, etc.

Your Policy on Human Dignity infers that criminal activity and gender identification go "hand-in-hand" - your policy is misleading and misguided. If any individual, regardless of gender, race, ethnicity, ability, sexual orientation, etc. engages in criminal activity - there should be appropriate consequences. But, you are insinuating that there is something "criminal" in being a gender non-conforming person and that somehow children are at risk if they are exposed to someone that is gender non-conforming. This seems unethical and illegal at best. Any criminal act against any other human being should be punishable by law. It is the behavior that a person chose to impose harm on another person that is the act of violence. There is no criminal act in being gender non-conforming.

Just as you do not want to "erase" those who's biological sex align with their gender identity - you should not work toward "erasing" those who's biological sex doesn't align with their gender identity.

There is no place in a Policy on Human Dignity for the discrimination you are trying to impose on the LGBTQ+ community. This has been a common theme for the City Council this year with the Flag policy, the Book "ban" policy, and now this "so-called" Policy on Human Dignity. When you talk about

Human Dignity that means dignity for ALL human beings regardless of their race, creed, ethnicity, culture, ability, gender identity, sexual orientation, etc. It is not fair or equitable to discriminate who and who does not get afforded rights and freedoms because you have an agenda against a certain group of marginalized citizens.

The "separate but equal" doctrine of the late 1800's was wrong and shameful then and the same sentiment is reflected in your proposed Policy on Human Dignity. "Separate but equal" is still wrong and shameful today.

PLEASE do not sign the proposed Policy on Human Dignity as it is written.

Sincerely,

Lisa Williams  
HB Resident

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Stephen Einstein <rebgiraffe@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 10:36 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Concerns regarding this Tuesday evening's agenda

Dear Mayor Strickland and Members of the City Council,

I am unable to attend this week's meeting, but wanted to express my concerns about two of the agenda items:

1. The prior Statements on Human Dignity were passed in a bipartisan manner, showing concern for ALL people in the city. The proposed re-write does quite the opposite. In particular, I find the paragraph regarding gender to be highly problematic. It gives a message to many people that they and members of their families are not really welcome as part of the community. This is narrow-minded in today's world and, frankly, very painful.
2. The proposed censure of Council Member Moser is nothing less than an attempt to stifle free speech. Ms. Moser raised heartfelt questions and she had every right to raise those concerns.

Sincerely yours,  
SJE

Rabbi Stephen J. Einstein, D.H.L., D.D.  
Pronouns: He/Him/His  
HaRav Shalom Ya'akov ben Shaya Zalman v'Zelda  
Founding Rabbi Emeritus  
Congregation B'nai Tzedek  
Fountain Valley, CA

"The world will not be destroyed by those who do evil, but by those who watch them without doing anything." Albert Einstein

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Carol Berk <carolberk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 10:58 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Cc:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Agenda for September 5, 2023

Dear HB City Council,  
(In particular, the majority members)

I hardly know where to begin. Your agenda is so filled with items that are obviously on your "We're in the majority, so we have the power to force our extreme views on our city" to-do list rather than an agenda of what to do to protect our city and help it thrive.

First, I find the potential censuring of Councilwoman Natalie Moser for asking a simple question that should be very easy to answer and not at all disrespectful to be a sad example of this council majority's bullying behavior.

Secondly, the rewriting of the Statement of Human Dignity was totally unnecessary and now includes an indisputable and very troubling anti Trans and anti LGBTQ focus.

Finally, your questionable legal and financial agreements and settlements lead me to believe that you have less than honorable intentions and actions involving many programs, projects, and events. These will be affecting our city financially and practically including items relating to the Air Show, Special Election, and for some unknown reason, wanting to have our city powers-that-be demand oversight during regular elections when we all know that the county has that responsibility and has handled it in an outstanding fashion.

What I see is that this council's majority is working to push through choices that do NOT represent the majority of our residents, and will ultimately cost us extra money to implement unnecessary and unwanted contracts, elections, court settlements, and new unneeded responsibilities. Your narrow minded non-inclusive attitude is attempting to change our wonderful city into a hate filled, marginalizing entity despite your claims to the contrary. Remember this...council members will come and go during elections and you may cause damage to our wonderful city, but it will not be forever. Our city will survive you!

Carol Berk  
HB resident, home owner, and voter since 1981

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:33 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: proposed HB Policy on Human Dignity

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Lisa Williams <lwilliamshb@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 3, 2023 9:20:01 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** proposed HB Policy on Human Dignity

Dear City Council,

I have read your Policy on Human Dignity and am quite disheartened to see that you have written it with blatant discrimination. While you say that "our citizens honor and respect differences that exist in Huntington Beach" what is really meant is that you honor and respect people as long as they "fit" into a certain box that conforms to biased standards set by society.

Your policy discriminates against those that are gender non-conforming under the guise that you are "protecting children." When we work toward exclusion, rather than inclusion of all peoples, we are creating a segregated society - the "haves and the have-nots"; the "worthy and the unworthy"; we "other" people. This is what happened with the black and white communities with segregation. Black children were not allowed to interact with white children in schools, restaurants, bathrooms, etc. because they were deemed unworthy, unclean, dangerous, etc. - all in the name of "protecting" white children from the degradation of being exposed to the life of a black child. Now, instead of the "others" being black children, they are gender non-conforming children. PLEASE do not go backwards and repeat human atrocities again. LEARN from our mistakes, our irrational fears, our misunderstandings and lead with empathy, love, and compassion toward an equitable society where all are able to thrive, live, love, and learn free from discrimination, hatred, and harassment - where basic human rights are protected for ALL human beings.

Learning about differences at a young age and understanding that we are all made unique, and one-of-a-kind with our own set of gifts and talents to share with the world benefits all. It helps people have perspective, empathy, understanding and make space for all to thrive. We all belong in this world and we all have our purpose for being here. This type of mindset affords the opportunity for collaboration, cooperation, teamwork, and positive growth across all potential barriers of race, creed, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation, ability level, culture, etc.

Your Policy on Human Dignity infers that criminal activity and gender identification go "hand-in-hand" - your policy is misleading and misguided. If any individual, regardless of gender, race, ethnicity, ability, sexual orientation, etc. engages in criminal activity - there should be appropriate consequences. But, you are insinuating that there is something "criminal" in being a gender non-conforming person and that somehow children are at risk if they are exposed to someone that is gender non-conforming. This seems unethical and illegal at best. Any criminal act against any other

human being should be punishable by law. It is the behavior that a person chose to impose harm on another person that is the act of violence. There is no criminal act in being gender non-conforming.

Just as you do not want to "erase" those who's biological sex align with their gender identity - you should not work toward "erasing" those who's biological sex doesn't align with their gender identity.

There is no place in a Policy on Human Dignity for the discrimination you are trying to impose on the LGBTQ+ community. This has been a common theme for the City Council this year with the Flag policy, the Book "ban" policy, and now this "so-called" Policy on Human Dignity. When you talk about Human Dignity that means dignity for ALL human beings regardless of their race, creed, ethnicity, culture, ability, gender identity, sexual orientation, etc. It is not fair or equitable to discriminate who and who does not get afforded rights and freedoms because you have an agenda against a certain group of marginalized citizens.

The "separate but equal" doctrine of the late 1800's was wrong and shameful then and the same sentiment is reflected in your proposed Policy on Human Dignity. "Separate but equal" is still wrong and shameful today.

PLEASE do not sign the proposed Policy on Human Dignity as it is written.

Sincerely,

Lisa Williams  
HB Resident

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:37 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Oppose Item #15B, #30, #31, #32, #34, #35

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** jstone223@aol.com <jstone223@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 6:10:16 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Oppose Item #15B, #30, #31, #32, #34, #35

I am apposed to our council majority and City Attorney attempting to change the very fabric of my city of 25 years.

***Jessica Stone***

*"What if today we were just grateful for everything" Charlie Brown.*

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:39 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Comment, 9-5-23 CC mtg., items 15, 16, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Dan Jamieson <danjamieson4@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:36:12 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Comment, 9-5-23 CC mtg., items 15, 16, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35.

Dear HB City Council:

As a Huntington Beach resident, I appreciate the chance to comment in several items under consideration at the Sept. 5, 2023 council meeting:

### Item 15

Please vote NO on item 15, a recommendation to contract with Kahn, Soares, & Conway LLP for State Legislative Advocacy Services and with Stapleton & Associates for Federal Legislative Advocacy Services. It is unclear why these firms were chosen. Stapleton was not highly rated by staff, and Kahn was not rated at all (and appears to focus on agricultural and water law).

HB residents deserve transparency in how lobbying firms are chosen, especially given that the mayor is a professional lobbyist and may have conflicts.

\*\*\*

### Item 16

Please vote NO on item 16, to redirect staff to develop policy options regarding city library materials and safeguards.

This item continues development of the unconstitutional book-banning proposals pushed by the council majority. Having political actors review and restrict books would be an unconstitutional imposition of extreme religious views into the function of our public library, which is to serve all users regardless of faith or political views. Professional library staff are fully capable of choosing materials for our public library. Concerned parents can screen out anything they feel is unacceptable.

Please stop the book-banning efforts that HB residents clearly oppose.

\*\*\*

### Item 30

Please vote NO on Administrative Item 30.

The council majority promised HB voters they would refrain from charter amendments. With this item, they go back on that promise.

Charter amendments must be done with a thoughtful, even-handed, transparent process. The proposed batch of amendments in this item is anything but. The amendments were hatched behind closed doors by the council majority looking to consolidate power within the city attorney's office; prevent the council from investigating malfeasance; help the city attorney's wife get elected as city clerk; cement the anti-Pride flag ordinance into the charter; restrict the city's ability to create affordable housing; and improperly interfere with county-run elections in an attempt to cater to election-conspiracy believers.

If charter amendments are needed, they should be done with an open and rigorous process with public notice and comment, and voted on in a November general election, not the lower-turnout primary election.

\*\*\*

#### Item 31

Please vote NO on item 31, dissolving the HB Human Relations Committee, and the Mobile Home Advisory Board.

The city's Human Relations Committee is needed more than ever. Hate incidents and crimes are a continuing problem in our city and county. Dissolving the committee is an affront to the diverse community that lives, works and visits our city.

The Mobile Home Advisory board also serves some of our most economically vulnerable citizens, many of them seniors on limited incomes who face rising rents from aggressive mobile-home park owners. The state Dept. of Housing and Community Development is too far removed from issues impacting local mobile-home residents, and our own advisory board can inform city leaders and HCD about HB-related park issues and violations.

\*\*\*

#### Item 32

Please vote NO on item 32, the Ad Hoc Committee's proposed Policy on Human Dignity.

The proposed new statement on human dignity is a major step backward for the people of HB.

The existing Human Dignity statement promises respect for everyone, regardless of "actual or perceived racial background, their nation of origin, the religion they practice, their sexual orientation, gender, gender identity or gender expression, or disability status." The existing statement has clear definitions of hate incidents and crimes, and promises action against hate crimes. It encourages and instructs on how to report hate crimes, and notes the tragic history that gave rise to the Dignity statement.

The proposed new statement has none of this. It does not even mention hate crimes. Instead, it offers up a generic tough-on-crime policy, offering to pursue "all of those who participate in crimes in our community," warning specifically of child abuse, including "sexual grooming." This language is chilling--right-wing extremists have associated grooming with the LGBTQ community.

In a clumsy attempt to address the issue of trans athletes, the new policy seems to attack trans and non-binary people. It says the city "will recognize from birth the genetic differences between male and female." Will trans and non-binary people be protected? If so, will that protection extend to athletics?

Respectfully, the proposed new Dignity statement is a farce. Instead of a robust statement of support for vulnerable groups, it targets trans and non-binary people and those who might "groom" children (the LGBTQ community). As written, the new statement will be an embarrassment for the city.

\*\*\*

#### Item 34

Please vote NO on item 34, the Move to Censure Council Member Moser.

Councilmember Moser questioned the appointment of the Mayor Pro Tem, who has a history of associating with white nationalists and once shared Holocaust-denial posts, to the sensitive job of writing a new Dignity statement. This was not improper. The council majority knew they might get this reaction. They got it, and now they can engage in more performative posturing with a censure vote.

\*\*\*

#### Item 35

Please vote NO on Councilmember Item 35.

This item submitted by Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark on mask and vaccine mandates, is not needed, and would be an infringement on the freedoms of private businesses to decide such policies for themselves. Likewise, the city of HB, through council, can decide for itself to impose mandates or not within its own facilities.

Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark attempts to justify this item by misrepresenting facts. The Chicago Sun Times story she quotes reported on the Cochrane study of masks. The Sun Times, along with other right-wing media, misquoted the study. The misreporting was debunked by Factcheck.org, and by Cochrane itself, which set the record straight (the effectiveness of masks is uncertain): "Many commentators have claimed that a recently-updated Cochrane Review shows that 'masks don't work', which is an inaccurate and misleading interpretation," Dr. Karla Soares-Weiser, the editor-in-chief of the Cochrane Library, said in a March 10 statement. (<https://www.factcheck.org/2023/03/scicheck-what-the-cochrane-review-says-about-masks-for-covid-19-and-what-it-doesnt/> )

The LA Times story Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark cites reported on a study of records of nearly 800,000 U.S. veterans and the persistence of covid vaccines. Vets protected by the worst performing vaccine, J&J's, were nevertheless 52% less likely to die than their peers who didn't get any shots, according to the story. Other covid vaccines were even more effective. (Because vaccines wear off, booster shots are recommended.)

Vaccines have proven effective. The data are clear. It is troubling that HB's Mayor Pro Tem spouts such blatant misinformation.

Dan Jamieson

Huntington Beach

\*\*\*

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Darcy Alsop <darcyalsop@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 8:28 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Agenda items

Once again, this City Council continues to implement policies that DO NOT REFLECT THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE CITIZENS IN THE CITY OF Huntington Beach.

With regard to the censure of Councilwoman Natalie Moser, it is a WELL KNOWN FACT that Ms. Vandermark is a KNOWN HOLOCAUST DENIER who has associated with hate groups like the Proud Boys, as evidenced by photographs and videos of Vandermark attending rallies with these dangerous groups. Ms. Moser had every right to express her thoughts on how Vandermark's opinions would be influenced by associating with these people. DO NOT CENSURE MS. MOSER. She was exercising her FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT.

Next, the trans and homophobic agenda with regard to flags and "the right to dignity" is exclusionary and disgusting. The dogwhistles are transparent and we see exactly what you're attempting to do.

The banning of books is straight out of the Hitler and Nazi agenda.

Eliminating mask mandates? Those HAVE already been eliminated. This is a matter of Public Health. Huntington Beach is a CITY in CALIFORNIA. You don't like it? MOVE. Once again, Vandermark's ideas about Covid-19, based on conspiracy theories and false rhetoric, have influenced her to put forth a BAN on mandates.

Voter ID checking and Ballot Box monitoring? This is ridiculous and an absolute waste of tax payer money.

Finally, Mayor Tony Strickland has paid 40,000.00 in FINES for violating ETHICS IN CAMPAIGNING. THIS man is OUR MAYOR??? What kind of corrupt person, who has ZERO ETHICS, should be making ANY decisions on what is ethical and right? Not Vandermark OR Strickland.

This City Council is a sham filled with a majority of individuals who lack any sort of actual values or interest in the people of this city besides themselves.

As a homeowner for 28 years and owner of TWO businesses in this city, I vehemently oppose the these proposals set forth on this agenda. Over a million dollars to create these new policies and add to the voting agenda is an absolute waste of time and money. Where is the seven million missing dollars?

What about our homeless issue? Empty promises made by liars. Focus on what matters, not attacking residents of this city.

Sincerely,

Darcy Alsop

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** H Meyers <hmeybsan@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 8:35 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Tuesday City Council meeting

I am a resident of Huntington Beach. I strongly oppose the agenda items related to the city charter amendments, the dissolution of various commissions, the changes to the statement on human dignity, and the proposal to review materials at the city library. The city charter amendments are an affront to democracy, especially the weakening of the requirements for city clerk.

Hildy Meyers

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 9:52 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Vote No on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Linda Law <lindaklaw@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 9:32:19 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Vote No on Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35

Dear Council Members,  
Please vote no on agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35 at the September 5, 2023 City Council meeting.

I don't even know where to start with my objections to these agenda items.

The proposed charter amendments are unnecessary and would be extremely costly. Over a million dollars to place these amendments on a March 2024 ballot is ridiculous.

There is no evidence of voter fraud that necessitates the city having a separate local election that requires voter id and requires the monitoring of ballot drop boxes.

The City has gotten itself involved in costly anti-housing lawsuits and settled with the Air Show operator for millions when the city is supposedly broke.

The City Council and or the City Attorney have no business determining what books are available in our public libraries. That is the job of our librarians. Let them do their jobs.

Failing to recognize the transgender community in the revised Statement on Human Dignity is just shameful.

Censuring Council Member Moser for speaking the truth is beyond hypocritical. Having a known racist and anti-semitic on the council should be called out every day. There are photos, tapes, and newspaper articles that prove that relationship.

Against staff recommendations, the mayor is recommending that the city choose the lowest ranked Federal Government Affairs lobbyist. Why is that happening?

Proposing that the city ban broad universal mask and vaccine mandates as a response to Covid 19 or its variants is just ill informed. Covid 19 continues to mutate and having mask and vaccine mandates available is just good public health policy.

Dissolving numerous boards with little or no public input is very troubling to say the least.  
What's happening with the Climate Action Plan for the City?

Our high standards for the City Clerk position and other positions should continue.

Thank you for your time.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Chris Varga <christopher.j.varga@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 10:11 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** City Council Meeting 9-5-2023

Huntington Beach City Council,

The following is in regards to City Council Meeting scheduled for 9/5/23. I will predict that all of the controversial Agenda Items this week will pass 4-3 with the usual suspects on their side of the fence. The council will again sit through hours of Public Comment as well as many email comments that one would hope might sway at least one council member to vote outside the box. But not this council. The four block majority members of the council continue with their fascist anti-woke agenda even when it doesn't reflect the City of Huntington Beach. I hope they keep you up to 3 AM with public comments this week! Enough with this MAGA agenda playbook.

Anyway, here are my positions on some of the agenda items:

Agenda Item 30 (23-700). NO to any charter changes. The four of you campaigned on NO changes to the charter. Stop the insanity. Stop the Gates family nepotism!

Agenda Item 31 (23-693) NO to all these changes. There are a lot of good coming out of these committees with a lot of free volunteer labor! NO changes!

Agenda item 32 (23-734). NO on the changes to the dignity policy. It was just fine the previous version. No changes needed. Stop making work!

Agenda Item 33 (23-732) Agree with this agenda item. The E-Bikes are out of control! This is a start. But we also have a big problem with persons WITH driving licenses. The beach path is a highway with e-bikes going as fast as they can way too often. We also need fines and enforcement! There is signage at numerous places on the beach path that states "Prohibited: Motor Bicycles / Vehicle without permitted". I was taught in engineering school; electric motors are motors! Either changes the signs, law or enforcement. Right now, everything goes!

Agenda Item 34 (23-731) NO on this agenda item. Natalie Moser was right to question somethings that many in our city have questioned about Council person Van Der Mark.

Agenda Item 35 (27-738). Just stop with this anti safety ban. Mask work! NO on this agenda item. This is just another check mark in the anti-woke Republican agenda item. Wedge issue BS.

Sincerely,

Chris Varga

Huntington Beach

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** MEG ROBINSON <twokyu@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 10:41 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Against Item 32 Human Dignity Statement on CC meeting agenda of 9/5/2023

Talk about whitewashing and trashing a beautiful document, the Human Dignity statement proposed by Burns is a joke. It removes all mention of the parts of our community at risk, removes the mention of hate crimes, and contains a clearly anti- transgender statement. It is poorly written overall and is just nonsensical drivel

Margaret Robinson  
8788 Coral Springs Ct, 206G, HB 92646

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Steven C Shepherd Architect <steve@shepherdarchitects.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:25 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** AGENDA ITEM #32 - REJECT PROPOSED AMENDED POLICY ON HUMAN DIGNITY

This is painful.

I remember the racially motivated violence and murder back in the 1990s. We had already been living in Huntington Beach for a number of years. We were raising a family, and I had already started a local business, but after the back-to-back hate crimes in early 1996, we seriously considered relocating. There had always been a small fringe element of extremists, but these crimes and the mindless hate that motivated them were genuinely shocking.

The Huntington Beach City Council's response to these horrific hate crimes included the original Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity. This document helped to bring residents together and rally our community around a clear message of tolerance and inclusion. It is not an overstatement to say that the clear and decisive actions of that City Council and the unambiguous condemnation of hate contained in the original declaration factored into our decision to remain in Huntington Beach.

With this memory in mind, I have watched proudly as past city councils reaffirm the values contained in the original declaration and have attempted to pass those values along to our children and now our grandchildren.

Those memories from 1996, both the good and the bad, remain with me, and just as I have in the past, I continue to watch protectively over the document that inspired our community in a time of great crisis.

Upon reviewing the proposed draft of the amended Policy on Human Dignity, I urge all Huntington Beach City Council members and residents to reject this document in its entirety. As written, the proposed draft insults the memory of efforts by past community leaders and ignores many of the foundational principles that have served our community for nearly three decades. The insidious attempt to inshrine political talking points into this document must be roundly condemned.

This draft is worthy only of being filed in the circular file cabinet beneath one's desk and quickly disposed of with the other random garbage of mistake and ill-conceived notion.

The residents of Huntington Beach deserve better.

Steve Shepherd  
Huntington Beach 92646

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Marilyn Palomino <palominoccr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:28 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; +City.Council@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** September 5th City Council Meeting

City Council Members,

I am a Huntington Beach resident and have lived here for 37 years and worked in the community for 24 years. I am in total disagreement with the dangerous and precedent setting proposals put forth in the August 1, 2023 meeting and items on the agenda for the September 5th meeting.

As a concerned citizen I request that you vote against these agenda items on September 5, 2023, City Council Meeting:

724-240

23-700 and all recommended actions

23-683 and all recommendations

 23-734 and all recommended actions

23-731

23-738

I highly disapprove of the censure of Councilwoman Moser for her comments made as concerned for who would be placed on an Ad Hoc committee for changes to the Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity. She questioned underlying associations with Neo-Nazis and Proud Boys which have never been addressed. Councilwoman Van Der Merck's response was out of order and hysterical and by far more deserving of a censure.

I strongly disapprove of 1) streamlining, consolidating, and/or dissolving a select number of the City's Boards, Commissions, and Committees and Council Committees 2) the attempt to require voter ID's and monitoring on drop off ballot boxes, 3) changing the election cycles for the City Clerk and City Treasurer, 4) changing the requirements for City Clerk. All of these actions are an attempt to control and limit the voice and votes of residents and are unnecessary and most likely illegal. Your role demands that you take all of the residents' input into consideration. This is a move to change that! The committees were put in place for good reasons. You need to know their history. Don't dissolve them!

I am shocked at the proposed changes to the City's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity and strongly oppose them. The verbiage is a direct attack on trans individuals, adults, and children and a veiled attempt to find reasons to ban books in the public library. These actions will also be found illegal and the City will become responsible for even more expensive court proceedings **and fines**. Here again is a waste of public funds in favorpolitical agenda. **I remind you that your position on City Council is not partisan!**

In addition, the rapid and unstudied withdrawal from OCPA will result in a mult**multimillion-dollar** fine. This will be layered atop the unprecedented settlement to maintain partnerships for the air show, the legal fees to fight the State of California, the senseless and inflated salary increase for the City Attorney, and certainly the many

finances and court proceedings **that** will take place, **as a result, of** the proposed actions in the September 5th meeting. All of these bloated expenses were unnecessary and made with little knowledge or care for fiscal responsibility and will most likely result in a needed tax increase for residents in the future. It's shameful both morally and **fiscally**. I am urging the press to become more transparent about this. Most citizens are not aware of this waste!

Finally, an attempt to limit election access, and to attempt to stack elections to favor themselves and strip away **the** rights of particular groups of individuals is **moving closer to fascism and away from democracy**. **Is it not your role to protect our rights rather than strip us of them?**

Please read my requests and vote against the proposals listed above.

Marilyn Palomino

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Julie Paik <housejulie20@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:34 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Opposition to Three Items on the September 5, 2023 agenda

Dear Council Members,

I write to the City Council to express my opposition to three agenda items on the September 5, 2023 agenda.

Item 30: 23-700: I oppose the submission of three Charter amendments to the March 5, 2024 Statewide Primary Election. The current Charter serves the City well and the cost of \$1,200,000 could be better used for other city services.

Item 32: 23-734: I oppose the Ad Hoc Committee's amended Policy on Human Dignity. The policy adopted on November 16, 2021 serves all residents of Huntington Beach. The proposed policy discriminates against certain classes of residents.

Item 33: 23-732: I oppose the censure of Councilperson Natalie Moser. Councilperson Moser's behavior was appropriate and acceptable for the discussion on August 1, 2023. Should this censure occur, all Council members should be afraid to have challenging, but needed, conversations at future Council meetings.

I have voted in every election for the last 40 years and I look forward to voting for the next City Council members. Your vote on these issues will be remembered.

Julie Paik

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Cindy B <castle92648@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:36 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** 9/5/23-City Council Meeting

TO: City Council Members,

I am a Huntington Beach resident and have lived in the city for a total of 27 years. I am outraged on behalf of all residents by the anti-democratic and frightening proposals put forth in the August 1, 2023 meeting and items on the agenda for the September 5th meeting.

**The following portion of this letter is a copy of what another kindred spirit and fellow Huntington Beach resident wrote to the city council. I agree with the ideas expressed here and completely support her view which articulately represents own my thoughts.**

"I strongly urge you to vote against these agenda items on September 5, 2023, City Council Meeting:

724-240

23-700 and all recommended actions

23-683 and all recommendations

#32 23-734 and all recommended actions

23-731

23-738

I highly disapprove of the censure of Councilwoman Moser for her comments made as concerned for who would be placed on an Ad Hoc committee for changes to the Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity. She questioned underlying associations with Neo-Nazis and Proud Boys which have never been addressed. Councilwoman Van Der Merck's response was out of order and hysterical and by far more deserving of a censure.

I strongly disapprove of 1) streamlining, consolidating, and/or dissolving a select number of the City's Boards, Commissions, and Committees and Council Committees 2) the attempt to require voter ID's and monitoring on drop off ballot boxes, 3) changing the election cycles for the City Clerk and City Treasure, 4) changing the requirements for City Clerk. All of these actions are an attempt to control and limit the voice and votes of residents and are unnecessary and most likely illegal.

I am shocked at the proposed changes to the City's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity and strongly oppose them. The verbiage is a direct attack on trans individuals, adults, and children and a veiled attempt to find reasons to ban books in the public library. These actions will also be found illegal and the City will become responsible for even more expensive court proceedings and fines.

In addition, the rapid and unstudied withdrawal from OCPA will result in a multimillion-dollar fine. This will be layered atop the unprecedented settlement to maintain partnerships for the air show, the legal fees to fight the State of California, the senseless and inflated salary increase for the City Attorney, and certainly the many fines and court proceedings that will take place, as a result, of the proposed actions in the September 5th meeting. All of these bloated expenses were unnecessary and made with little knowledge or care for fiscal

responsibility and will most likely result in a needed tax increase for residents in the future. It's shameful both morally and fiscally.

Finally, an attempt to limit election access, and to attempt to stack elections to favor themselves and strip away the rights of particular groups of individuals is moving toward fascism, which I find atrocious and shameful for all of us who reside in this city. For those who don't see the similarity of these action to fascism, please read some of the descriptions of what these actions are, taken from Merriam Webster dictionary:

"... severe economic and social regimentation and forcible oppression of the opposition. A tendency toward or actual exercise of strong autocratic or dictatorial control."

If the City tampers with elections such as what is proposed, that is exactly where we are.

Please read my requests and vote against the proposals listed above. 🗳️

*Cynthia Bonnycastle  
Huntington Beach Resident*

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:42 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposing Revised Policy on Human Dignity  
**Attachments:** HB City Declaration Human Dignity 1996.pdf

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Sue Welfringer <Sue@Welfringer.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:40:43 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Opposing Revised Policy on Human Dignity

Dear Mayor Strickland, Pro-Tem Mayor Vandermark, Council Member Burns, and Council Member McKeon:

Wow. How could you consider changing the dignity statement?

When I read the new statement, I was aghast with the judgmental and overstepping ideas presented. Then when I found the statement created twenty-seven years ago, I couldn't imagine why you felt the need to change a single word. When reading these two documents side-by-side, there is no question as to which statement is truly about honoring and respecting each other.

Please focus on governing locally. What can you do to support our businesses, our residents, and our resources instead of attacking a strong human dignity statement and library books. The policy as presented does not do our community justice.

Regards,  
Sue Welfringer  
Huntington Beach Resident since 1997

"A government that oversteps its legitimate limits and undermines the proper responsibility of other institutions is acting not only unconstitutionally, but also unjustly."

# A Declaration of Policy About Human Dignity

by the Huntington Beach City Council

Huntington Beach is a city whose residents represent every walk of life, come from many nations, share varied lifestyles, and hold different religious beliefs. This diversity brings to our city a rich and varied cultural heritage. Our citizens honor and respect the diversity that exists in Huntington Beach. When acts of hate are committed against any citizen, it is considered an act against the entire community.

The Huntington Beach City Council declares that everyone should be treated with courtesy and respect, regardless of their racial background, their nation of origin, the religion they practice, their sexual orientation, gender or disability status. It is the right of all citizens to pursue their daily lives with the knowledge that they will not be physically harmed or verbally abused.

The Huntington Beach City Council states in the strongest terms that it will vigorously fight criminal activity known as "hate crime" with all of the resources at its disposal. A hate crime is any criminal or attempted criminal act which is motivated by the victim's race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, gender or disability status. It may involve a verbal, written, or physical action that is intended to create emotional suffering, physical harm or property damage.

The City Council warns those who advocate or perpetrate hate not to test the community's resolve to oppose them. It is the city's stated policy to vigorously pursue a course of investigation, apprehension, prosecution, conviction, and incarceration of all those who participate in hate crimes.

In support of this declaration, the City Council sends these messages:

To the community we say, "Believe in the interdependence of all people. Participate at your places of worship and community meetings and lend your voice in opposing hate."

To the schools we say, "Continue your programs and curricula which promote respect towards those who are different. Call on resources of the city, the county, the state, and the nation as you engage in the educational process to battle hate."

To the parents we say, "Act as positive role models for your children by demonstrating tolerance towards those whose race, nationality, religion, sexual orientation, gender, or disability status may be different from yours. You are the most powerful influence on your children's behavior."

To the young people we say, "Educate yourself to the ways of the world and the lessons of history. Develop sensitivity toward the feelings of others. Thus, as you grow into adulthood and become society's leaders, you will be more effective in the fight to preserve man's humanity toward man."

We must all remember that a great war was fought in which millions of innocent people lost their lives as a result of one of the greatest hate crimes in history. It is the martyrdom of those people who should serve to encourage all of us in the fight against hate. We must all become activists in this battle as a living memorial to those who lost their lives. Let us not forget the millions who came before, who but for the absence of strong opposition at an early time, would have lived long, happy, and productive lives.

Remember that silence and apathy are the greatest allies of those committing hate crime. If you are a victim or a witness of a hate crime, go to the nearest telephone, call 911, and say "I want to report a hate crime." With those seven words, you will instantly have as an ally the full resources of the city of Huntington Beach and the nearly 200,000 citizens of our city. You are not alone!

Together, the city of Huntington Beach and its citizens will succeed in their efforts to permanently eliminate all hate crimes. The Huntington Beach City Council declares that it will not tolerate any hate crimes in our community.

The foregoing declaration of policy about Human dignity was unanimously adopted by the Huntington Beach City Council on May 6, 1996.

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Lee Marie Sanchez <lsanchez@uuma.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:50 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Agenda items 23-734, 23-741, 23-738 City Council Meeting Sept 5, 2023

Dear City Council ~

Reading through the Agenda for the meeting, I have the following comments.

23-734 **Human Dignity**

I see no reason to amend the Policy on Human Dignity. The wording concerning two genders is not supported today in the scientific community. As worded this could be damaging and even punitive if enforced. Let us celebrate our diversity. I much appreciated the earlier 1996/2001 Policy on Human Dignity as more inclusive.

23-741 **City Library Materials**

I will be most interested in more information about the proposals and listen carefully tomorrow night to see how/when these will be addressed and available to our citizens previous to the October meeting. Our citizens need to be as informed as possible to be able to support our libraries, our librarians, our 1st Amendment. It was apparent to me that there was a lot of misinformation provided at a previous Council meeting about our City Libraries current excellent policies.

23-738 **No Mask No Vaccine Mandate**

I believe masks/vaccines to have been crucial in escaping

worse outcomes in our pandemic and would want us to have the opportunity to address any mandates as they are proffered by health authorities, in each and every individual circumstance. We should NEVER have a blanket No Mask/No Vaccine Mandate.

~ Rev. Lee Marie Sanchez, retired

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Deb Lelchuk <deblelchuk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 12:52 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Items on Tuesday, Sept. 5 agenda

As a resident of Huntington Beach, I am voicing my opposition to the following:

Item No. 31 23-693 Adopt Resolution No. 2023-40, "A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach repealing Resolutions 2002-106 and 2021-66, and dissolving the Human Relations Committee;

A Human Relations Committee is a vital part of a city the size of Huntington Beach. It is part of a community-wide effort to promote human dignity. The committee works closely with the Police Department to strictly enforce and monitor all incidents that could be classified as hate crimes and hate incidents.

The 2021 Orange County Hate Crimes Report from the OC Human Relations Commission found that hate incidents and crimes have increased by 165% in the past five years, with over 300 reported hate incidents that year and nearly 100 hate crimes. In Huntington Beach, there were seven hate incidents and seven hate crimes in 2022.

This committee is vital to addressing the growing divisions within our community and I urge the council not to dissolve it. Show the community you support the addressing of this horrendous problem.

Additionally, I oppose per item 32. 23-734, the proposed amended Policy on Human Dignity as adding an unnecessary and inflammatory clause giving the council powers that belong rightly with law enforcement and parents. It is not the councils purvue to determine what constitutes grooming etc.

Further, I oppose item 34. 23-731 the censure of council member Natalie Moser. She was acting within her responsibility to question the qualifications of a fellow council member to serve on an ad hoc committee. Censure is not necessary as her statements did not constitute the views/statements of the City Council, but were solely hers alone and were not inappropriate in light of the topic at hand.

Sincerely, Deborah Lelchuk

16802 Coral Cay Lane, Huntington Beach.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Isabella Ford <issyford@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 1:13 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** What happened to common courtesy, respect for differences, keeping your word?

City council meetings are such a circus that I know many don't feel safe enough to attend so we watch them online.

This link should remind you of the exchange that Mayor Strickland seems to warrant a censure of Ms. Moser.  
<https://www.youtube.com/live/tepr01blp3Y?feature=shared>

Ms. Van Der Mark interrupts Ms. Moser with a very loud, harsh tone, and continues to yell in a very angry tone. Yet, Mr. Strickland wants to censure Ms. Moser?? This is the circus type of behavior that has made Huntington Beach such a sad example of an all-American city. Ms. Van Der Mark very rudely interrupts Ms. Moser yet Mayor Strickland seems to only accuse Ms. Moser of attacking another member? Ms. Moser is trying to ask a very simple question to dispel rumors that came from multiple videos and photos of Ms. Van Der Mark denying the holocaust occurred. And, it really does NOT matter that her family fought in the war because they are not the ones that were elected to serve the City of Huntington Beach. Ms. Van Der Mark was, and she needs to be forthright with her knowledge and opinion of history, especially if she plans to work on a committee to unify our diverse city.

The new council majority also ran on the platform to not change the charter. Even when there were discussions to fix the very sections of the charter that outlined how to replace former council member Tito Ortiz. Yet, now you want to make changes? What is your hidden agenda with these changes? How do they benefit the city that now has a budget issue after the new majority has managed to gut the surplus we had?

Finally, the new council majority seems to have no sympathy for others. I really like Mr. Kalmick's analogy in an article I read about how the council majority has "All Lives Mattered" the situation when there was a request to specifically state Huntington Beach does not condone anti-semitism after there were confirmed incidents of hate speech being spread among a specific community. The health of Huntington Beach is at stake and instead of fixing the broken pieces, you decide to say the city as a whole is important. No one denies that. You had an opportunity to heal a pretty deep wound created by a very hateful act but you decided it was just a superficial scratch. Hate is your priority. You seem to say "Think exactly like me or you are sub-human."

I know my emails don't matter to you but I feel compelled to get it on public record that you do not represent Huntington Beach and are very poor examples of public servants, which is what elected officials should be focused on - serving the public. HB has so many wonderful people that have different experiences and opinions and we have such amazing volunteers that take care of our city despite your hate.

Thank you Mr. Kalmick, Ms. Moser and Ms. Bolton for continuing to serve, keeping the city as a whole in your hearts, and putting up with such horrid and discriminatory behavior of the other members.

Isabella Ford  
714-308-0660

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Joan Nagle <jnnagle1@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 1:21 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Make a Compassionate Vote usususususus

Council Members,

You were elected by the people of Huntington Beach to represent ALL. Now most of you are full of righteousness and following a narrow agenda.

So show true leadership and put on your big girls/ big boy pants (ie stop acting like toddlers throwing a tantrum) and represent ALL of HB not a very small bigoted minority.

Do the right thing.

HB Resident of over 45 years,  
Joan Nagle

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Jeanne Paris <jeannemarieparis@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 2:11 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Yes on Agenda Items 32, 33, 34 and 35

To the City Council,

I strongly support Agenda item's 32, 33, 34 and 35.

I especially support Agenda item #35 regarding declaring the city to be a no mask and no vaccine Mandate City. The over the top, authoritarian reaction from government officials during covid was appalling and completely unnecessary. The damage done to small businesses, public school children as well as our totally economy will take years to recover.

This was a power grab the likes we have never seen.

If you want to wear a mask and get a vaccine, please feel free. But this should never have been tolerated as a mandate across the state.

Thank you.

Jeanne Paris  
Huntington Beach Resident

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Linda Moon <lsapiro048@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 2:58 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** September 5, 2023 City Council Agenda

I write in opposition to Agenda Items 16, 30 (A-E), 31(A-K), 32, 33, and 34.

**16.** The City Council has absolutely no business addressing or determining standards for selection of materials in the public library. The Library is managed and operated by well-educated professional librarians, who have done an outstanding job in selecting materials. There was no public call for council interference until Councilmember Vandermark created a false issue, using a list of materials provided by the radical conservative group Moms for Liberty. Statements that “young children have access to obscene materials” have been proven false. The questioned sexually explicit materials were in the Adult section of the library. Items in the Children’s Library relating to homosexuality are age appropriate and subject to parental selection. No “young children” arrive at and select materials without an adult present and parents are notified by email regarding all materials checked out by their children. I’ve yet to see a toddler arrive at the library alone, and few have the ability to read any written text or understand the context of the items of concern.

**30.** The proposed charter amendments may not legally be put before the voters on a primary election ballot. Election Code 9255 specifically requires that any charter amendments proposed by the governing body be considered on the General Election ballot. There is no exception that would apply to the proposed action.

The proposed Amendments have been steamrolled through without the opportunity for full public scrutiny. The mostly self-serving amendments have been commingled in a confusing and insufficiently descriptive manner. While charter revision commissions are not mandated by the charter or state statute, they are provided for therein and have been the traditional manner of amending the charter in Huntington Beach. Review by the charter commission, empaneled pursuant to state law, allows input by the public and experts over an extended period of time. Submission on the General Election Ballot allows for their consideration by the largest voting population. The proponent councilmembers are obviously relying on a low-turnout Primary Election to ramrod through amendments that only facilitate their further concentration of control.

After making drastic budget cuts for city services due to reduced revenue and increased costs of litigation and judgments against the city, the expenditure of \$1,200,000 is unconscionable.

**31.** The dissolution of boards and commissions which have provided important and significant input and expertise to the City over many decades is shameful and indicates an unjustified

arrogance of the proponent councilmembers in assuming that they know everything there is to know about everything and need no public input. The new council members all promised to listen to the public and invite their participation in decisions relating to the future of the city. This item flies in the face of those promises.

**32.** The proposed amendment of the City's well-crafted compassionate Policy on Human Dignity is an apparent attempt to diminish the importance of protecting traditionally targeted people of identified classifications. It further denies the existence of those who do not fit into a binary gender classification. An improper religious reference is also relied upon to justify its provisions. The city has no legal control over the limitation of gender participation in activities and the human dignity statement is without any legal significance to the enforcement of civil rights. However, it does identify the city as one that is either welcoming and protective to all or one in which the residents and their leaders are intolerant and discriminatory. I hope we will not be seen as the latter.

**33.** This item creates another costly bureaucracy that would improperly distinguish rules for the operation of e-bikes from those of surrounding cities in a manner that could not be easily enforced. If the City is concerned about e-bikes, the issues of concern and appropriate amendments to the California Vehicle Code should be discussed with our State Representatives.

**34.** This proposal to censure Councilmember Moser is outrageous. It highlights the vindictiveness and personal animosity of the proponent councilmembers. Those councilmembers have declined to support any standards of decorum for council meetings and have repeatedly condoned assaultive language being used in reference to Councilmembers Kalmick, Moser and Bolton by members of the public and themselves. The attempt to censure Councilmember Moser for asking that Councilmember Vandermark acknowledge the existence of the Holocaust before taking a position on the Human Relations Commission is absurd. It was Councilmember Vandermark who responded with a screaming nonresponsive diatribe. This item will likely be heard in the early hours of the morning when there will be little audience for this totally unwarranted action. A mature and reasonable action would be to request that staff draft a rational policy of council decorum.

Linda Sapiro Moon

[lsapiro048@gmail.com](mailto:lsapiro048@gmail.com)

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Diane Pavesic <dianepavesic@cs.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 3:24 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: City Council Meeting 9/5/23

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Diane Pavesic <dianepavesic@cs.com>  
**Date:** September 4, 2023 at 3:09:52 PM PDT  
**To:** city.council@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** City Council Meeting 9/5/23

Dear City Council,

I am a 33 year resident of Huntington Beach, Registered Nurse, employed as an independent contractor for the City of Huntington Beach, and a member of the Friends of the Huntington Beach Library. Please consider the following comments on the upcoming agenda.

**23-734 Human Dignity**

The proposed revision to the Policy on Human Dignity will further propagate the palpable divisions in our community. There is no need to revise a policy that is inclusive of the diversity in our community. The proposed wording will adversely affect the human rights of my clients.

**23-741 City Library Materials**

As an active member of the multiple Huntington Beach Library branches it is clear that our employed Librarians are actively and creatively engaged with the community members. Previous City Council Meetings have demonstrated miscommunication and disregard for the education of the City Library staff. Transparency in communication will be necessary to the citizens of Huntington Beach in regards to the oversight committee which is redundant when Huntington Beach employs librarians who comprehend their job description.

**23-738 No Mask/No Vaccine Mandate**

As a Licensed Registered Nurse, educated in science, practices saving lives and a citizen of Huntington Beach the proposal to ban universal mask and vaccine mandates is a dangerous overreach, and demonstrates a lack of care and safety for the residents and visitors to our City. The future of future pandemics is likely and unclear at this time. If the proposed ban is approved we will open the City to further lawsuits which it already has an abundance of. Please reconsider this proposal and leave future medical decisions to the people who are educated in their respective field.

Thank you.

Respectively submitted,  
Diane Pavesic, BSN, CCM

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Roz Esposito <imthewizardofroz@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 3:36 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Comments for the Council

You were elected to serve, not reign power over the residents of Huntington Beach. And as such, I will voice my comments here as I am absolutely certain I am in the majority.

I am outraged at the blatant power grab by some on the Council who are not living up to their oath in regards to the Constitution of the United States. By attempting to take away the rights of U.S. Citizens and police the residents of HB based on these member's personal beliefs. They are proposing ideas that are not aligned in any way, shape or form with the freedom we are ALL granted as U.S. Citizens. And we, the majority of HB residents, will not stand for these draconian ideas!

First of all let's be clear that anything called **HUMAN DIGNITY** would seek to recognize the DIGNITY of each and every human being to choose their own path. The proposal to exclude certain residents from being recognized does not have anything dignified about it.

Be advised that ANY ATTEMPT TO EXCLUDE OR NOT RECOGNIZE  
TRANSGENDER INDIVIDUALS WILL BE MET WITH SWIFT LEGAL ACTION.

As well, the banning of any books or library closings will be met with the same.

***Everyone is entitled to their beliefs - but NO NONE is entitled to force those beliefs upon another.***

**Accordingly, I am also adamantly against:**

- Requiring Voter IDs and monitoring Drop Boxes - *there is no problem to fix as this measure seeks only to disenfranchise.*
- The banning of the Pride Flag on city property - *The U.S., of which HB is a part, welcomes diversity and the majority of HB residents do as well.*
- Financial maneuvers that add debt and spend down the surplus - *we demand transparency and approval by ALL Council members on such expenditures.*
- Making the city a "no mask/no vaccine city" - *People and businesses shall have the right to make their own decisions!*
- Formalizing the city's view of separating genders in sports.- *This is a complicated issue and I don't believe the HB City Council ought to have anything to do with it.*

Rev Roz Esposito  
HB Resident  
(she/her)  
323-839-7903

***"She who can see the invisible can do the impossible."*** Frank Gaines

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Lorraine Gayer <lgayer@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 3:50 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Cc:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Letter to Huntington Beach City Council Regarding Agenda Items for September 5, 2023 City Council Meeting

To: The Huntington Beach City Council

From: Lorraine Gayer  
Huntington Beach Resident Since 1970  
Voter

September 4, 2023

To the members of The Huntington Beach City Council:

I am concerned about a number of agenda items for the September 5, 2023, city council meeting. My specific concerns are as follows.

I am adamantly opposed to the shameful measures proposed by the Huntington Beach City Council majority to weaken the city's long-standing stated commitment to protect human dignity.

I also **oppose the dissolution of the city's Human Relations Committee** at a time when our city needs more than ever to enhance communication between the various communities within our city.

I **oppose the elimination of other volunteer city committees**. This action, if passed, diminishes the involvement of city residents in city governance. This makes the city less democratic

I also **oppose the proposed "No Mask, No Vaccine Mandate" measure**. We live in a time of unpredictable health needs. It is foolish to foreclose the ability of the city to deal with whatever health threats may arise in the future. This measure puts the very lives of citizens of the city at risk.

I also **oppose the censure of Councilperson Moser**. Councilperson Moser's free speech rights as an elected representative should be protected. Should this censure pass, I urge Councilperson Moser to seek a legal remedy.

In the many years that I have lived here since 1970, I have been impressed by the openness and decency in our city. The actions proposed by the current Huntington Beach City Council majority make me ashamed of my city government. Additionally, the actions/positions taken by the city council majority and the city attorney put the city in financial jeopardy.

Lorraine Gayer  
16682 Lovell Lane  
Huntington Beach CA 92647

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** laura sire <laurajane@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:02 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Cc:** laura sire  
**Subject:** Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35. (Agenda dated Sept 5, 2023)

Dear Mayor Strickland, Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark, and Councilmembers Bolton, Burns, Kalmick, and Moser,

My name is Laura Sire. I moved to Huntington Beach with my family when I was 14 years old. I am now 75 years old so I've been here a long time, and have witnessed many changes over the decades. I was a realtor in this city for about 10 years, and then made a career change to teaching and taught 1st grade for 16 years. The last decade or so I've enjoyed volunteering and being of service to HB.

Currently, I've been experiencing some health issues which have necessitated me stepping back from my activities. However, I am writing today out of the urgency of the crisis I see the governance of Huntington Beach facing.

I am appalled at what has occurred since the council majority Strickland, Van Der Mark, McKeon, and Burns were sworn in December 2022. Public comments are heard but not really heard. The "Thank you for being here" mechanically repeated by Mayor Strickland rings hollow as the CC majority deconstructs all that is positive, inclusive, compassionate, and sound city government with their robotic, pre-determined and thoughtless 4-3 votes.

That said, still in hopes of being heard I strongly ask for a NO vote on Agenda items 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34, and 35.

Although ALL should be voted down, #'s 30,31,32,34 and 35 particularly warrant NO votes:

-30. VOTE NO. Introducing city charter amendment ballot measures for Mar 5, 2023 primary election.

\*This item is the epitome of hypocrisy as it was brought forward by councilmembers who have repeatedly stated that we should follow and not deviate from our City Charter. This is written as only ONE agenda item and did not involve the electorate of our city. There are 3 measures but actually include many negative impacts such as wasted \$\$\$, voter ID requirement and other conditions that make voting less accessible especially to those whose voices specifically NEED to be heard.

\*This gives the Mayor alone the power to cancel city council meetings.

\*\$1,200,000 to be spent from City's general fund which is \$ taxpayer \$.  
It solidifies the removal of the Pride Flag flying on city property.g

\*Overall #30 must be a NO vote. If it is passed and these amendments are on the March ballot, it will be a HUGE waste of money. When folks understand what these measures truly mean they will be voted down.

There will be a campaign to educate and protect HB and ALL people living here by and before March 5th.

THIS WILL NOT PASS ON MARCH 5TH!

-31 VOTE NO -Approve the dissolution of the various and many boards, commissions, and committees of HB. This is a clear and dangerous move to silence the voice of the people. It reeks of authoritarianism.

-32 VOTE NO, NO NO! Consider adhoc's proposed policy on statement of human dignity. After reading this abhorrent and insulting so called statement of human dignity, I find myself agreeing with Councilmember Burns, however this is the version that should be cancelled. This draft excludes the LGBTQIA+ community with an emphasis on those who identify as transgender. The fear and suffering that this would cause those individuals including the youth in our city is immeasurable and this statement is shameful and reprehensible.

-34 NO on Censure of Councilmember Moser. I watched the exchange between Councilmember Moser and Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark multiple times. Why is this on the agenda? Councilmember Moser was in control and simply asked needed questions of Van Der Mark when Mayor Strickland announced that the ad-hoc committee to amend the human dignity statement would include her. Considering Councilmember Van Der Mark's social media history, removal from a school board, and photos with white supremacists, Councilmember Moser was performing her due diligence in representing all of the people of Huntington Beach in making her best effort at obtaining the truth. Councilmember VDM was the one who attacked Councilmember Moser, interrupting her and yelling at her. She was the one who breached decorum. It is obvious to anyone who watches the clip. You owe Councilmember Moser an apology. And because of her highly emotional and hostile denial (which is in opposition to data) we the people of HB are still left wondering and wanting only to know the truth concerning the Councilmember who is in this leadership position.

#35 proposed by Councilmember Van Der Mark is ignorant, reckless, and dangerous to the health and safety of HB residents. VOTE NO!

Sincerely,  
Laura Sire  
(she/her)

PS I along with other residents will be watching Tuesday.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Stouts Momma <stoutsmomma@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:27 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Cc:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Council's agendas for Tuesday's meeting

To the HB City Council,

I couldn't believe my ears when I heard that Natalie Moser is being censored for asking a valid question on Gracey's participation in a committee to amend the city's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity because of her history of not commenting on allegations denying the Holocaust and friendships with Proud Boys. It's a well known fact that Gracey's best friend is even dating a Proud Boy. Gracey's words and actions have proven her to be discriminatory. Allowing her to be in the committee shows the rumors about the new council's bigotry to be factual and will continue to shame our city's reputation. And censoring Natalie, who happens to be highly educated and very intelligent (unlike Gracey the dropout), shows the council majority's bigotry. I do not think the council should allow Gracey on the committee or censor Natalie Moser.

I do not agree that the council should be changing the city's charter, which was something that the new 4 members ALL campaigned on! You promised your voters that you would NOT make changes to the charter!!! You're proving yourselves to be hypocrites! Huntington Beach should be a city ALL people feel comfortable visiting or residing in. Changing the city charter to make it impossible for future councils to fly the pride flag and adding anti LGBTQ comments tarnishes our city's reputation and makes our city a place people don't feel comfortable living in or visiting. It's bad enough that Vans pulled out of sponsoring the US Open because of the current council's decision to not fly the pride flag during pride month, costing the tax payers . Or how the horrible attack on the HB Library and the LGBTQIA books, led by Gracey, makes our city look homophobic.

Trying to add the requiring of voter identification and monitoring of ballot boxes will end up being fought against and end up costing the tax payers even MORE money towards losing court costs by Gates. It's bad enough we're paying millions for his fight against the state's housing mandate, AND his millions in payments to his buddies that put on the air show. Now you want us tax payers to front the cost of the inevitable fight against the state for your proposed unconstitutional voting changes.

I have never been embarrassed of living in Huntington Beach...until now. The current council's agendas bring shame to our city. Shame that will follow us for years to come. Shame that makes people decide to vacation in more inviting cities.

Bring compassion and respect back to our city!  
Christy

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Judith Lewis <judilew22@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:39 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Human Dignity Policy

To Council Members

I was appalled to see your agenda items for the Huntington Beach City Council Meeting for September 5<sup>th</sup>. You have decimated HB Policy on Human Dignity and have proposed abolishing numerous citizen advisory committees.

You have rewritten the Human Dignity Policy as if "hate crimes" no longer exist. You have eliminated any reference to racial differences, immigrant status, sexual orientation or disability. You claim that there are recognized genetic differences between men and women from birth with inherent "strengths and benefits" of each.

Those "assumed" strengths and weaknesses kept women as second class citizens never hired for what were considered "men's" occupations for so many generations. Not to mention, women were considered too emotional to vote.

In fact, the white nationalist movement has contributed to a 12% increase in hate crimes per FBI statistics. There are several known white supremacist organizations in Orange and San Diego Counties. Huntington Beach has a history of radical hate group demonstrations turning violent. Those groups have grown and become emboldened in recent years.

And it is now, that you want to shut your eyes and pretend that discrimination and hate crimes do not occur?

Democracy has been under attack in recent years. At least four of you seem to want to be on the bandwagon by eliminating pesky advisory committees that take up your time with citizen's concerns and issues.

Shame on you. What are you thinking? And who do you represent?

**Judith A. Lewis**

**Captain, Retired, L.A. County Sheriffs**

**38 years public service**

**Served on Los Angeles and Orange County Citizen Advisory Committees**

**36 year Huntington Beach Resident**

**4057 Warner Avenue**

**Huntington Beach CA 92649**

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:42 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: City Council Meeting 9/5/23

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Diane Pavesic <dianepavesic@cs.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 3:09:52 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** City Council Meeting 9/5/23

Dear City Council,

I am a 33 year resident of Huntington Beach, Registered Nurse, employed as an independent contractor for the City of Huntington Beach, and a member of the Friends of the Huntington Beach Library. Please consider the following comments on the upcoming agenda.

### 23-734 Human Dignity

The proposed revision to the Policy on Human Dignity will further propagate the palpable divisions in our community. There is no need to revise a policy that is inclusive of the diversity in our community. The proposed wording will adversely affect the human rights of my clients.

### 23-741 City Library Materials

As an active member of the multiple Huntington Beach Library branches it is clear that our employed Librarians are actively and creatively engaged with the community members. Previous City Council Meetings have demonstrated miscommunication and disregard for the education of the City Library staff. Transparency in communication will be necessary to the citizens of Huntington Beach in regards to the oversight committee which is redundant when Huntington Beach employs librarians who comprehend their job description.

### 23-738 No Mask/No Vaccine Mandate

As a Licensed Registered Nurse, educated in science, practices saving lives and a citizen of Huntington Beach the proposal to ban universal mask and vaccine mandates is a dangerous overreach, and demonstrates a lack of care and safety for the residents and visitors to our City. The future of future pandemics is likely and unclear at this time. If the proposed ban is approved we will open the City to further lawsuits which it already has an abundance of. Please reconsider this proposal and leave future medical decisions to the people who are educated in their respective field.

Thank you.

Respectively submitted,  
Diane Pavesic, BSN, CCM

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:44 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: September 5 agenda items

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Judith Lewis <judilew22@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:31:48 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** September 5 agenda items

### To Council Members

I was appalled to see your agenda items for the Huntington Beach City Council Meeting for September 5<sup>th</sup>. You have decimated HB Policy on Human Dignity and have proposed abolishing numerous citizen advisory committees.

You have rewritten the Human Dignity Policy as if “hate crimes” no longer exist. You have eliminated any reference to racial differences, immigrant status, sexual orientation or disability. You claim that there are recognized genetic differences between men and women from birth with inherent “strengths and benefits” of each.

Those “assumed” strengths and weaknesses kept women as second class citizens never hired for what were considered “men’s” occupations for so many generations. Not to mention, women were considered too emotional to vote.

In fact, the white nationalist movement has contributed to a 12% increase in hate crimes per FBI statistics. There are several known white supremacist organizations in Orange and San Diego Counties. Huntington Beach has a history of radical hate group demonstrations turning violent. Those groups have grown and become emboldened in recent years.

And it is now, that you want to shut your eyes and pretend that discrimination and hate crimes do not occur?

Democracy has been under attack in recent years. At least four of you seem to want to be on the bandwagon by eliminating pesky advisory committees that take up your time with citizen’s concerns and issues.

Shame on you. What are you thinking? And who do you represent?

**Judith A. Lewis**

**Captain, Retired, L.A. County Sheriffs**

**38 years public service**

**Served on Los Angeles and Orange County Citizen Advisory Committees**

**36 year Huntington Beach Resident**

**4057 Warner Avenue**

**Huntington Beach CA 92649**

**714 742 5444**

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:44 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Tuesday Meeting- Human Dignity Statement

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Lisa Angela Gibilie <qidancer@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:33:49 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Tuesday Meeting- Human Dignity Statement

I believe there is an existing Human Dignity statement, perhaps that should have been used as a guideline instead of inventing the wheel.

A Human Dignity statement is one of inclusion and equality without discrimination of all persons regardless of sex, gender identification, creed, religion, race, etc.

Some suggestions if you elect to use the new statement:

paragraph 1 - A general statement of equality and inclusion, don't need the last sentence on crime.

paragraph 2- Again, don't need the last sentence, add without fear of discrimination.

paragraph 3 - In human dignity statements gender is neither defined nrt an issue. Think our Bill of Rights and Constitution -- all people are equal regardless of gender.

Paragraph 4 - There is need to mention specific crimes unless you mention all crimes. Again, this should be a broad and inclusive statement. Instead say that all members and visitors to our community should feel safe and protected and their rights safeguarded and respected.

Paragraph 5 - It is really unnecessary to mention this, it is already stated in the first sentencea, If you want to include i,t you may say that members of all faiths are free to practice without discrimination.

*When I started counting my blessings, my whole life turned around.*  
Willie Nelson

*When the power of love overcomes the love of power the world will know peace.*  
Jimi Hendrix

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** george sire <gunahound@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:55 PM  
**To:** laura sire; supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Cc:** laura sire  
**Subject:** Re: Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35. (Agenda dated Sept 5, 2023)

Nicely put together.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Monday, September 4, 2023, 4:02 PM, laura sire <llaurajane@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor Strickland, Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark, and Councilmembers Bolton, Burns, Kalmick, and Moser,

My name is Laura Sire. I moved to Huntington Beach with my family when I was 14 years old. I am now 75 years old so I've been here a long time, and have witnessed many changes over the decades. I was a realtor in this city for about 10 years, and then made a career change to teaching and taught 1st grade for 16 years. The last decade or so I've enjoyed volunteering and being of service to HB.

Currently, I've been experiencing some health issues which have necessitated me stepping back from my activities. However, I am writing today out of the urgency of the crisis I see the governance of Huntington Beach facing.

I am appalled at what has occurred since the council majority Strickland, Van Der Mark, McKeon, and Burns were sworn in December 2022. Public comments are heard but not really heard.

The "Thank you for being here" mechanically repeated by Mayor Strickland rings hollow as the CC majority deconstructs all that is positive, inclusive, compassionate, and sound city government with their robotic, pre-determined and thoughtless 4-3 votes.

That said, still in hopes of being heard I strongly ask for a NO vote on Agenda items 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34, and 35.

Although ALL should be voted down, #'s 30,31,32,34 and 35 particularly warrant NO votes:

-30. VOTE NO. Introducing city charter amendment ballot measures for Mar 5, 2023 primary election.

\*This item is the epitome of hypocrisy as it was brought forward by councilmembers who have repeatedly stated that we should follow and not deviate from our City Charter. This is written as only ONE agenda item and did not involve the electorate of our city. There are 3 measures but actually include many negative impacts such as wasted \$\$\$, voter ID requirement and other conditions that make voting less accessible especially to those whose voices specifically NEED to be heard.

\*This gives the Mayor alone the power to cancel city council meetings.  
\*\$1,200,000 to be spent from City's general fund which is \$ taxpayer \$.  
It solidifies the removal of the Pride Flag flying on city property.g

\*Overall #30 must be a NO vote. If it is passed and these amendments are on the March ballot, it will be a HUGE waste of money. When folks understand what these measures truly mean they will be voted down.  
There will be a campaign to educate and protect HB and ALL people living here by and before March 5th.  
**THIS WILL NOT PASS ON MARCH 5TH!**

-31 VOTE NO -Approve the dissolution of the various and many boards, commissions, and committees of HB. This is a clear and dangerous move to silence the voice of the people. It reeks of authoritarianism.

-32 VOTE NO, NO NO! Consider adhoc's proposed policy on statement of human dignity. After reading this abhorrent and insulting so called statement of human dignity, I find myself agreeing with Councilmember Burns, however this is the version that should be cancelled. This draft excludes the LGBTQIA+ community with an emphasis on those who identify as transgender. The fear and suffering that this would cause those individuals including the youth in our city is immeasurable and this statement is shameful and reprehensible.

-34 NO on Censure of Councilmember Moser. I watched the exchange between Councilmember Moser and Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark multiple times. Why is this on the agenda? Councilmember Moser was in control and simply asked needed questions of Van Der Mark when Mayor Strickland announced that the ad-hoc committee to amend the human dignity statement would include her. Considering Councilmember Van Der Mark's social media history, removal from a school board, and photos with white supremacists, Councilmember Moser was performing her due diligence in representing all of the people of Huntington Beach in making her best effort at obtaining the truth. Councilmember VDM was the one who attacked Councilmember Moser, interrupting her and yelling at her. She was the one who breached decorum. It is obvious to anyone who watches the clip.

You owe Councilmember Moser an apology. And because of her highly emotional and hostile denial (which is in opposition to data) we the people of HB are still left wondering and wanting only to know the truth concerning the Councilmember who is in this leadership position.

#35 proposed by Councilmember Van Der Mark is ignorant, reckless, and dangerous to the health and safety of HB residents. VOTE NO!

Sincerely,  
Laura Sire  
(she/her)

PS I along with other residents will be watching Tuesday.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** vanessaweb@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 4:47 PM  
**To:** laura sire; supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Cc:** laura sire  
**Subject:** Re: Agenda items: 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34,35. (Agenda dated Sept 5, 2023)

Laura, that is a great letter!! Thanks for letting me read it. I know you'll be there in spirit tomorrow night. V

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

On Monday, September 4, 2023, 4:02 PM, laura sire <llaurajane@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor Strickland, Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark, and Councilmembers Bolton, Burns, Kalmick, and Moser,

My name is Laura Sire. I moved to Huntington Beach with my family when I was 14 years old. I am now 75 years old so I've been here a long time, and have witnessed many changes over the decades. I was a realtor in this city for about 10 years, and then made a career change to teaching and taught 1st grade for 16 years. The last decade or so I've enjoyed volunteering and being of service to HB.

Currently, I've been experiencing some health issues which have necessitated me stepping back from my activities. However, I am writing today out of the urgency of the crisis I see the governance of Huntington Beach facing.

I am appalled at what has occurred since the council majority Strickland, Van Der Mark, McKeon, and Burns were sworn in December 2022. Public comments are heard but not really heard.

The "Thank you for being here" mechanically repeated by Mayor Strickland rings hollow as the CC majority deconstructs all that is positive, inclusive, compassionate, and sound city government with their robotic, pre-determined and thoughtless 4-3 votes.

That said, still in hopes of being heard I strongly ask for a NO vote on Agenda items 15,16,22,29,30,31,32,34, and 35.

Although ALL should be voted down, #'s 30,31,32,34 and 35 particularly warrant NO votes:

-30. VOTE NO. Introducing city charter amendment ballot measures for Mar 5, 2023 primary election.

\*This item is the epitome of hypocrisy as it was brought forward by councilmembers who have repeatedly stated that we should follow and not deviate from our City Charter. This is written as only ONE agenda item and did not involve the electorate of our city. There are 3 measures but actually include many negative impacts such as wasted \$\$\$, voter ID requirement and other conditions that make voting less accessible especially to those whose voices specifically NEED to be heard.

\*This gives the Mayor alone the power to cancel city council meetings.  
\*\$1,200,000 to be spent from City's general fund which is \$ taxpayer \$.  
It solidifies the removal of the Pride Flag flying on city property.g

\*Overall #30 must be a NO vote. If it is passed and these amendments are on the March ballot, it will be a HUGE waste of money. When folks understand what these measures truly mean they will be voted down.  
There will be a campaign to educate and protect HB and ALL people living here by and before March 5th.  
THIS WILL NOT PASS ON MARCH 5TH!

-31 VOTE NO -Approve the dissolution of the various and many boards, commissions, and committees of HB. This is a clear and dangerous move to silence the voice of the people. It reeks of authoritarianism.

-32 VOTE NO, NO NO! Consider adhoc's proposed policy on statement of human dignity. After reading this abhorrent and insulting so called statement of human dignity, I find myself agreeing with Councilmember Burns, however this is the version that should be cancelled. This draft excludes the LGBTQIA+ community with an emphasis on those who identify as transgender. The fear and suffering that this would cause those individuals including the youth in our city is immeasurable and this statement is shameful and reprehensible.

-34 NO on Censure of Councilmember Moser. I watched the exchange between Councilmember Moser and Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark multiple times. Why is this on the agenda? Councilmember Moser was in control and simply asked needed questions of Van Der Mark when Mayor Strickland announced that the ad-hoc committee to amend the human dignity statement would include her. Considering Councilmember Van Der Mark's social media history, removal from a school board, and photos with white supremacists, Councilmember Moser was performing her due diligence in representing all of the people of Huntington Beach in making her best effort at obtaining the truth. Councilmember VDM was the one who attacked Councilmember Moser, interrupting her and yelling at her. She was the one who breached decorum. It is obvious to anyone who watches the clip.  
You owe Councilmember Moser an apology. And because of her highly emotional and hostile denial (which is in opposition to data) we the people of HB are still left wondering and wanting only to know the truth concerning the Councilmember who is in this leadership position.

#35 proposed by Councilmember Van Der Mark is ignorant, reckless, and dangerous to the health and safety of HB residents. VOTE NO!

Sincerely,  
Laura Sire  
(she/her)

PS I along with other residents will be watching Tuesday.

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Aaron Brooks <aaron.lee.brooks@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 5:31 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Human Dignity - Practice acceptance

Hello, I am concerned that the city council is spending time, money and effort on negative views of our citizens. Please practice patience and acceptance of views other than those of actual board members. Live and let live, human dignity should only allow for people to live a free and happy life. Do not use human dignity as an excuse to limit the lives of others. We trust our representatives to speak for the citizens. Human dignity action should be to lift others up, not bring some down.

- Aaron Brooks

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Annilise Flanagan-Frankl <anniliseff@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 5:58 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Comments

As a resident of Huntington Beach I was to express my opinion in a public format since I cannot attend the meeting on September 5, 2023.

1. The Huntington Beach City Council **SHOULD NOT CENSOR** Councilwoman Natalie Moser for questioning Mayor Pro Tem Gracey Van Der Mark's ability to appropriately participate in a committee to amend the city's Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity. Gracey Van Der Mark has a long history of defending history on the atrocities of the Holocaust and her friendships with the terrorist organization - the Proud Boys.
2. **I OPPOSE THE UNNECESSARY ELECTION IN MARCH 2024, TO ADD AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY'S CHARTER. THIS CITY COUNCIL IS SPENDING TAXPAYERS' HARD EARNED MONE.**
3. **I OPPOSE THE CONTINUED SPENDING OF MY MONEY TO THE KENNEDY COMMISSION. AGAINM, THIS CITY COUNCIL IS SPENDING TAXPAYERS' MONEY ON ISSUES MAKING HUNTINGTON BEACH SEEM TO BE AN UNWELCOMING COMMUNITY TO ANYONE WHO DOESN'T "FIT" THE MAJORITY OF THOSE ON THE COUNCILS' DESCRIPTION OF "APPROPRIATE FOR THE COMMUNITY".**
4. **I oppose any action which would allow the City Attorney to expand his staff and to find a mechanism for his wife to become a city employee!! Nepotism is not tolerated!!!**
5. **I OPPOSE THE SPENDING OF MY TAX MONEY TO FUND THE AIRSHOW! THERE ARE BOTH ENVIRONMENTAL AND PRACTICAL REASONS TO NOT HAVE THE AIRSHOW. I AM APPALLED AT THE SPENDING OF TAXPAYER MONEY FOR THE MAJORITY ON THE CITY COUNCIL TO INGRATIATE THEMSELVES WITH FUTURE POTENTIAL DONORS TO THEIR POLITICAL CAREERS.**
6. **I OPPOSE THE DISBANDING OF COMMITTEES WITH CURRENT VOLUNTEERS PROVIDING FREE PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCES TO THE CITY. DISBANDING THESE COMMITTEES MEANS IN THE FUTURE, THE CITY WILL HAVE TO PAY PROFESSIONALS IN AREAS IMPACTING THE COMMUNITY.**

Lastly, I am frustrated by the majority of City Council Members. I strongly suggest reading the book "White Privilege"!

--

*Annilise M. Flanagan-Frankl, M.A.*

*Counseling Psychologist*

*Nationally Certified School Psychologist*

*Remember - the past is over, the future is a mystery, and today is a gift. That is why it is called the PRESENT.*

*Make today count.*

*Call: 847-226-3119*

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Stacey Murray <staceymurray@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 6:08 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Comment re agenda item 32 of the City Council meeting scheduled for September 5, 2023

Dear Council Members,

I wish to comment on agenda item 32 of the September 5, 2023, City Council/Public Financing Authority meeting.

Quoting from the published "[Policy on Human Dignity](#)" referred to in agenda item 32:

**"The city of Huntington Beach will recognize from birth the genetic differences between male and female and respect the strengths and benefits of each."**

Will the city also recognize the genetic differences of intersex people? What about both the known and presumed genetic differences among gay, lesbian, transgender, and nonbinary people?

The genes and variants responsible for intersexuality exist and can be viewed through an electron microscope. Does the city recognize that?

Does the city also recognize that a newborn might have a different combination of chromosomes that only express themselves in puberty, and that a child may not even know that they are intersex until they reach puberty? Or that a person with XY chromosomes (ie. a "male") who has extra copies of the *WNT4* gene can develop atypical genitals, including a rudimentary uterus and fallopian tubes?[1] Will the city recognize that?

And will the city recognize that we cannot read each other's DNA? (And why would we care to?)

Yes, assigning sex based on the appearance of external sex organs at birth is generally predictive of a person's sexual identity at puberty and in adulthood. But "generally" is the key word: Humans do not have a "general" identity. And the United States is not a "general" country. We are a country of *individuals* with *individual* rights.

**"Each sex carries advantages and disadvantages that warrant separation during certain activities (ie. sports)."**

If it's just sports that warrant separation of males and females, will the city specify which sports?

And since this policy applies to both children and adults, does that mean the city believes that adults of different birth sexes should not play certain sports together or compete against each other? Or does the city only mean for this part of the policy to apply to children, and only when they are competing in sports at public schools or in city-sponsored events? Please be specific about what this means.

**"We encourage an environment free of hostility and discourage the erasure of either genders separate accomplishments."**

Sections of this "human dignity" policy statement are *themselves* hostile to a significant portion of humanity, and that includes humans who live in Huntington Beach (not to mention all of our visitors). I would recommend not introducing this hostility if you wish to encourage an environment free of it.

I also assume many business owners in the city would prefer not to further alienate a significant portion of the population. (I say "further" alienate since the pride flag ban already partially accomplished that.)

Finally, the polite language used in this amended "Policy on Human Dignity" fails to disguise its divisive intent. There is no legitimate reason to exclude, marginalize, or otherwise harm people by adopting this policy. Humans *do* deserve to be treated with dignity. And humans are not all the same. It is not difficult to accept or accommodate that. Why is the city trying to *make* it difficult?

If the Council intends to vote on formal dissolution of the Ad Hoc Committee, please vote to dissolve the committee first, without considering the Committee's flawed and harmful amended Policy on Human Dignity.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Stacey Murray

## **Reference**

1. Jordan BK, Mohammed M, Ching ST, et al. Up-regulation of WNT-4 signaling and dosage-sensitive sex reversal in humans. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2001;68(5):1102-1109.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 6:36 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Concerns over agenda items on the 9/5/2023

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** kate healy <kate@jrdb.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 5:07:59 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Concerns over agenda items on the 9/5/2023

I am writing to convey my concerns and disagreement with several agenda items on Tuesday night's city council meetings.

I am opposed to Council Member Natalie Moser being censured. Council Member Moser, as an elected official, has a responsibility to ask questions that the residents of Huntington Beach have concerning behaviors and associations of Council Member Van Der Mark, at no time was she unprofessional.

I do not agree with, nor do I condone the suggested city's Declaration of Policy of **Human Dignity**- the current one was about inclusion, the proposed one is transphobic, homophobic and in violation of the recent Supreme Court ruling, as Justice Gorsuch detailed in his majority opinion on the Title VII ruling, as well as excludes those who are non-binary, and intersex.

I am against the requiring of voter identification, it is against California voter laws, and disproportionately effects minorities as explained by the non-partisan group, The League of Women's Voter's <https://www.lwv.org/blog/whats-so-bad-about-voter-id-laws>

I am against Amendment No. 2. This issue has been covered at nauseum and is opposed by the majority of HB residents as seen at every Council meeting where this was brought up. As a City we have lost contacts and business due to this stance. As it has been pointed out, the Council already had the power to not fly any flags except the ones they vote on- this will limit flying our sister city's flag as well as the Olympic Flag. It is a thinly veiled message of "unwelcome" to the LGBTQ Community.

I am opposed to Amendment No. 3 where Council Members can cancel a regular City Council meeting. These are scheduled in advance, residents plan for them, Council Members are elected to do a job and meetings are part of that.

I also oppose the "No mask and no vaccine mandate" as a city- it is an over reach of the City to legislate, especially when science supports wearing masks to lower respiratory contamination - Council Member Van Der Mark's argument does not consider those who were at higher risk and needed those around them to protect them (much like those undergoing Cancer treatments will often need those around them to mask) nor does it take into consideration the future.

I believe these items will create even more legal problems as well as PR problems for our city... AND mostly, are not kind, and do not represent the majority of the residents of Huntington Beach.

As I have witnessed time and time again, the current majority of the City Council is not listening to the majority of the residents in HB and will vote how their extreme supporters have pressured them to vote, seemingly to forget that the City Council is a non-partisan role and policies should reflect the majority of their constituents.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:09 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: HB Council Meeting/9-5-2023

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** gelliott1@socal.rr.com <gelliott1@socal.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 5:18:41 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** HB Council Meeting/9-5-2023

Re Agenda Items #30, #32, & #35

I oppose placing any of the proposed Charter Amendments on the upcoming ballot especially the Voter ID proposal which is a thinly-veiled and reprehensible attempt at voter suppression. All three proposed ballot items in the context of taxpayer expense and constitutionality appear to be unwarranted, unjustifiable, and untimely. I also question whether Amendment 2 is legal in that it shows three separate and distinct issues for consideration - flags, budget cycle, and elected terms of office. I thought Councilperson Burn's proposal to limit speech by codifying flag-flying restrictions had already been expanded to make an "Olympic" exception and I believe the other items have lost in previous elections.

The proposed city-wide ban on mask and vaccine mandates is yet another incoherent and unenforceable offering by the Mayor Pro Tem. Wouldn't this ban be in direct conflict with policies administered by local institutions of public education, public health, and public safety? How contradictory that the Mayor Pro Tem seems poised to approve other agenda items that effectively inoculate the City Attorney against any challenges to his decisions or authority. The proposal to censure Councilperson Moser is obviously highly partisan, vindictive, and hypocritical. The rewritten Policy on Human Dignity is an affront to human compassion and a slap in the face to the original authors and their intent. I view all of these proposals as insults to civility and beneath the dignity of any Councilperson who took an oath of office. I suspect that at least some of these proposals are designed to distract from the exorbitant amounts of litigation fees incurred by the City Council and its City Attorney. This all smacks of governing by lawsuit, obfuscation, and partisan fiat.

And, how ironic that the meeting will kick off by highlighting a recognition ceremony by the Friends of the Library in a chamber whose Council majority is anything but friendly to the City Library professionals and volunteers (and actively seeks to undermine their authority and judgment). I've lived in Huntington Beach since 1980 and have seen many rancorous meetings. However, I've never before seen so many citizens so willing to stand at the Council podium so often and say, "You should be ashamed of yourselves." I echo those sentiments and will be voting against all proposed Charter Amendments should they reach ballot status as well as the Council members who sponsored and supported them.

Cheryl Elliott (43-year resident of Huntington Beach)

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:10 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Unprecedented Majority Overreach

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Dee Turner <hbdturner@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 6:01:02 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Cc:** Estanislau, Robin <Robin.Estanislau@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Unprecedented Majority Overreach

First, I must urgently protest the attempt to censure Councilwoman Moser for asking whether Ms. Van Der Mark accepts the Holocaust as fact. Asking a simple question is everybody's 1st A. right, but it is compellingly correct when the council's majority is trying to amend our city's **Human Rights Statement**. I notice the new language clearly implies that some citizens are somehow less worthy than others. What the rest of us understand is, when somebody's rights are denied or questioned, soon NOBODY has any rights.

Indeed, given the majority's aspiration to limit voting access in Huntington Beach, that seems to be the clear intent. Orange County's voting process is impeccable and has an esteemed record. That anyone would seek to limit voting suggests this majority is attempting, now that they're cozily in the barn, that they want to lock the barn gates so nobody else can get in—not the best representation of self-confidence, is it? You've made no investigation, not even a haphazard guess, of the potential costs involved, nor have you the slightest circumspection about its probable illegalities.

But corruption is also blatantly clear, here. First: the Pacific Air Show was canceled because higher authorities than this city council closed beach access due to 25,000+ gallons of leaked oil sludge. On the beach. Where Air Show viewers must sit. So the Air Show's lawsuit would never have reached a jury at all, being absolutely unsustainable on the merits. Yet our city attorney, Mr. Gates, decided to give \$7 millions in settlement to the same company that made the majority's campaign posters. And he refuses to allow us to see why our taxes were so paid, claiming needed secrecy. Nothing should be secret which requires such a gross expenditure of taxpayer funds, unless it would reveal underlying shenanigans—and then it MUST be exposed. Is it that the Pacific Air Show knows something about this council majority the rest of us have yet to discover? Or should we expect your next campaign posters to be covered in gold leaf? Mr. Gates should at least be sanctioned by the State Bar—if not criminally indicted—especially for settling plaintiffs' unwinnable case when such obvious conflicts of interest exist.

Then, too, there's the matter of his attempting to downgrade the requirements for City Clerk in order to shoehorn his wife into the position. This is nepotism and unspeakable grandiosity; perhaps Gates requires an evaluation for mental competence. Of course, if the majority could only have a City Clerk who is equally incompetent, perhaps they could then be more successful at railroading through their impractical, incredibly costly, and probably unlawful agenda.

Which brings us to the \$4 millions already spent, along with the next \$1-1/2 million currently proposed, in your feckless and frivolous lawsuit against the state's housing mandate. If you are uninformed on this issue, be advised that 17 jurisdictions before you have already lost in court. But then, you have the illitimable Mr. Gates representing you, a man so apparently fearful of losing a case which could not legally prevail that he donated \$7 millions to it. What, first you

have no confidence in your confidence man—and then you have far too much??

Of course, you would not recognize any right in Huntington Beach employees to also live in the city in which they're employed—that would mean listening to the board actually representing the 3,000+ folk who live in 18 mobile home parks here, but they don't count, either, do they? Or you wouldn't think of shutting them down so you could simply ignore the people you think are less worthy citizens. And here we have Mayor Strickland, who so boldly and barefacedly lives in subsidized housing, himself! Shame on you.

I detest the two-facedness, the cronyism, the utter lack of financial competence, the culture wars you support, and the corruption you've brought—instead of simply doing the job for which you were elected!

Most who voted for you probably still thought being Republican meant that you would be committed to conservation and keep our wetlands safe and pristine. They likely thought it meant you would repair our many, many pockmarked streets. They may have trusted that you'd employ experts who know that pollarding trees is a very poor arboricultural practice, and that lace pruning instead helps prevent infrastructure upheaval by root systems that grow exponentially when treetops are hacked off. They probably thought you would never think to alter our beautiful Human Rights Statement so that skinheads and their deadly menace might return.

But face it, you're just skinheads who dress better. I watched the 7+ hours of your recent council meeting. After, I was absolutely disgusted that you turned around at the start to pay lip service to a diverse nation you seek to infest with—shall we call it what it truly is?—FASCISM. Yes, you four, your patterns are distinctly fascist. Read up, educate yourselves!

My sincere thanks to the many decent people who addressed these issues at the last meeting, especially to Robin Estanislau, who serves so well in her capacity as City Clerk—a job she is well educated to do, with ample years of exact experience, to boot—whose position I hope will not be at risk to this cockamamie attempt to lower the standards for someone who's "run a few businesses," as though that meant anything. (Why more than one? Did she run them into disaster? What has running a business to do with the complex matter of being City Clerk? How lame. If that's how Mr. Gates presents an argument, dear gods let's recall him, FAST!)

I dearly wish this to be read at the next council meeting. But I'm so not holding my breath.

I've lived in HB for 33 years. You don't deserve my name.

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:11 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Policy on Human dignity

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** kenmel95 <kenmel95@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 6:43:07 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Policy on Human dignity

Dear Council members,

I just want to express my support for the proposed Policy on Human Dignity.

This policy, represents all citizens equally without putting them in separate identity boxes. This divisive identity politics movement has no place in our city. Good job!

I know the haters will be there to protest. They may scream loudly, but I do not believe they represent the majority. I am unfortunately out of town, otherwise I would go personally to show my support.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Seidner

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:21 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Agenda item 32

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Jessica <jessframson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:11:56 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Agenda item 32

I am writing to express my horror upon reading the proposed "Statement on Human Dignity" crafted by Councilmember Burns et al. In no way is this about human dignity. It bastardizes the original statement and its intent and is not representative of the citizens of this community. I live and work in this City and would be embarrassed to have this statement come from our city. It's inappropriate, uncalled for, and full of hate instead of dignity. I urge you to not amend the existing statement and especially not with this version.

Jessica Framson

**Moore, Tania**

---

**From:** Melanie Tioleco-Cheng <melanietcm@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:48 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Sept 5 Agenda

To the HB Mayor and City Council,

There are many things I could write about regarding the agenda for Sept 5, but I want to start with saying I disagree with the censure of Council Member Moser. She had a valid questions regarding the background and ideology of council members who are supposed to head the committee on Human Dignity and Respect.

In regard to that motion, if it was TRULY meant to be about human dignity and respect, then it would include language that was more inclusive rather than divisive. As it reads now, the Policy on Human Dignity is a dig at nonbinary and gender fluid individuals. Is this really what our city council wants to be known for? For limiting access to books via book bans (a rose by any other name is still a book ban/censorship)? For continuing to put Huntington Beach on the map with decreasing affordable housing options? And for giving in to a minority of people who would endanger others by saying that they are not accepted in our city?

In addition, we cannot predict the future, so stating that mask mandates cannot be implemented is premature and unnecessary.

**Please focus on the city of Huntington Beach--we have many issues we need to contend with and we do not need to be making unnecessary motions that may contradict with county and state motions, which may cause further lawsuits when we are already in debt due to the unnecessary payout to the Pacific Air Show.**

Sincerely,  
Melanie Tioleco-Cheng

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Seth Matson <seth@matsongraphics.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:53 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Concerns and Dissent Regarding Recent City Council Actions

Once more, the City Council continues to enact policies that do not align with the views of the majority of the residents of Huntington Beach.

Regarding the censure of Councilwoman Natalie Moser, it is widely known that Ms. Vandermark has affiliations with hate groups like the Proud Boys, and there is clear photographic and video evidence of her participating in their rallies. Ms. Moser had every right to express her concerns about how Vandermark's associations might influence her opinions. Ms. Moser was exercising her First Amendment rights, and we should not censure her.

The promotion of a trans and homophobic agenda through flags and the "right to dignity" is divisive and offensive. The use of coded language is apparent, and your intentions are clear.

The act of banning books parallels historical events like those during the time of Hitler and the Nazis.

Regarding the elimination of mask mandates, it is crucial to prioritize public health. Huntington Beach is a city in California, and those who disagree with this approach should consider relocating. Vandermark's views on Covid-19, based on conspiracy theories and false information, have led her to advocate for the removal of mandates.

The implementation of voter ID checks and ballot box monitoring is unnecessary and a misuse of taxpayer funds.

Lastly, Mayor Tony Strickland's history of paying \$40,000.00 in fines for ethical violations in campaigning raises concerns about his suitability for his position. This City Council appears to lack genuine values and a commitment to the well-being of the city's residents.

As a homeowner for 21 years and a business owner in this city, and living here since the 70's, I strongly oppose the proposals outlined in this agenda. Spending over a million dollars on new policies and adding them to the voting agenda is a wasteful use of time and money. We must also address the issue of the missing seven million dollars and focus on addressing our homeless problem. Empty promises from our leaders do not benefit the residents of this city.

Sincerely, Seth Matson

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Janet Michels <janetbmichels@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:58 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Comments on Agenda items for September 5, 2023

Dear City Council,

I have concerns with both the content of this meeting's agenda and the lack of process this Council is using to put forth substantive changes to our City Charter and the structure the city has used to effectively run its business and represent a broad range of its citizens.

Charter Amendments - The Charter review and amendment process has followed a longstanding effort to use an experienced facilitator and a representative group of citizens to do a thorough review of the charter and recommend changes. This process is done on a regular basis and was just completed a little over a year ago. To put together an unskilled ad hoc committee to recommend a large number of changes intended to consolidate power and enable inexperienced candidates to run for office as they lack current qualifications is terrifying in its absolute lack of respecting the Charter's intent and representing the people of this city.,

Specifically,

Charter Amendment 1: I object to adding language that would essentially prevent the City Council, who runs the city, from making decisions regarding legal actions the city is facing, including to use outside legal counsel. Further I object to allowing the City Attorney to control his own budget without regard to the full budgetary demands of the city, and to give the City Attorney, not the Council final authority on budget increase or decreases. While the City Attorney can recommend budgetary requests, the final authority should rest with the City Council.

Further, the extensive language around voting controls simply is unnecessary and leaves citizens with an impression that oversight and monitoring is required, **WHEN THERE HAS BEEN NO EVIDENCE OF FRAUD OR WRONGDOING. Inferring this in the actual language is misleading and fear mongering.**

Finally, after years of City Clerk qualifications remaining unchanged, the timing of the recommendation to reduce the specific educational requirements seems quite convenient to coincide with a publicly announced candidate who lacks the current qualifications to run for this office. If candidates are so keen on this position, obtain the necessary qualifications. Don't change the qualifications to fit the candidate.

Charter Amendment 2: Not sure why the election cycle needs to be adjusted. The flag issue has already been ignored by the Council with respect to the wishes of the citizens.

Charter amendment 3: Beyond the language error (taxes not overtly stated), as a member of Finance Commission , I did not approve of this language as necessary to unduly hamper the ability of any City Council to make financial decisions to forego revenue of any kind (parking, property tax etc) in the scope of their effort to plan for a financially sound future.

Statement of Human Dignity- Beyond the fact that the statement is poorly crafted structurally and grammatically, it is offensive in its language and complete disregard of the instances that caused it to be drafted in the first place. Focusing on a narrow interpretation of gender, alluding to grooming and other key topics raised by Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark in the past months shows just whose statement this is. It is not reflective of what the city should do in its efforts to support the disenfranchised in our community.

And the topic of censure? I would suggest you all review videos of your prior meetings and listen to a number of statements/monologues made by Councilmember McKeon specifically before raising this. In my observation, Councilmember Moser has shown remarkable restraint and professionalism in the face of horrific language and attacks by the supporters of the majority, with nary a word about civility. What a double standard.

This agenda and its content is an embarrassment.

Sincerely,  
Janet Michels

--

Janet Michels  
714.448.3095

## Moore, Tania

---

**From:** Juana Mueller <juanamueller33@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 8:22 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Council Agenda, Sept. 5, 2023

Dear City Council of Huntington Beach,

Respectfully, I consider a number of items on this Sept. 5, 2023 Council Agenda dangerous to our City and I believe they lead toward a dismantling of a democratic way of governance.

Therefore I ask for a NO vote o the following items:

- #30 (no charter amendments, no March 5, 2024 ballot)
- #31 (no dissolving boards and committees)
- #32 (no change to policy on human dignity)
- #34 (no censure of Councilmember Moser)
- #35 ((no resolution to be "no mask no vaccine" city)

Respectfully submitted,  
Sincerely,  
Juana Mueller.

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Jessamyn Garner <jessamyngarner@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:33 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Please oppose agenda items 15, 16, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35

Dear Huntington Beach City Council,

I wish I could attend the meeting tonight, but unfortunately I was exposed to Covid over the weekend. I want to keep my neighbors safe so I plan to stay home.

As a resident of Huntington Beach, I am appalled by many items on tonight's agenda. The policies and decisions that are being considered are hurtful to minorities in this community and they do not represent my values of inclusion and integrity.

I urge you to oppose agenda items 15, 16, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, and 35.

Specifically, as a member of the LGBTQ+ community, I reject the statement of Human Dignity that has been warped to include transphobic rhetoric that will directly impact my ability to live in this community.

I know based on previous behavior and voting records from the council that this email and the hundreds of other comments you will receive in the next 18 hours will not likely change your minds. Just know that your constituents are paying attention to your actions and we will vote accordingly. Making it harder for us to vote and passing threatening policies will not deter us from showing up to the polls. On the contrary, it will galvanize us and we will show up in even greater numbers.

We won't allow this city to continue to be led by hateful rhetoric and exclusionary policies.

--

Jessamyn Garner  
(they/them)

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Sumi Yata <sumiyata@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:31 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** 9/5 Agenda items

Dear City Council,

Sadly, I am writing to you again to express my outrage over proposed agenda items.

Regarding the charter amendments:

First, the charter amendments are the height of hypocrisy to be supported by the majority, who made it a huge part of their campaign to never change the charter. Secondly, the proposed changes are solutions in search of a problem. We do not have any voter fraud problems in Orange County, or in California in general. The OC Registrar runs an impeccable election system and not once has Huntington Beach had a problem with any of it. Asking now for voter IDs and ballot box watching is solely to cater to right wing conspiracists!

Additionally, these amendments themselves are going to cost the city over a million dollars just to have an election over! How can we be spending this money after our June meeting in which the majority said we didn't have enough money to run the city and threatened to close the libraries to make ends meet? Where is this million dollars going to come from? Where will the untold millions come from after the city is inevitably sued for these items that run against OC and California voter laws? How does the city plan to budget for implementing these items?? Should we expect more library and park closures to pay for these conspiracies?

Lastly, changing the clerk qualifications smacks of cronyism! Why would we want an important office like City Clerk to be less qualified? It makes no sense other than to put someone in office that this majority will directly benefit from.

**On the Human Dignity statement**, I encourage the council to rewrite this horrendous statement. Why are including statements about gender and keeping them separate? In addition to being scientifically inaccurate (since you used the word genetic in order to give it cover as having scientific value) it is harmful to more people than just trans individuals (who are less than 1% of the population) to seek to pigeon hole people into just one type of gender expression. The city should focus on city business and leave trans people alone.

On becoming a "No Mask and No Vaccine Mandate" city: Hopefully, we will never have a situation like we had with the early stages of the pandemic, which is still around, in case you haven't noticed!! But, if it should happen, why would we have this kind of mandate when masks and vaccines have been proven to be effective!!

Which brings me to my last point, on the censure of Councilwoman Moser: should the council censure Councilwoman Moser for asking a valid question? Councilwoman VanDerMark's own Youtube channel asked that question. How is Moser now to be punished for asking the same of VanDerMark? Why is Council Member Burns not being censured for inferring that librarians and library staff pedofiles and groomers at the June 20th meeting?

How are we so far removed from human decency that we have to question strongly held beliefs of our council members? It is because the majority on this council continue to push items that are not only unnecessary and costly, but that lack compassion and understanding of our fellow

residents. Tonight's shameful agenda highlights just how far the majority of this council have strayed from their own promises, and from the will of the residents of Huntington Beach.

I am saddened and outraged when I read the many articles about Huntington Beach in the newspaper. What kind of city have we become? Sadly, I know the emails that you read about these items are going to fall on deaf ears. You DO NOT represent our city well!

Jane Yata

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Erin Spivey <erinisalibrarian@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:04 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Tonight's agenda items

Dear City Council,

I am once again writing to city council to express my dismay and outrage over proposed agenda items.

On the charter amendments:

First, the charter amendments are the height of hypocrisy to be supported by the majority, who made it a huge part of their campaign to never change the charter. Secondly, the proposed changes are solutions in search of a problem. We do not have any voter fraud problems in Orange County, or in California in general. The OC Registrar runs an impeccable election system and not once has Huntington Beach had a problem with any of it. Asking now for voter IDs and ballot box watching is solely to cater to right wing conspiracists!

Additionally, these amendments themselves are going to cost the city over a million dollars just to have an election over! How can we be spending this money after our June meeting in which the majority said we didn't have enough money to run the city and threatened to close the libraries to make ends meet? Where is this million dollars going to come from? Where will the untold millions come from after the city is inevitably sued for these items that run against OC and California voter laws? How does the city plan to budget for implementing these items?? Should we expect more library and park closures to pay for these conspiracies?

Lastly, changing the clerk qualifications smacks of cronyism! Why would we want an important office like City Clerk to be less qualified? It makes no sense other than to put someone in office that this majority will directly benefit from.

On the **Human Dignity statement**, I encourage the council to rewrite this horrendous statement. Why are including statements about gender and keeping them separate? In addition to being scientifically inaccurate (since you used the word genetic in order to give it cover as having scientific value) it is harmful to more people than just trans individuals (who are less than 1% of the population) to seek to pigeon hole people into just one type of gender expression. The city should focus on city business and leave trans people alone.

On becoming a "No Mask and No Vaccine Mandate" city, I hope and pray we never experience another pandemic in our lifetimes. But should that occur, why do we need to set ourselves up again as a place opposed to science and compassion?

Which brings me to my last point, on the censure of Councilwoman Moser: should the council censure Councilwoman Moser for asking a valid question? Councilwoman VanDerMark's own Youtube channel asked that question. How is Moser now to be punished for asking the same of VanDerMark? Why is Council Member Burns not being censured for inferring that librarians and library staff pedofiles and groomers at the June 20th meeting?

How are we so far removed from human decency that we have to question strongly held beliefs of our council members? It is because the majority on this council continue to push items that are not only unnecessary and costly, but that lack compassion and understanding of our fellow residents. Tonight's shameful agenda highlights just how far the majority of this council have strayed from their own promises, and from the will of the residents of Huntington Beach.

Erin Spivey  
 30 year resident

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** BETHANY WEBB <bethwebb711@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:02 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Sept 5 city council agenda

---

**Subject:** Sept 5 city council agenda

I find it hard to put into words how completely out of line with the majority of Huntington Beach and Decency in general the newly elected members of the city council are.

The new "Policy on Human Dignity" is anything but!

Line three in particular is nothing more than bigotry directed at the Trans community! My 25 year old born and raised in HB, never in trouble, has more rights to recognition in Huntington Beach, than the Racist, Antisemite, Uneducated Vander Mark, or the Fraudster, Run Out Of Ventura County Carpetbagger, who lives in affordable housing but fights to make sure no one else can, Strickland, for that matter the entire Fascist Four have shown themselves disloyal to Huntington Beach, with their crony give away of Huntington beach tax payer money to Kevin Eliot/ Code Four!

There is much more on the agenda I find objectionable, including censoring Natalie Moser, who has done nothing but represented our city with dignity and class!

Shame on you!

Bethany Webb  
Cell. 714-726-2160  
[Bethwebb711@msn.com](mailto:Bethwebb711@msn.com)

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Ann Palmer <714anniep@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:04 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Agenda item support 19, 31, 32, 34, 35

App was frozen this morning.  
- Ann Palmer

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** LISE MILLER <genereaux@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:56 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Oppose 15B, 30, 31, 32, 34, and 35

Dear Council,

Please consider the following recommendations:

### Oppose Item #15B

There is no explanation why the Council would hire the lowest rated advocacy firm when judged by our own Intergovernmental Relations Committee. A rubric was developed for evaluating the proposals that were submitted in response to the RFP and then the IRC recommended hiring the highest ranked firm, but the Council is poised to hire the lowest ranked firm instead. Without explanation, this seems to be a waste of our tax dollars.

### Oppose Item #30

I strongly oppose moving any of the proposed charter amendments to the primary ballot. They were not drafted in accordance with our own rules or charter requirements. There was no citizen commission, only a 3 person ad hoc committee that developed these behind closed doors. None of them are needed for good governance of our city.

### Oppose Item #31

I strongly oppose dissolving the city's commissions and committees. They are made up of citizens which allow the Council to have the most direct input from our citizens on varying topics of concern in our city.

### Oppose Item #32

I strongly oppose the adoption of the ad hoc committee's "rewrite" of the Policy on Human Dignity. The 2021 version was thorough, complete and inclusive. Not only is this new version incomplete, it is actually exclusive and transphobic. I would be embarrassed for people to know that I live in a city that has published such a horrible document.

### Oppose Item #34

I strongly oppose censuring Councilmember Moser for asking a relevant question. When Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark was selected to be part of the ad hoc committee to reevaluate a policy that addresses the Holocaust and hate crimes, it is certainly relevant to know if any of the 3 members charged with that task holds any biases. Van Der Mark has never publicly answered the questions that have been swirling around the community for years. I am more concerned that Van Der Mark responded to the reasonable query by shouting and calling Moser a liar. That seems a much more egregious offense.

### Oppose Item #35

There have been no mask or vaccine mandates in place for months, if not years. This agenda item seems to be placed just to get people riled up (as if there isn't enough on this agenda). I oppose this waste of time.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPad

#32

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Dianne Miley <dmiley27@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:59 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; +City.Council@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Today's City Council meeting agenda item 23-734

Having read the Ad Hoc Committee's proposed Policy on Human Dignity, I vehemently oppose its approval/adoption.

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Melissa Amarillas <amarillasmelissaj@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:53 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Comments on Agenda 9/5/23

As a California resident with family in Huntington Beach, I find the current behavior of the city council appalling. I strongly oppose banning the pride flag in the city charter, changing the **human dignity statement**, and censuring Councilmember Moser. The conservative members of the city council (Strickland, McKeon, Burns, Van der Mark) are spreading and encouraging hateful rhetoric and creating a climate of anger, fear, and violence in the community. After the senseless act of violence in Lake Arrowhead, I find it shocking you would so blatantly stand on the side of discrimination and bigotry. Orange County residents are targeting your community members for hate and violence. It will, no, HAS come to your city. You have the opportunity to stand on the right side of history and denounce the messages that are being sent to your queer community members. You are discarding that opportunity by including heavily connotative phrases in your human dignity statement, essentially condoning violence against transgender individuals through the inclusion of the phrase "sexual grooming," which far-right radicals wrongfully accuse transgender individuals of doing. Paragraph 3 of the policy on human dignity is irrelevant and bigoted, flouting scientific knowledge. You know what you're doing, and so do we. The world is watching you. Let your council members speak the truth without censure. WE WILL NOT BE SILENCED.

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Hb Mill <hbmillie@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:50 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Sept 5th Agenda

Dear Council Members,

I write to the City Council to express my opposition to three agenda items on the September 5, 2023 agenda.

Item 30: 23-700: I oppose the submission of three Charter amendments to the March 5, 2024 Statewide Primary Election. The current Charter serves the City well and the cost of \$1,200,000 could be better used for other city services.

Item 32: 23-734: I oppose the Ad Hoc Committee's amended Policy on Human Dignity. The policy adopted on November 16, 2021 serves all residents of Huntington Beach. The proposed policy discriminates against certain classes of residents.

Item 33: 23-732: I oppose the censure of Councilperson Natalie Moser. Councilperson Moser's behavior was appropriate and acceptable for the discussion on August 1, 2023. Should this censure occur, all Council members should be afraid to have challenging, but needed, conversations at future Council meetings.

I have been a resident of Huntington Beach for 28 years and have sent 3 children through our public schools. I have voted in every election for the last 36 years and I look forward to voting for the next City Council members. Your vote on these issues will be remembered.

Millie Starks

#32

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Joanna Weiss <joannajweiss@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 9:00 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** City Council Proposals

Dear HB City Councilmembers,

I am writing to express my opposition to the re-writing of the City's Statement of Human Dignity, the elimination of several citizen boards and commissions, the censure of Councilwoman Natalie Moser, ballot measures to ban flying the Pride Flag, and requiring voters to use IDs for city elections (among other voting rights revisions). These proposals are the latest attempts of a concerning trend from the Huntington Beach City Council.. As a champion of democracy and a fierce LGBTQ+ ally, I oppose these measures which would limit democratic participation in our local elections and only further inflict harm on our LGBTQ+ community.

Government has the power and responsibility to promote democracy and to create welcoming and safe environments for all of our citizens, especially those in marginalized communities. Instead, what we have seen from the Huntington Beach City Council is a relentless attack on our democratic norms and the trans and LGBTQ+ community.

I urge the board to reject the proposals to put these measures on the March 5th primary ballot, which would also save the city upwards of \$1.5 million.

Sincerely,

Joanna Weiss

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Lisa Angela Gibilie <qidancer@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:49 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fw: Tuesday Meeting- Human Dignity Statement

**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 at 04:33:49 PM PDT  
**Subject:** Tuesday Meeting- Human Dignity Statement

I believe there is an existing Human Dignity statement, perhaps that should have been used as a guideline instead of inventing the wheel.

A Human Dignity statement is one of inclusion and equality without discrimination of all persons regardless of sex, gender identification, creed, religion, race, etc.

Some suggestions if you elect to use the new statement:

paragraph 1 - A general statement of equality and inclusion, don't need the last sentence on crime.

paragraph 2- Again, don't need the last sentence, add without fear of discrimination.

paragraph 3 - In human dignity statements gender is neither defined nrt an issue. Think our Bill of Rights and Constitution -- all people are equal regardless of gender.

Paragraph 4 - There is need to mention specific crimes unless you mention all crimes. Again, this should be a broad and inclusive statement. Instead say that all members and visitors to our community should feel safe and protected and their rights safeguarded and respected.

Paragraph 5 - It is really unnecessary to mention this, it is already stated in the first sentence, If you want to include i,t you may say that members of all faiths are free to practice without discrimination.

*When I started counting my blessings, my whole life turned around.*  
Willie Nelson

*When the power of love overcomes the love of power the world will know peace.*  
Jimi Hendrix

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:45 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** FW: Comments Re: 9/5 Meeting

---

**From:** elainemeigs@socal.rr.com <elainemeigs@socal.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:07 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** FW: Comments Re: 9/5 Meeting

Please reword the fourth bullet as follows: Censuring a council member for questioning the suitability of a colleague to a very important role \*destroys\* appropriate and responsible oversight.

---

**From:** elainemeigs@socal.rr.com <elainemeigs@socal.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 7:38 AM  
**To:** 'city.council@surfcity-hb.org' <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Comments Re: 9/5 Meeting

I'll make it brief:

- Dissolution of commissions that make Huntington Beach a more civil place to live makes residents wonder who you're serving. Please reconsider.
- Discouraging the use of masks and vaccines demonstrates a lack of lessons learned.
- There is no demonstrated fact-based need to restrict voting in Huntington Beach.
- Censuring a council member for questioning the suitability of a colleague to a very important role is called appropriate and responsible oversight.
- Any statement on human dignity should reflect the entire community, and should not reflect the particular religious or ideological views of individual council members.

I'd venture a guess the majority of registered voters in Huntington Beach don't approve of city council members who breach the public trust, ignore public health needs, restrict voting rights, censure oversight, or limit human dignity with personal views. Remember: We vote. And you will see a tremendous get out the vote effort for 2024.

Elaine Meigs

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Allie Plum <skyedawg007@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:35 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** No on 32

I oppose the Dignity statement redefinition. Very very non inclusive.

Allison Plum  
MHRC VP

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Ada Hand <adajhand@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:35 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** No on 32

Your statement on human dignity is a farce. You include in your definition the very statements that seek to take dignity away from groups of people who have every right to be here. You have no business making statements about keeping the sexes separate and celebrating the differences of male/female. You are so 1940s but I feel sorry for the treatment that will be heaped on helpless individuals who you don't think deserve to be treated with dignity.

--

Ada J. Hand, Secretary  
H.B. Mobile Home Resident Coalition  
714-717-1294 (cell)  
[adajhand@gmail.com](mailto:adajhand@gmail.com)

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Carol Daus <caroldaus@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:32 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** My Comments-23-734-in opposition  
**Attachments:** My response to 23-734.docx

See attached:

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Daus  
Huntington Beach resident for 28 years  
[caroldaus@gmail.com](mailto:caroldaus@gmail.com)  
714-235-1187

## **In opposition to the City Council's proposed Policy on Human on Dignity (23-734)**

It is the role of a city's government to safeguard the welfare and rights of all its citizens, fostering unity, harmony and equality. When I moved here over 2 decades ago, Huntington Beach's City Council then was successful in maintaining these objectives. Sadly, this has changed as the City Council's majority has enacted a series of rulings that ultimately will 1) make our city unsafe 2) weaken the rights of its residents and 3) tarnish its image to outside groups, including tourists, businesses and home buyers.

Sadly, our city is becoming known as an anti-LGBTQ+ city. This current Council has banned the Pride flag on city property, has dissolved the 25-year-old Human Relations Committee that fostered inclusivity of all groups, and is considering restricting books to preteens and teens that have a LGBTQ+ theme. And now tonight we have one more dangerous example of their bigotry: they have inserted anti-trans/anti-LGBTQ language in their rewrite of the Policy on Human Dignity. (I find it completely ironic that they're using harmful and divisive language in something that's called a Human Dignity statement!)

We all know that their revising the prior Human Dignity Policy Statement (which has served our city well for 25 years) has nothing to do with human dignity; it's all about a political agenda that the 4 Council members have adopted from outside sources, whether it's far-right groups like Moms for Liberty or the followers of Governor Ron DeSantis—whose failing messages about “don't say gay” and anti-wokeness are sinking his presidential campaign.

I guarantee you that this hateful language is a blemish that will tarnish our city. It's not only discriminatory, but also out-of-touch thinking. Our own children are the first who will tell us this. Numerous studies, most recently one by the Gallup Poll, reveal that over 20% of Generation Z individuals (born 1997-2003) self-identify as LGBT. This would imply that there are likely over 1500 high school students in Huntington Beach who identify as LGBTQ.

Furthermore, with about 12,000 young people enrolled in Huntington Beach schools in the period of 2022-23, and with about 1.9% identifying as transgender (which is from the UCLA Williams Institute of Law based on estimates of self-identified California students), one can determine that there are about 200 transgender students in the 11 to 18 age bracket in our city. By way of comparison, as a sub-population, this is more than the number of varsity athletes in baseball or basketball for the four HB high schools.

Every individual in our city, regardless of their gender identity, deserves respect, dignity and the right to live without fear or prejudice. The presence of discriminatory language in the Policy on Human Dignity is not just an affront to our transgender citizens, it's an affront to all who believe in the basic principles of humanity, equality and justice.

Let's not forget that just last month, 66-year-old Laura Ann Carleton, a mother of 9 children and beloved resident of the Lake Arrowhead area, was gunned down for displaying a rainbow pride flag hanging outside her store. All she wanted to do was make the LGBTQ+ community feel welcomed at a time when hate crimes toward them are rising rapidly.

This awful tragedy occurred not long after a neighbor in my South Huntington Beach tract found her Pride lawn sign torn to shreds. As a long-time resident of Huntington Beach this sickens and hurts me, my family and my neighbors!

In case you're not noticing, there are many young adults who do not want to live in Huntington Beach today due to these anti-discriminatory policies. It's also worth noting that house price gains in 2023 in most areas of Huntington Beach are somewhat flat compared to more welcoming places in Orange and LA Counties where diversity is embraced and where you can feel safe displaying a Pride or BLM flag.

Going forward, what type of city do you want to be? One that embodies compassion, inclusivity, and progress, or one that embodies bigotry, division, and hatred?

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Laura Julyne <pcwatch2@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:24 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Item 32 Ad Hoc Committee's proposed Policy on Human Dignity

The proposed amended policy is a thinly veiled statement filled with prejudice against trans people and the LGBTQ+ community. This proposed change would never have passed the Human Relations Committee that the council is seeking to disband.

The words in this documents reaches back to the John Birch days and is leading this City in the wrong direction.

Sincerely,  
Laura Lara

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:05 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** FW: 9/5 City Council Feedback

**From:** Doug Schneider <doug.schneider@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 8:04 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** 9/5 City Council Feedback

To Whom it May Concern:

I am a long-time resident of Huntington Beach and love the community, but have been troubled by the actions of the new City Council majority. The best qualities of our American democracy are justice, equality, and due process of law. Our government is made to represent the electorate. These qualities do not seem to be priorities of the council majority, judging by many recent actions and many more under consideration currently.

Secret ad hoc meetings, concealing the terms of major legal settlements and bypassing public comment on key issues suggest that the council majority does not want the public to know about their actions or to have a voice in them. Inviting more money into the political process as the majority has recently done sends a message that influence is for sale.

I don't have confidence that this council majority will do the right thing, but I am writing to include my voice in the public record. I am opposed to agenda items 16, 30, 31, 32, 34 and 35.

Sincerely,  
Doug Schneider

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Celeste Rybicki <celeste@celesterybicki.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 7:53 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Disgusted but not Defeated, NO on items 15,16, 22, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35

Dear City Council Majority,

I am sure I'm not the first to mention that the attempt to throw all these issues on the agenda today, the day after a holiday long weekend, is a slimy attempt to sneak them all in without giving the public adequate time to realize what you're doing. I don't believe this will change how you vote, you've proven time and time again you do not care how the residents of Huntington Beach really feel. However, I am writing to have it on record, so you cannot deny that your constituents have reached out in opposition.

I am strongly against censuring Councilwoman Moser. Nothing she said was incorrect or inappropriate, she repeated the same concerns many of us have about the Mayor Pro Tem. I do feel that GVDM lashing out against her, interrupting and calling her a liar was unprofessional and inappropriate. If you're going to consider censure I would direct that towards VanDerMark.

I am against the proposed amendments to the city charter. For a group that ran on keeping the city charter as is... I am so confused as to why this is even happening. I didn't vote for any of you so I'm not particularly shocked or disappointed but I assume many who voted for you do feel deceived.

I am so, so, so opposed to the modified Policy on Human Dignity. It is, to put it lightly, wholly undignified. If this offensive monstrosity passes I expect there to be so much public pushback causing embarrassment (and very likely loss of business) for our city. I can say from personal experience, in the past year I have had 3 pride flags stolen from my front yard and in the past 8 years I have had zero attempts at grooming or anything inappropriate towards my children by the HB librarians or public school system or drag queens, for that matter.

I'm writing this morning, not from a place of fear - because I am not afraid that you four (plus Gates, that's a whole other corrupt mess) can truly destroy our city. I'm writing because I have hope that the good, kind, honest and loving community I know here far far outnumbers you and your small-minded attempts. So here's one more email letting you know we see what you're trying to do. I oppose items 15,16, 22, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35. I oppose your fascist, bigoted power grabs.

Celeste Rybicki  
HB resident and mother of 3

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 6:09 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Charter Amendments

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Kathy Dowling <kathy1dowling@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 12:12:47 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Charter Amendments

I am opposed to the decisions reached by your so called Ad Hoc Committee!

This self appointed group must have input from the community. You cannot lead in a vacuum. The "Fab Four" were against the previous council's Charter Amendments and now you are doing the same thing. Safe Surf City opposes this course of action and so do I.

There is no deliberation for the common good. The four of you vote as a block and do not want or regard input from your community.

This agenda is a travesty! You want to take over voting but you have not financed such a vast proposal. **The Human Dignity** is revised to your beliefs not the communities. You want to change the City Clerk's job description so that the City Attorney's wife can apply. Does that even sound right. In business, you write the Job Description qualifications based on the role and responsibilities, not the candidates qualifications.

Your plan to Censure a fellow council member is a foolish plan. Ms. Van Der Mark has created her own problems and now she wants the three of you to provide cover.

I will end with my thoughts on the No Masks/No Vaccine proposal. With all the problems we have in this City, this is the best you can come up with?

We will vote again and your actions will have consequences.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 6:09 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Please read before the City council meeting September 5, 2023

[Get Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Ann Gaylord <aigodretail@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 12:02:55 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Please read before the City council meeting September 5, 2023

Dear City Council,

Please note, as a citizen and homeowner of Huntington Beach for 22 years, I strongly oppose the following items on the agenda for Sept.5, 2023:

As a doctor, I strongly oppose the "No mask and no vaccine mandate". It is not supported action in the real health and science community and it is a potential health danger for our community! It is completely unnecessary and a concerning threat to our community members, especially for our more vulnerable family, neighbors, and friends. Also, one should not feel comforted with the proposed exception that people who tested positive for COVID are able to wear a mask under this mandate. COVID testing and reporting has drastically decreased and a person really has no way to know if they are being exposed. It is also incorrect to assume that masks only protect against COVID. They also protect the community from other diseases such as the flu/ influenza, Tuberculosis, and other infections!

I am also opposed to the amendment of the **Declaration of Policy on Human Dignity**. It is offensive and encourages fear mongering and hate towards our LGBTQ community.

Lastly, I do not feel that Council Member Natalie Moser should be censored for her comments as they related to concerns that could potentially create a bias and a misrepresentation of our community.

Thank you for reviewing this letter.

Dr. Ann Gaylord

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 6:09 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Oppose Agenda Items #30, #31, #32, #34 and #35

[Get Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Anne Gollay <agollay@socal.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:56:31 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org <supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org>; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Oppose Agenda Items #30, #31, #32, #34 and #35

Dear Council Members,

Oppose Agenda Item #30

I am writing in opposition to all Charter amendments as proposed. The Orange County voting process is functioning exceptionally well, and there is no need for the City of Huntington Beach to insert itself into the process at all. There is no valid reason to spend money to "fix" what is not broken. The underlying message of these proposed voting process changes is clearly an attempt to impose the council majority's false beliefs of voter fraud onto the citizens of HB.

It is also clear that Michael Gates is unduly influencing policy and changes to the City Charter to serve his own interests. The citizens of HB need to pay attention here. The City Attorney is an employee of the City, and thereby serves all residents. He reports to the City Council and is not the final say on anything. Where are the checks and balances in these proposed changes to the City Attorney's role?

Oppose Agenda Items #31, #32, and #34

Mr. Strickland has demonstrated a very biased and narrow-minded approach to his role as Mayor. He has had ample opportunity to bridge the divides that exist in this city. This is evidenced in his appointment of only his like-minded colleagues on the council to almost every committee, to the exclusion of the minority members. Although, thanks to Mr. Strickland, the minority on the council are not getting to do much on any committee, the minority retains an extremely strong base of support. We would like to see their expertise, intelligence and empathy put to good use on council matters, and not just be shoved aside.

A prime example of Mr. Strickland's bias is wanting to censure Ms. Moser, after it was very clearly Ms. Van Der Mark who interrupted Ms. Moser to begin with, and began yelling at Ms. Moser. Mr. Strickland and others interrupted Ms. Moser multiple times and didn't give her a chance to complete her thoughts. Also, I didn't catch which council member it was who told Ms. Moser, "well, don't think," but whoever that was deserves to be censured.

Mr. Strickland could have shown some open-mindedness by including one of the non-majority council members on the Ad-Hoc committee to revise the policy on Human Dignity. If he had, perhaps the tone of this entire council might have been changed for the better. Openness and willingness to see and hear others' points of view takes courage, and sadly I don't see that in Mr. Strickland. The dissolution of the Human Relations Committee also reflects a lack of courage on the part of Mr. Burns and Ms. Van Der Mark, and demonstrates that they don't really care about issues faced by ALL

residents, only those who agree with their opinions.

To follow up on that, the new draft policy on Human Dignity is appalling. It is clearly discriminating against LGBTQ+ residents and visitors, and in particular, transgender people. It also doesn't address hate incidents and crimes that DO occur in our city against all minorities. I do not understand the fixation on sexual grooming. Again, this is where the majority council members are imposing their beliefs on all residents. As much as you may not like it, minorities of all kinds live in our city, and all have the same rights. HB has a very bad reputation for intolerance and bigotry, and I would not be surprised if this goes against the city during the selection of host cities for the Olympic Games.

Oppose Agenda Item #35

I am also concerned with the ignorance exhibited in Ms. Van Der Mark's "No mask and vaccine mandate" proposal. There are no mandates in place, and there are unlikely to be any in the future. However, Covid-19 continues to take lives, and it has been scientifically proven that masks and vaccines help prevent infections, make them shorter, less serious and reduce fatalities. What is wrong with that?

Respectfully,  
Anne Gollay

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Melanie Bergeland <melbergeland@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 6:56 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** September 05, 2023 City Council - Agenda items for meeting

Dear City Council ~

I have the following comments for the amendments:

#### 23-734 Human Dignity

I see no reason to amend the Policy on Human Dignity. The current policy addresses this subject matter in its entirety.

#### 23-700 Measure 1 Voting and Election

I see no reason to change any of Huntington Beach's current voting policies. They have been proven safe and accurate. We should be encouraging people to vote as our right and not impeding the ability to vote. To downgrade the City Clerk's education requirements to any four-year Bachelor's degree is a great disservice to the city.

23-700 Measure 3 section 303 - Please explain this further. I feel meeting commitments to regularly scheduled meetings is a show of transparency, dedication to office and commitment to the residents.

23-693 I am very concerned that dissolution of many of the citizen committees will negatively impact the citizens of this city. The value added to these groups are: Continue transparency between local government to residents, open communications and exchange of ideas, allow citizens an avenue to understand city operations, and the city is able to tap into experts in any given area for guidance.

Long time resident  
Melanie Bergeland

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 6:07 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed Agenda for Sept. 5

Get Outlook for iOS

---

**From:** Jeanne Whitesell <jsw Whitesell3211@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 10:19:12 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Proposed Agenda for Sept. 5

Dear City Councils members,

I read the Agenda for the September 5 City Council meeting and want to state my concerns.

First I am appalled at **Item 32**, the Human Dignity document, which results in NO Dignity for some Huntington Beach citizens. Are we trying to take Huntington Beach back to the Dark Ages?

Second, I'm also against **Item 22**, which will use reserve funds for lawyers' fees. Why are we supporting lawyers to bring more unnecessary lawsuits? There needs to be more information and openness on where this money is going.

Third, I'm concerned about **Item 16** which seems to me to be an effort to damage one of the great institutions in HB, our wonderful libraries and librarians.

Other Agenda Items I'm opposed to are 15, 29, 30, 31, 34 and 35.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Whitesell  
17922 Shoreham Lane  
Huntington Beach, CA 92649  
714-846-8978  
jsw Whitesell3211@yahoo.com

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Diane <dianesgotopnions@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 1:09 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Oppose Item #32

I strongly oppose the adoption of the ad hoc committee's "rewrite" of the Policy on Human Dignity. The 2021 version was thorough, complete and inclusive. Not only is this new version incomplete, it is actually exclusive and transphobic. I would be embarrassed for people to know that I live in a city that has published such a horrible document.

Diane James

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** ana san roman <hotmama21\_23@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 12:19 AM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Ordinance 23-734  
 File No.

Hello,

Huntington Beach is already known as an ultra conservative right wing and yes, racist city. However, its citizens can count on the council to continually support that ignorant mindset. It has become abundantly clear that the Huntington Beach city council will stop at nothing to strike down any conceivable inclusionary act for the LGBTQ community.

The council uses children as a pawn in conservative rhetoric that parrots the actions of Florida and Texas. In an attempt to stay in power and maintain support for what can only be described as trump cult followers, the council has sought to introduce the same narrow minded, woefully ignorant, hateful rhetoric of other conservative cities and states under the guise of abuse. Let's be clear separating people based on genitals has no bearing on their likelihood to abuse children. Separating people based on their genitals has no bearing on how they will conduct themselves in a bathroom, in a sporting event, or anywhere else. Children themselves are far more resilient than adults. Judgement, shame, exclusion is all taught to them by adults. Children are quick to learn, understand and accept new information. Adults are not.

The city council's efforts have never in effort to protect children. If protecting children were the council's true focus, it would not excluding transgender people from normal life through discriminatory acts. Rather, its focus would be ensuring pedophiles John Rogers McFarland, a pastor sentenced to 15 years for child molestation while serving churches in FV and HB, and educational instructors like Charles Jacques, arrested for lewd acts with multiple students while teaching at HB schools, never make it anywhere near children. Both of these men served the HB community and both were arrested for child molestation in the last TWO years. This it took place during the terms of the current City Council. Truly, if the city council practiced what it preached, it would come up with ways to better vet the educators, spiritual leaders, and other members of the community with access to children; instead of catering to a political base by attacking innocent LGBTQ individuals for simply trying to live their lives. This is yet another black eye on the city of Huntington Beach and **an embarrassment for the city council.**

And since it seems to matter to people... yes, I live here.

## Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Anne Gollay <agollay@socal.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:57 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** Oppose Agenda Items #30, #31, #32, #34 and #35

Dear Council Members,

### Oppose Agenda Item #30

I am writing in opposition to all Charter amendments as proposed. The Orange County voting process is functioning exceptionally well, and there is no need for the City of Huntington Beach to insert itself into the process at all. There is no valid reason to spend money to "fix" what is not broken. The underlying message of these proposed voting process changes is clearly an attempt to impose the council majority's false beliefs of voter fraud onto the citizens of HB.

It is also clear that Michael Gates is unduly influencing policy and changes to the City Charter to serve his own interests. The citizens of HB need to pay attention here. The City Attorney is an employee of the City, and thereby serves all residents. He reports to the City Council and is not the final say on anything. Where are the checks and balances in these proposed changes to the City Attorney's role?

### Oppose Agenda Items #31, #32, and #34

Mr. Strickland has demonstrated a very biased and narrow-minded approach to his role as Mayor. He has had ample opportunity to bridge the divides that exist in this city. This is evidenced in his appointment of only his like-minded colleagues on the council to almost every committee, to the exclusion of the minority members. Although, thanks to Mr. Strickland, the minority on the council are not getting to do much on any committee, the minority retains an extremely strong base of support. We would like to see their expertise, intelligence and empathy put to good use on council matters, and not just be shoved aside.

A prime example of Mr. Strickland's bias is wanting to censure Ms. Moser, after it was very clearly Ms. Van Der Mark who interrupted Ms. Moser to begin with, and began yelling at Ms. Moser. Mr. Strickland and others interrupted Ms. Moser multiple times and didn't give her a chance to complete her thoughts. Also, I didn't catch which council member it was who told Ms. Moser, "well, don't think," but whoever that was deserves to be censured.

Mr. Strickland could have shown some open-mindedness by including one of the non-majority council members on the Ad-Hoc committee to revise the policy on Human Dignity. If he had, perhaps the tone of this entire council might have been changed for the better. Openness and willingness to see and hear others' points of view takes courage, and sadly I don't see that in Mr. Strickland. The dissolution of the Human Relations Committee also reflects a lack of courage on the part of Mr. Burns and Ms. Van Der Mark, and demonstrates that they don't really care about issues faced by ALL residents, only those who agree with their opinions.

To follow up on that, the new draft policy on Human Dignity is appalling. It is clearly discriminating against LGBTQ+ residents and visitors, and in particular, transgender people. It also doesn't address hate incidents and crimes that DO occur in our city against all minorities. I do not understand the fixation on sexual grooming. Again, this is where the majority council members are imposing their beliefs on all residents. As much as you may not like it, minorities of all kinds live in our city, and all have the same rights. HB has a very bad reputation for intolerance and bigotry, and I would not be surprised if this goes against the city during the selection of host cities for the Olympic Games.

### Oppose Agenda Item #35

I am also concerned with the ignorance exhibited in Ms. Van Der Mark's "No mask and vaccine mandate" proposal. There are no mandates in place, and there are unlikely to be any in the future. However, Covid-19 continues to take lives, and it has been scientifically proven that masks and vaccines help prevent infections, make them shorter, less serious and reduce fatalities. What is wrong with that?

Respectfully,  
Anne Gollay

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Scott Malabarba <scott@malabarba.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 11:16 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF)  
**Subject:** regarding multiple agenda items for the Sept 5 council meeting

Dear Huntington Beach City Council members,

Council members Moser, Kalmick, and Bolton, thank you for your ongoing courage and integrity.

For the council as a whole, my comments on a number of agenda items for September 5 follow.

**In (wary) support of 23-732, e-bikes**

This looks like a genuine effort to address a real problem that falls with the city council's purview. Excellent, please do more of that!

**In opposition to 23-731, censure of Natalie Moser**

The Proud Boys is an hate group that harbors criminals, terrorists, and traitors to this nation. Its purpose is to do harm to entire segments of the American population, including tens of thousands of citizens of the city you serve. So, yes, if an elected official has any association with this organization or espouses its beliefs, such as that the Holocaust was a hoax, that is relevant. And it is fair to ask if they are the right person to revise a policy that was authored to take a stand against those very beliefs.

This censure not about civility. It is a blatant attempt to silence a council member who asked a question that some don't want answered.

**In opposition to 23-734, revising the policy on human dignity.**

"Protect children!" Of course -- everyone wants to protect children.

If you were sincere, you would know that queer and trans youth face drastically elevated risk of bullying, harassment, sexual assault, and suicide, and you would use your position to support and protect them.

Most of us are not looking to the city government to tell us how gender roles should work or what they suppose the differences between the sexes to be. Furthermore, given the history of this city council in attacking librarians and educators, I find this wording around sports to be concerning. Will you next target PE teachers?

I am not sure what to make of the paragraph stating that the council will "...vigorously fight criminal activity that risks some one's [sic] freedoms..." Does it mean to imply that the police and city authorities have *not* been pursuing the apprehension of criminals? Or perhaps, in light of the censure of Ms. Moser, it's a threat: *violate our freedom to hurt queer people without consequence and we'll turn the law on you.*

"...lend your voice in support of treating and respecting all people equally." An admirable sentiment, and I look forward to you all joining me with *your* voice in support of treating and respecting queer and trans people equally.

Let's be honest -- this was never a good faith attempt. It was only ever about erasing the original intent of a policy on human dignity that has been in place for decades. You could just leave it alone and move on.

#### **In opposition to 23-700, special election and charter amendment**

Prior to and during the June 26 special council meeting, we were told that the city was facing budget shortfalls in the upcoming years and that major cuts across most city departments would be necessary. The city will be paying out 8 million+ dollars in lawsuits. So how can the city afford 1.2 million for this election, plus ongoing and unspecified costs for items like running elections?

The structure of the proposed ballot measures is manipulative. Consistently, I see a prominent voter-baiting item (election takeover, ban Pride flags at City Hall) mixed in with completely unrelated items that look innocuous but add power and reduce accountability for the council majority and city attorney.

Every ballot item is a terrible idea (with the possible exception of the Measure C update, which sounds benign, but at this point who knows?).

Good-faith and well-authored ballot measures would be clearly and simply structured and allow voters to separately choose on unrelated items. This special election will probably happen, so I can only hope that HB votes smarter this time around.

#### **Comment on 23-741, "safeguards" for library materials.**

Of course the library staff has asked for more time -- you have presented them with an insuperable problem.

The library contains thousands of books that many reasonable parents would agree are inappropriate for young readers: most of the horror, thriller, suspense, and true crime sections; much of fantasy, science fiction, and literature; some history; and the entire romance section (most of us, of course, just pay attention to our kids and don't expect these books to be locked up). If you were sincere, you would be concerned about all of these and not just *Genderqueer*.

If you were sincere, you would have also noted that commercial bookstores stock the *same* books. There's little point in "protecting" children from these books at the library if they can just read them at Barnes and Noble. So you'll need to go tell store management that they must rearrange their displays to meet your requirements. And then get sued (again).

I hope some of you have realized that this whole effort is a can of worms that will accomplish nothing and cost the city time, money, and people. You could just make a note to better publicize the library's existing policies and move on.

#### **Comment on 23-726, payouts from litigation reserves**

These lawsuits predate current leadership. However, I would hope that upon having to pay out millions of dollars in taxpayer money, the city would learn its lesson and *not do it again*. Yet, the city is constantly embroiled in lawsuits over housing; the current council has doubled down on its stubborn refusal to comply with decades-old state law. It's not "fighting for HB" if you keep losing.

#### **In opposition to 23-738, anti-mask and anti-vax nonsense**

This is pure theater. Attempting to override any county or state policies will probably just get the city sued (again). What is most amusing about this item is that the people who are cheering for it never really cared, not even when people were dying of Covid in HB every day. They certainly are not waiting for permission from the city council to rip off their masks. This accomplishes nothing and makes HB look stupid.

**Comment on 23-693, dissolve various committees and boards**

I have no background on this matter. Perhaps it is entirely benign. However, in the context of other activities of the current city council, such as 23-700, I am suspicious about the unexplained dissolution of the Environmental and Sustainability Board, the Smart Cities and Technology Committed, and the Youth Board.

**Comment on 23-673, IRC contracts**

I would never have noticed this were it not pointed out to me.

"...staff proposes to recommend to the City Council to approve a 2-year professional services agreement for Federal legislative advocacy services with Van Scoyoc & Associates and another 2-year professional services agreement for State legislative advocacy services with Mercury"

But the plan is to "to execute a Professional Services Contract between the City of Huntington Beach and Stapleton & Associates for Federal Legislative Advocacy Services", the lowest-ranked vendor with just over half the overall score of the recommended vendor?

I have no background on this matter. Again, however, in the context of other activities of the current city council, such as 23-700, it looks suspicious and I have to wonder what underlying motives might be at play.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Scott Malabarba, HB resident

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 10:01 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: City Charter and Policy on Human Dignity Meeting: 05Sep3034

[Get Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** karen mundwiler <craig.karen@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 9:49:11 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** City Charter and Policy on Human Dignity Meeting: 05Sep3034

City Council Members for Huntington Beach,

I wish to express my sincere opposition to the items drafted in the two ad hoc committee items that are up for review and vote on 05Sep2023. Specifically:

**Charter Review:**

**Item #7:** approximately 25% of Huntington Beach is non-white. By adopting the proposed changes, without concern for the diverse make-up of Huntington Beach, and considering there have been no documented concerns expressed by the Orange County Registrar of Voters regarding the security of our elections, I find this proposal to limit voter polling locations and to require city monitoring to be nothing short of voter intimidation. Shame on you for disrespecting the citizens of Huntington Beach.

Furthermore, and without adequate investigation and justification, this proposal will increase costs to Huntington Beach residents. You must *vote NO; this change is inappropriate, unjustified and disrespectful of our rights to a fair and equal election process.*

**Item #2:** City Council Meetings must be cancelled when a quorum is not available. It is inappropriate for any group (majority, minority or otherwise), duly elected to represent the residents of Huntington Beach, to proceed without properly representing all citizens of Huntington Beach. You must *vote NO; fair representation and democracy must be upheld.*

**Item #6:** There is no room for nepotism in government. We have appropriate qualification requirements for the City Clerk in place already; there is no reason to make changes. *Vote NO.*

**Policy on Human Dignity:**

This policy, as written, suggests that the authors have no respect for people who are gender different. And to the person or persons who wrote this document, I suggest you go to the library and review proper grammar and rewrite this policy prior to calling for a vote.

With regards,  
 Karen Mundwiler (Huntington Beach homeowner)  
 8252 Tyler Circle  
 Huntington Beach, CA 92646

Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 10:00 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Policy on Human Dignity

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Julie Meneghini <msmsmail@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 9:34:03 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Policy on Human Dignity

Having read your new **Policy on Human Dignity**, I don't seem to be able to find the "Dignity" in it. I DO see quite a bit of political posturing, however. What exactly do you mean when you say we need to protect our children from "emotional abuse, exploitation and sexual grooming?" Are you implying it is YOUR job to determine what those acts are, rather than the police and other agencies who are trained to identify and handle those issues? The third paragraph is, quite frankly, a joke. It has no business being in any City document. And again in the fourth paragraph, why do YOU need to "vigorously fight criminal activity that risks some one's freedoms?" Isn't that the job of our police department? It seems like a vague enough phrase that can be twisted to meet some of our Council Member's personal political agendas rather than make our city a truly free and fair place for everyone. I object to this "policy" that pretends to address dignity being approved at the Board meeting.

Julie Meneghini  
Huntington Beach resident for 25 years

Switzer, Donna

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 10:00 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Oppose Items # 15, 16, 30, 32, 34, and 35 on the September 5, 2023 HB City Council Agenda

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Pat Goodman <patgoodman@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 9:26:33 PM  
**To:** Strickland, Tony <Tony.Strickland@surfcity-hb.org>; CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Cc:** Fikes, Cathy <CFikes@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Oppose Items # 15, 16, 30, 32, 34, and 35 on the September 5, 2023 HB City Council Agenda

Dear Mayor Strickland and City Council,

I submit my comments in addition to my previous email to **Oppose Agenda Items # 31 C and # 34**, to you with the understanding that not one of you received a majority of eligible votes in the elections in which you were elected to office. With this consideration in mind, I hope that your votes on Tuesday night will reflect the best interest of all of the people who look to you to represent them, and do what is best to have a well-run American beach city in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

**Oppose # 15-** Consider Intergovernmental Relations Committee (IRC) recommendation to approve and authorize execution of Professional Services Contracts with Kahn, Soares, & Conway LLP for State Legislative Advocacy Services and with Stapleton & Associates for Federal Legislative Advocacy Services

Why would the council approve a contract with the least qualified applicant, Stapleton & Associates, per the RFP analysis?

In addition there are 3 contracts identified in items # 26, 27, 28 that are to be rescinded. Why? The RFP process is very costly and time consuming, and in these cases for projects that will benefit residents. Why would the city go back to the beginning of the RFP process. Please explain the need to do this.

**Oppose #16, 30, 32, and 35** these items have no place in the operation of a well-run American beach city in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. These items appear to be political statements addressed to a minority of people and political supporters in city that highlight ideological differences between neighbors and family members and will only serve to anger and agitate the community and stain the reputation of Huntington Beach. Please withdraw these items from the agenda.

**Oppose # 16** - Redirect staff to return to City Council on October 17, 2023 with actionable policy options regarding City library materials and safeguards

We are blessed with an excellent library system here in Huntington Beach with excellent staff, enthusiastic volunteers, and wonderful patrons. Our library has a system in place to review questionable items raised by members of the community. There is a library commission, responsive library staff and ways for parents to monitor their child's book selections. Use the tools in place to address any questions or concerns.

**Oppose # 30** - Consider the submission of 3 Charter amendment ballot measures for voter approval at the March 5, 2024 Statewide Primary Election, and the adoption of Resolution Nos. 2023-42, 2023-43, 2023-44 and 2023-45

Please reconsider these charter amendments. You've not engaged the community to identify the citizens' concerns around these issues. Take the time needed to include the public in this process in order for a successful outcome.

### **Amendment # 1**

Voter ID – what's wrong with the current system of signature verification?  
More In-person voting locations – will there be separate municipal ballots? Will voters be able to mail them to the City Clerk or OC Registrar of Voters?  
Monitoring (Ballot?) Drop Boxes – who monitors?

Several questions on the election administration, cost to the city, and coordination with the county. What benefit is derived from pursuing the administration of the municipal elections? What are the costs? What problems and challenges have occurred with the current administration of elections in Huntington Beach? How do these measures protect from voter intimidation?

Clarify voters elect the City Attorney as the city's legal counsel free from interference from city officials

I am most concerned with Amendment #1 office of City Attorney amendments. This is a consolidation of power around the office of City Attorney and a blow to voter protections.

This is a tremendous overreach and consolidation of power including the issues of independence, transparency, accountability by the City Attorney. This office serves the legal interests of the city (the people) and by extension the city council. If there is a conflict of interest by the city attorney's office on a legal matter such as wrongful termination claims from employees and the City Attorney. How can the City Attorney represent and defend the city and her/himself in such a case? The council must solicit legal opinion from an independent attorney outside of the city's employees in order to defend itself. The City Attorney must take direction from the Council and be a resource to the City

Manager, Police and Fire chiefs and other city leadership, and if, for some reason he/she cannot fulfill their role to defend the city.

## **Amendment # 2**

Provide that the only flags that shall be displayed by the City on City property are: the U.S., County of Orange, City of HB flags, and the Pow, Armed Forces flags

Adopt a Two -Year Budget

City Clerk \* City Treasurer elections to the gubernatorial cycle

These are mostly unnecessary, except the adoption of a Two Year Budget. Some economy will be realized. – enough said.

## **Amendment # 3**

Require voter approval of any City transaction that forgives, etc. the collection of property (taxes) in excess of \$100,000 per year, except acquisition of property for parks or infrastructure.

Why do we elect representatives? I hope to carry out the business of the city. Why handcuff councilmembers from resources to address inadequate housing opportunities for its residents. The two properties brought in to provide middle income housing and encourage the possibility of living – working – playing in the same city. Why not provide an opportunity for people to live according to their means and aspire to owning a home in the same city? Wouldn't that provide economic advantage to the city in the long term? The # 1 responsibility for the city is to address the housing needs of its residents. You do that through zoning and developer incentives. This action is not forced on any council.

**Oppose Item # 31 B, C, D, E, F, and H** – this sweeping agenda item to dissolve and consolidate boards and commissions. Huntington Beach takes pride in its citizen participation and volunteerism. Boards and commissions provide support and oversight functions to the city by interested citizens willing to volunteer their time and expertise on particular subjects.

Update exceptions to Sec. 612 (Measure C) to allow certain children's playground equipment, park-related utility structures and public restrooms be built or improved with limitation

Please be careful to not encroach on precious open space.

Update the procedures to cancel a regular City Council meeting

Another example of consolidation of power into the hands of one elected official. Please don't approve this.

**Oppose # 35** - Submitted by Mayor Pro Tem Van Der Mark – Resolution Declaring City to be a "No Mask and No Vaccine Mandate" City

The County of Orange has the responsibility, and resources to issue public health safe guards. Viruses don't have any bounds. Please don't meddle in an arena of public health that the city has no expertise or resources to manage.

Thank you for considering my comments.

***Pat Goodman***

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 9:04 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Information to the City Council members

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** jnagytrees@aol.com <jnagytrees@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 8:58:56 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Information to the City Council members

Greetings to all HB Councilmembers:

We would like you to NOT  
Censure a councilmember  
Disband anymore more boards or commissions  
Or use the statement of Human Dignity that the new group of councilmembers deesigned.

Sincerely,  
Ron and Jean Nagy

**Switzer, Donna**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 8:36 PM  
**To:** supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Agenda Item #32  
**Attachments:** Photo Sep 04, 2023.JPG

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Kathy McGuire <kzm@dslextreame.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 8:01:31 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL (INCL. CMO STAFF) <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Agenda Item #32

I've attached an annotated copy of the Policy on Human Dignity in the hopes that it will show the problems with this statement. Thank you.

---

**From:** "Kathy McGuire" <kzm@dslextreame.com>  
**To:** "city council" <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 4, 2023 7:56:59 PM  
**Subject:** Agenda Item #32

Vote no on Item #32. The current policy on Human Dignity is well-written and states important truths and information. The proposed policy is unclear, full of vague assertions, poorly written, and contains grammatical errors. It is not needed.

Merriam Webster dictionary: dignity - the state of being worthy, honored, or esteemed

As a policy of human dignity, this talks only about sexual issues. What about other types of concerns and

Paragraph ② talks about "sexual grooming." Define it. Is it going to be used against teachers and librarians for trying to educate children? Clarify.

It is important to define terms.

other groups of people?

The thesis statement is not well-supported.

CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH • CALIFORNIA

## Policy on Human Dignity

By the Huntington Beach City Council

① Huntington Beach is a city whose residents and visitors represent nearly every walk of life, come from many nations, share varied lifestyles, and hold different religious beliefs. This diversity brings to our city a rich and varied cultural heritage. Our citizens honor and respect the differences that exist in Huntington Beach. When crimes are committed against any citizen, it is considered an act against the entire community.

### Thesis statement:

② The Huntington Beach City Council declares that everyone should be treated with courtesy and respect. It is the right of every citizen to pursue their daily lives with the knowledge that they should not be physically harmed or verbally abused. The City of Huntington Beach recognizes our responsibility as a community to protect children from abuse of any kind including physical abuse, emotional abuse, exploitation, and sexual grooming. - This is sure to be interpreted in many ways - define it.

③ The City of Huntington Beach will recognize from birth the genetic differences between male and female and respect the strengths and benefits of each. Each sex carries advantages and disadvantages that warrant separation during certain activities (ie. sports). We encourage an environment free of hostility and discourage the erasure of either genders separate accomplishments.

Possessive

④ These are vague assertions. What warrants separation of the sexes? The Huntington Beach City Council states in the strongest terms that it will vigorously fight criminal activity that risks some one's freedoms ensured by the United States Constitution and/or violates the laws of our land. It is the City's stated policy to aggressively pursue a course of investigation, apprehension, prosecution, conviction and/or incarceration of all of those who participate in crimes in our community.

⑤ We believe in the independence of all people. Participate at your places of worship and community meetings freely and lend your voice in support of treating and respecting all people equally.

This is a change of person. Is it "we" or are you telling people what to do?

⑥ The foregoing Policy on Human Dignity was adopted by the City of Huntington Beach City Council on September 5, 2023.

Paragraph ④

I would hope that H.B. would fight criminal activity within the city. Unnecessary.

Paragraph ③ is full of vague assertions. It is not clear why the sexes should be separated for sports. At what age? Can boys and girls play a casual game of soccer at an H.B. park?