

# HUNTINGTON BEACH

## City Council Meeting – Council Member Items Report

To:	City Council
From:	Gracey Van Der Mark, Mayor Pat Burns, Mayor Pro Tem
	Casey McKeon, Council Member
Date:	July 2, 2024
Subject:	PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT – ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS FOR GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS

## **ISSUE STATEMENT**

The City Council has a duty to protect the City's environment and typically does so by complying with the State's environmental laws set forth in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). As we have seen with recent State mandates for high-density housing, the State of California is imposing a draconian development policy on our City that demonstrates a disregard for our precious environment, natural resources, quality of life, and even for CEQA.

The environmental review of the City's proposed 2023 Housing Element update revealed that the State's high-density housing quota of 13,368 new units, which translates to 41,000 new units at a 20% inclusionary rate of development, would present several "significant and unavoidable" negative impacts to our environment. Those impacts include permanent reduction in our groundwater supply, permanent increase in greenhouse gases and air pollutants, permanent noise pollution, increased traffic and congestion, and threats to our local wildlife and natural undeveloped regions such as wetlands and large parks. The official Environmental Review document is attached to this item as Exhibit A.

Further, as part of the City's Housing Element update, the State expects the City Council to adopt a Statement of Overriding Considerations that essentially states that "the benefits of the State's proposed high-density housing of 13,368 new units outweighs the known significant and unavoidable negative impacts to the City's environment." The proposed Statement of Overriding Considerations that identifies the harm to our environment is attached to this item as Exhibit B.

In April 2023, the City Council was unable to make or adopt this Statement of Overriding Considerations and made clear on the record their unwillingness to "sell out" the City's environment in favor of the State's misguided and onerous high-density development demands. The people of Huntington Beach should have a voice in whether the exchange of the City's current pristine environmental conditions for the State's high-density housing mandates is acceptable. Further, the residents of Huntington Beach should decide if they are willing to live with the long-term permanent negative impacts to the City's environment that are presented by the State's high-density development scheme.

The City has Constitutional rights under Article XI, Section 5 of the California Constitution for local control, just as the City Charter currently sets forth in its Preamble and Sections 103 and 104, that local control, known as "home rule," applies to municipal affairs. For decades, and even now, California law has recognized that a Charter City's planning and zoning of its land is a local, "municipal affair," beyond the reach of State interference and control. This concept was reaffirmed in a recent decision in the *City of Redondo Beach, et al., v. Rob Bonta,* in his capacity as California Attorney General, Case No. 22STCP1143 (2024), where the State was stopped from imposing its zoning policies on Charter Cities and the State's SB 9 was declared unconstitutional as applied to Charter Cities.

The City Charter Preamble states "We, the people of the City of Huntington Beach, State of California believe fiscal responsibility and the prudent stewardship of public funds is essential for confidence in government, that ethics and integrity are the foundation of public trust and that just governance is built upon these values. Through the enactment of this Charter as the fundamental law of the City of Huntington Beach under the Constitution of the State of California, we do hereby exercise the privilege of retaining for ourselves, the benefits of local government, by enacting the laws, rules, regulations and procedures set forth herein pertaining to the governance and operation of our City. It is incumbent upon those who govern and make decisions for and on behalf of the City of Huntington Beach to legally, as well as morally, abide by the provisions of this Charter, in its strictest sense, to ensure the continued success and well-being of our fair City."

Huntington Beach City Charter Section 103 states "The City shall have the power to make and enforce all laws and regulations in respect to municipal affairs, subject only to such restrictions and limitations as may be provided in this Charter or in the Constitution of the State of California."

Huntington Beach City Charter Section 104 states "The general grant of power to the City under this Charter shall be construed broadly in favor of the City. The specific provisions enumerated in this Charter are intended to be and shall be interpreted as limitations upon the general grant of power and shall be construed narrowly. If any provisions of this Charter, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the Charter and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby."

## **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Place on the November 2024 Ballot a proposed Charter Amendment stating that "No City initiated general plan amendment or zoning change may be approved by the City where the related environmental review (EIR) finds the same proposed general plan update or zoning change presents "significant and unavoidable" negative impacts to the environment, without first receiving approval by a vote of the people." The proposed Charter Amendment should include language to the effect of "City Planning and Zoning is a local, "municipal affair," beyond the reach of State control or interference; and City Planning and Zoning is a local activity reserved for the City and its people, and not the State."

Direct staff to bring back a proposed November 2024 ballot initiative within thirty (30) days for City Council approval.

## ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

This action is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Sections 15060(c)(2) (the activity will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment) and 15060(c)(3) (the activity is not a project as defined in Section 15378) of the CEQA Guidelines, California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, because it has not potential for resulting in physical change to the environment, directly or indirectly.

## STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL

Goal 5 - Housing, Strategy A - Take action to maintain local control of land-use planning.

## ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Exhibit A Environmental Review (EIR)
- 2. Exhibit B Statement of Overriding Conditions

Resolution No. 2023-15 Exhibit "A"

Subsequent Final Environmental Impact Report: Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations SCH #2021080104

## 2021-2029 Housing Element Update

## LEAD AGENCY



CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY 2000 MAIN STREET 3RD FLOOR HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92648 (714) 536-5721

CONSULTANT



KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. MS. RITA GARCIA 1100 TOWN AND COUNTRY ROAD, SUITE 700 ORANGE, CA 92868 (714) 786-6116

OCTOBER 2022

## 

City of Huntington Beach	Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
2021-2029 HEU	Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

## **Table of Contents**

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	. 2
2.0	CEQ	A FINDINGS	. 4
3.0	FIN	DINGS REGARDING PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	17
	3.1.	Introduction	17
	3.2.	Project Objectives	17
	3.3.	Selection of Alternatives	18
	3.4.	Project Alternative Findings	18
4.0	STA	TEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS	25
	4.1.	Introduction	25
	4.2.	Significant Adverse Cumulative Impact	25
	4.3.	Findings	26
	4.4.	Overriding Considerations	26

## List of Tables

able 1: CEQA Findings for the HEU	5
	-

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This document presents the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations that must be adopted by the City of Huntington Beach (City) pursuant to the requirements of Sections 15091 and 15093, respectively, of the CEQA Guidelines prior to the approval of the City of of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 Housing Element Update (otherwise referred to as "HEU" or the "Project").

This document is organized as follows:

- **Chapter 1** Introduction to the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations.
- **Chapter 2** CEQA Findings of the Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (Draft SEIR), including the identified significant cumulative impacts.
- **Chapter 3** Summarizes the alternatives to the Project and evaluates them in relation to the findings contained in Section 15091(a)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines. The City must consider and make findings regarding alternatives when a project would involve environmental impacts that cannot be reduced to a less than significant level, or cannot be substantially reduced, by proposed mitigation measures.
- Chapter 4 Statement of Overriding Considerations, as required by Section 15093 of the CEQA Guidelines, for significant impacts of a proposed project that cannot be mitigated to a less than significant level.

The Housing Element, which is a component of the Huntington Beach General Plan, provides direction for implementation of various programs to meet existing and projected future housing needs for all income levels within Huntington Beach. The City's projected housing need for the 6th Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) planning period (2021-2029) is 13,368 dwelling units (11,743 units when accounting for existing applications and projects that are currently under review).

State housing law requires the City to specify the number of housing units that can realistically be accommodated on candidate housing sites. The City is not required to build dwelling units in order to meet its RHNA allocation, only to identify potential sites and create the framework to allow the market the opportunity to develop these units. Therefore, the Project, as defined for CEQA purposes, consists of the Housing Program to accommodate the lower-income RHNA units, including amendments to existing land use designations and zoning districts, an affordable housing overlay, and identification of underutilized, residentially-zoned parcels in an inventory of 378 candidate housing sites.

The Housing Program specifically addressed in the SEIR includes amendments to the Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance (HBZSO) (Zoning Map Amendment Nos. 22-001 and 22-002 and Zoning Text Amendment Nos. 22-006, 22-007, 22-008, and 22-009) and the Huntington Beach General Plan Land Use Element (General Plan Amendment No. 22-001) for changes to base/overlay districts and land use

13 ER-323

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 14 of 40 Page ID #:912

City of Huntington Beach	Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
2021-2029 HEU	Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

designations, as well amendments to other planning documents, as needed for clarification and consistency purposes and to accommodate future housing sites as part of the HEU's Implementation Program. These amendments provide capacity for future development of approximately 19,738 housing units to meet the City's remaining unmet RHNA of 11,743 housing units.

Other Federal, State, and local agencies are involved in the review and approval of the HEU, including those agencies designated as trustee and responsible agencies. A trustee agency is a State agency that has jurisdiction by law over natural resources affected by a project that are held in trust for the people of the State. A responsible agency is an agency, other than the lead agency, that has responsibility for carrying out or approving a project. Responsible and trustee agencies are consulted by the CEQA lead agency to ensure the opportunity for input and also review and comment on the Draft SEIR. Responsible agencies also use the CEQA document in their decision-making. Several agencies other than the City may require permits, approvals, and/or consultation to implement various HEU programs.

Responsible/Trustee Agencies for the HEU include, but are not limited to:

- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD);
- Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB); and
- State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD).

Other agencies may use the Final SEIR in exercising their duties even if they do not have discretionary permit approval authority over all or parts of the HEU (or implementation of individual projects developed as a result of the HEU). All projects that are proposed in the future under the HEU will be required to obtain all necessary discretionary actions and environmental clearance, separate from this HEU.

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU

## 2.0 CEQA FINDINGS

This chapter summarizes the potential impacts that were identified in the Draft Subsequent EIR (Draft SEIR) and the findings that are required in accordance with Section 15091 of the CEQA Guidelines. The possible findings for each significant and/or potentially significant adverse impact are as follows:

- (a) Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into the project which avoid, substantially lessen, or reduce the magnitude of the significant environmental effect as identified in the Draft SEIR ("Finding 1").
- (b) Such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and not the agency making the findings. Such changes have been adopted by such other agency or can and should be adopted by such other agency. ("Finding 2")
- (c) Specific economic, social, or other considerations make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives in the Draft SEIR ("Finding 3").

CEQA requires that the lead agency adopt mitigation measures or project alternatives, where feasible, to avoid or substantially reduce significant environmental impacts that would otherwise occur as a result of a project. Project modifications or alternatives are not required where they are infeasible or where the responsibility for modifying a project lies with another agency (CEQA Guidelines §15091, subd. (a), [3]). Public Resources Code Section 21061.1 defines "feasible" to mean "capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a reasonable period of time, taking into account economic, environmental, social and technological factors." CEQA Guidelines Section 15364 adds: "legal" considerations. (See also Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors [Goleta II] [1990] 52 Cal.3d 553, 565 [276 Cal. Rptr. 410].)

Only after fully complying with the findings requirement can an agency adopt a Statement of Overriding Considerations. (Citizens for Quality Growth v. City of Mount Shasta [1988] 198 Cal.App.3d 433, 442, 445 [243 Cal. Rptr. 727].) CEQA requires the Lead Agency to state in writing the specific rationale to support its actions based on a Final EIR and/or information in the record. This written statement is known as the Statement of Overriding Considerations. The Statement of Overriding Considerations provides the information that demonstrates the decision making body of the Lead Agency has weighed the benefits of a project against its unavoidable adverse effects in determining whether to approve a project. If the benefits of a project outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects, the adverse effects may be considered "acceptable."

This document presents the findings of the City as required by CEQA, cites substantial evidence in the record in support of each of the findings, and presents an explanation to supply the logical step between the finding and the facts in the record. (CEQA Guidelines §15091.). Additional facts that support the findings are set forth in the Draft SEIR, the Final SEIR, staff reports to the Planning Commission and City Council, and the record of proceedings.

Table 1 summarizes the potentially significant impacts that were reduced to less than significant levels with mitigation as well as the significant impacts, as proposed for certification and adoption of the HEU.

**EXHIBIT 10** 

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 16 of 40 Page ID #:914

City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

#### **Impact Statement Impact Summary Impact Finding Air Quality** The project would result in a project-Air pollutant emissions associated with Finding 3. The City of Huntington Beach finds that even specific significant and unavoidable air implementation of the HEU would result from with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures quality impacts associated with a construction activities and operation of uses allowed and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and cumulatively considerable net increase under the HEU. The amount of emissions generated by policies, emissions associated with the HEU could result of criteria pollutants for which the region future development projects would vary depending on in an exceedance of established thresholds for daily is in nonattainment. its size, the land area that would need to be disturbed emissions due to the speculative nature of future during construction, the length of the construction projects. No mitigation measures in addition to GPU PEIR schedule, and the number of developments being MM 4.2-1 through MM 4.2-14 are feasible to reduce constructed concurrently. Due to the speculative construction or operational air quality impacts to a less nature of estimating emissions from individual projects than significant level. at the programmatic level of the HEU, emissions cannot be quantified (as there is no project-level data) to establish whether the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) thresholds would be exceeded. Despite compliance with applicable General Plan goals and policies and incorporation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.2-1 through MM 4.2-14, the HEU would result in a significant and unavoidable air quality impact due to the violation of an air quality standard and exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the The project would result in less than As previously stated, air pollutant emissions associated significant impacts related to the with implementation of the HEU would result from identified changes or alterations in the Project, which exposure of sensitive receptors to construction activities and operation of uses allowed would reduce this impact to a less than significant level, substantial pollutant concentrations under the HEU. The amount of emissions generated by are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional following incorporation of mitigation future development projects would vary depending on mitigation measures are necessary with implementation measures MM AQ-1 and AQ-2. its size, the land area that would need to be disturbed mitigation measures MM AQ-1 and AQ-2. during construction, the length of the construction schedule, and the number of developments being constructed concurrently. Future applicants for development projects facilitated by the HEU would be required to implement mitigation measures MM AQ-1 and AQ-2, which would require project-specific health risk assessments to minimize impacts associated with

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 17 of 40 Page ID #:915

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
The project would result in a cumulative contribution to an air quality impact, resulting in a significant and unavoidable cumulative impact to air quality.	the exposure of sensitive receptors to toxic air contaminants and to ensure that construction emissions do not result in the exceedance of localized significance thresholds. With implementation of these measures, impacts would be reduced to a less than significant level. Cumulative development could violate an air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation because the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is currently in nonattainment for ozone, PM <sub>10</sub> , and PM <sub>2.5</sub> . Concerning daily emissions and the cumulative net increase of any criteria pollutant for	<b>Finding 3.</b> The City of Huntington Beach finds that even with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and policies, implementation of the HEU could result significant unavoidable impacts related to a cumulative increase in construction and operational emissions due
	cumulative net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the region is in nonattainment, the Project would result in a cumulatively considerable increase to nonattainment of ozone, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , and PM <sub>10</sub> standards in the SCAB. Because no information on individual projects is currently available, cumulative construction and operational emissions cannot be accurately quantified. Despite compliance with General Plan goals and policies and implementation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.2-1 through MM 4.2-14, daily construction and operational air quality emissions would be considered cumulatively significant and unavoidable.	to the speculative nature of future projects. No mitigation measures in addition to GPU PEIR MM 4.2-1 through MM 4.2-14 are feasible to reduce cumulative air quality impacts to a less than significant level.
Cultural Resources		
Construction activities associated with implementation of the Project could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical and/or an, archaeological resource and may result in the disturbance of unknown human remains. With incorporation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.4- 1, MM 4.4-2, and MM 4.4-3, these	It is currently infeasible to determine whether future development under the Project would result in demolition or removal of historical or archaeological resources, or the disturbance of unknown human remains, within the planning area. However, future projects would be required to implement mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.4-1, MM 4.4-2, and MM 4.4- 3, which outline procedures to be followed during future construction activities to ensure compliance with local. State, and Federal regulations pertaining to	<b>Finding 1.</b> The City of Huntington Beach finds that the identified changes or alterations in the Project, which would reduce this impact to a less than significant level, are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional mitigation measures are necessary with implementation mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.4-1, MM 4.4-2, and MM 4.4-3.

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 18 of 40 Page ID #:916

City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
impacts would be reduced to a less than	such requires. Implementation of these measures	
significant level.	would ensure that Project impacts with respect to	
	archaeological and historical resources, as well as	
	unknown human remains, would be less than	
	significant.	
Geology and Soils		
Future development under the HEU	All future housing development subject to rezoning and	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
could expose people and/or structures	within overlay zones would be required to comply with	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
to potentially substantial adverse	applicable General Plan goals and policies related to	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
effects, including the risk of loss, injury,	geology and soils and would also be required to	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
or death, involving fault rupture,	implement mitigation measures GPU PEIR 4.5-1	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
expansive soils, strong seismic	through MIM 4.5-3, which require that relevant	mitigation measures GPU PEIR 4.5-1 through MIM 4.5-3
groundsnaking and/or seismic-related	geotechnical studies be undertaken prior to issuance of	and MIN 4.4-4.
ground failure, including inquefaction.	grading and construction permits. Future development	
Future development under the HEO also	mitigation measures CDL MAM 4.4.2 through 4.2.4	
has the potential to disturb unknown	mitigation measures GPO Will 4.4-2 through 4.2-4,	
implementation of mitigation massures	evisting regulations to minimize impacts to unknown	
CDU DEID 4 E 1 through MM4 4 E 2 and	existing regulations to minimize impacts to unknown	
GPU PEIR 4.5-1 tillougil ivity 4.5-5 allu	pareontological resources. Implementation of these	
applicable State and City regulations	nolicies would reduce impacts associated with the	
these impacts would be reduced to a less	exposure of people to significant risk of geological	
than significant level	failures as well as impacts to unknown paleontological	
	resources to a less than significant level	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
The project would result in project-level	The Project would potentially generate GHG emissions	Finding 3. The City of Huntington Beach finds that even
and cumulative significant and	that could have a significant impact on the environment	with implementation of all GHG reduction measures and
unavoidable impacts due to the	and could conflict with applicable plans for reducing	compliance with applicable General Plan goals and
generation of greenhouse gas (GHG)	GHG emissions. Although the Project would aim to	policies, GHG emissions associated with the HEU could
emissions and the potential conflict with	comply with GHG reduction strategies outlined in the	would be significant and unavoidable. No feasible
an applicable plan.	GPU PEIR, these strategies require additional action by	mitigation measures are available to reduce GHG
	City staff and officials, and the feasibility of	impacts to a less than significant level.
	implementing these strategies and specific	-
	implementation details rely on numerous factors that	
	cannot be adequately forecasted at this time.	

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 19 of 40 Page ID #:917

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
	Furthermore, GHG emissions may differ from actual Project future emissions due to various factors. As such, the Project's potential to generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, and potential to conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the GHG emissions would be significant and unavoidable. Although both future housing development facilitated by the Project and cumulative projects are required to quantify project- specific GHG emissions associated with construction and operational activities and implement feasible mitigation measures and/or GHG reduction strategies to reduce GHG emissions, the contribution of daily construction and operational GHG emissions has the potential to create a significant impact. Thus, the Project's GHG impacts would be cumulatively significant and unavoidable.	
Hazards		
Implementation of future projects under the HEU could create a potential significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. However, with implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.7-1, this impact would be reduced to a less than significant level.	Future housing development facilitated by the Project would not involve ongoing or routine use of substantial quantities of hazardous materials during operations. All future housing development subject to rezoning and within overlay zones would be subject to compliance with General Plan policies aimed at reducing impacts from hazardous materials. All future housing development subject to rezoning and within overlay zones would also be subject to compliance with GPU PEIR MM 4.7-1, which requires compliance with GPU PEIR MM 4.7-1, which requires compliance with with Huntington Beach Fire Department specifications related to the potential to encounter methane gas. Compliance with City regulations, General Plan policies, and implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.7-1 would ensure Project impacts would remain less than significant.	<b>Finding 1.</b> The City of Huntington Beach finds that the identified changes or alterations in the Project, which would reduce this impact to a less than significant level, are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional mitigation measures are necessary with implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.7-1.

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 20 of 40 Page ID #:918

City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
Individual sites within the planning area	Development of any identified sites of contamination	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
are included on a list of hazardous	would be required to undergo remediation and clean	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
materials sites that could result in the	up before construction activities can begin. If	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
accidental spread of contamination and	contamination at any future project site were to exceed	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
could create a significant hazard to the	regulatory action levels, a future project would be	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
public or environment. However, with	required to undertake remediation procedures prior to	of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.7-2 and MM 4.7-
implementation of mitigation measures	grading and development under the supervision of	3.
GPU PEIR MM 4.7-2 and 4.7-3, this	appropriate regulatory oversight agencies. Compliance	
impact would be reduced to a less than	with City standards and implementation of mitigation	
significant level.	measures GPU PEIR MM 4.7-2 and MM 4.7-3, which	
	require preparation of a preliminary environmental site	
	assessment to determine the potential for onsite	
	contamination, would ensure that the Project would	
	not create a significant hazard to the public or the	
	environment through reasonably foreseeable upset	
	and accident conditions involving the release of	
	hazardous materials into the environment, resulting in	
	a less than significant level.	
Implementation of the HEU could impair	Future development facilitated by the Project would	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
implementation of or physically interfere	increase housing density in certain areas of the City,	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
with an adopted emergency response	resulting in greater population concentrations within	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
plan or emergency evacuation plan.	certain areas. This increased density could interfere	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
However, with implementation of	with emergency evacuation in the event of a City-wide	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.7-4,	emergency. However, the Project would not result in	of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.7-4.
this impact is considered less than	changes to the City's existing circulation network. No	
significant.	land uses are proposed that would impair the	
	implementation of, or physically conflict with, the	
	Huntington Beach Emergency Operations Plan/Hazard	
	Mitigation Plan. As a result, the Project would not	
	conflict with any State or local plan aimed at preserving	
	and maintaining adopted emergency response or	
	emergency evacuation plans. Notwithstanding, to	
	minimize all potential impacts, all future housing	
	development subject to rezoning and within overlay	
	zones would be required to adhere to GPU PEIR MM	

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 21 of 40 Page ID #:919

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
	4.7-4, which requires future housing developments to	
	consult with the City of Huntington Beach Police or Fire	
	Departments to disclose temporary lane or roadway	
	closures and alternative travel routes during	
	construction, to ensure that there are no conflicts with	
	emergency response and evacuation plans, thereby	
	resulting in a less than significant impact.	
Hydrology and Water Quality		
Future development under the HEU	It is anticipated that construction activities for future	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
could result in violations of water quality	housing development facilitated by the Project would	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
standard or waste discharge that could	include excavation, grading, and trenching, which could	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
degrade surface or groundwater quality	displace soils and temporarily increase the potential for	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
and could conflict with a water quality	soils to be subject to wind and water erosion.	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
control plan. Implementation of	Therefore, construction activities from future housing	of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.8-1.
mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.8-1	development could violate water quality standards or	
would reduce this impact to a less than	otherwise degrade water quality. However,	
significant level.	construction activities that could affect water quality	
	would be addressed through compliance with the	
	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	
	(NPDES) program's Construction General Permit.	
	Future housing development would also be subject to	
	mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.8-1, which requires	
	new development projects to prepare project-specific	
	Water Quality Management Plans. Compliance with	
	this measure would reduce potential impacts	
	associated with water quality violations and conflicts	
	with a water quality control plan to a less than	
	significant level.	
Future development under the HEU	As discussed under Utilities and Service systems, there	Finding 3. The City of Huntington Beach finds that even
could result in substantial groundwater	may not be sufficient water supplies available to serve	with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures
dewatering and could deplete	the Project. Therefore, Project-related water demands	and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and
groundwater supplies, which in turn	from future development would result in a significant	policies, implementation of the HEU could result in
could result in conflicts with water	and unavoidable impact concerning water supplies. For	significant and unavoidable impacts concerning
quality control plans and/or sustainable	this reason, the Project could substantially decrease	groundwater supplies and the sustainable management
groundwater management plans.	groundwater supplies resulting in a significant and	of the groundwater Basin. No mitigation measures in

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 22 of 40 Page ID #:920

City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
Despite implementation of mitigation	unavoidable impact concerning sustainable	addition to GPU PEIR MM 4.8-2 are feasible to reduce
measure GPU PEIR MM 4.8-2 Project-	management of the Basin. Although future housing	Project-level or cumulative impacts to a less than
level and cumulative impacts would be	projects would be required to comply with City, state	significant level.
significant and unavoidable.	and federal goals and policies requiring water	
	conservation, mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.8-2	
	would also be required to ensure that applicants of	
	future developments prepare a groundwater hydrology	
	study to ensure that dewatering activities do not	
	interfere with groundwater supplies. Despite	
	compliance with this measure and until water supply	
	improves, both Project-level and cumulative water	
	demands would result in a significant unavoidable	
	impact concerning groundwater supplies.	
Future development under the HEU	Development under the HEU could result in an increase	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
could increase stormwater runoff,	in the amount of impervious surfaces compared to	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
exceed the capacity of existing or	existing conditions, thereby increasing stormwater	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
planned stormwater drainage systems,	runoff. Incorporation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
and cause on- or off-site flooding. With	MM 4.8-3, which requires each future, project-level	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
implementation of mitigation measure	development application to demonstrate adequate	of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.8-3.
GPU PEIR MM 4.8-3, this impact is	capacity in the storm drain system and provide for	
considered less than significant.	mitigation of constraints, would reduce this impact to a	
	less than significant level.	
Noise		
The Project would result in an increase in	Construction activities associated with future individual	Finding 3. The City of Huntington Beach finds that even
ambient noise levels during construction	developments could occur near noise-sensitive	with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures
of future housing developments and	receptors and noise disturbances could occur for	and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and
would also result in an increase in	prolonged periods of time, thereby resulting in	policies, the Project could result in a significant and
ambient noise levels during operation	potential construction noise impacts. In addition,	unavoidable impact due to an increase in the ambient
due to an increase in vehicle trips during	future housing developments facilitated by the Project	noise levels. No mitigation measures in addition to
operation that would result in a Project-	have the potential to introduce and increase new	mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.10-1 through MM
specific significant and unavoidable	roadway noise, thereby increasing ambient noise	4.10-4 are feasible to reduce impacts to a less than
impact despite implementation of	levels. As such, future projects would be required to	significant level.
mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.10-	comply with mitigation measures GPU PEIR 4.10-1	
1 through 4.10-4.	through 4.10-4, which include construction-level and	
	operational noise reduction measures to reduce	

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 23 of 40 Page ID #:921

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

#### **Impact Statement Impact Summary Impact Finding** ambient noise levels associated with the Project. Despite compliance with General Plan goals and policies aimed at reducing noise and implementation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR 4.10-1 through 4.10-4, the Project would result in significant and unavoidable impacts concerning construction-related and operational noise levels. The Project's impact concerning the substantial temporary and permanent increase of ambient noise levels would be cumulatively considerable. The Project would result in a Project-Future development under HEU has the potential to Finding 3. The City of Huntington Beach finds that even specific significant and unavoidable generate construction vibration levels in exceedance of with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures impact due to the exposure of persons to established thresholds at nearby sensitive receptors. and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and excessive groundborne vibration during Although future development would comply with policies, the Project could result in a significant and General Plan policies to reduce groundborne vibration, unavoidable impact due exposure of persons to the future construction activities despite implementation of mitigation measure mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.10-5, which generation of groundborne vibration during construction. No mitigation measures in addition to GPU PEIR MM 4.10-5. requires new development projects that include pile driving activities to incorporate vibration-reduction mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.10-5 are feasible to techniques to help to reduce impacts, construction reduce impacts to a less than significant level. vibration levels would not be reduced to a level that would be less than significant. Compliance with General Plan policies and implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.10-5 would reduce potential groundborne vibration impacts associated with future construction activities, but not to a level that would be less than significant because certain construction activities may still be required in proximity to nearby sensitive receptors. Therefore, this impact would remain significant and unavoidable and would remain cumulatively significant and unavoidable despite implementation of mitigation. **Public Services** Future development under the HEU Future development under the HEU would increase the Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the would increase the demand on public demand on public services including fire, police, identified changes or alterations in the Project, which schools, parks/recreational facilities, and libraries. would reduce this impact to a less than significant level. services including fire, police, schools,

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 24 of 40 Page ID #:922

City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
parks/recreational facilities, and	However, with incorporation of mitigation measures	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
libraries. However, with incorporation of	GPU PEIR MM 4.12-1 through MM 4.12-7, which	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.12-	require future projects to pay applicable development	of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.12-1 through
1 through MM 4.12-7, impacts to these	impact fees related to each of these serves, impacts to	MM 4.12-7.
public services would be reduced to a	these public services would be reduced to a less than	
less than significant level	significant level.	
Recreation		
Future development under the HEU	Future development under the HEU would increase	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
would increase the demand for and on	the demand on recreational services. However, with	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
parks and recreational services.	incorporation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
However, with incorporation of	4.13-1 and MM 4.13-2, which require compliance with	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.13-	City parkland requirements and payment of park fees,	mitigation measures are necessary with
1 and MM 4.13-2, impacts related to	impacts to parks and recreational facilities would be	implementation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM
parks and recreational facilities would be	reduced to a less than significant level.	4.13-1 and MM 4.13-2.
reduced to a less than significant level		
Transportation		
Future development under the HEU	Future development under the HEU could potentially	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
would increase the number of vehicular	worsen levels of service (LOS) for various intersections	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
trips in the Project area, which could	in the City, which could conflict with the City's policy to	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
conflict with City goals and policies	maintain specified performance standards for citywide	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
aimed at maintaining specific	LOS at traffic-signal-controlled intersections during	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
performance thresholds addressing	peak hours. Therefore, all future housing facilitated by	of mitigation measures MM 4.13-1 through MM 4.13-3.
circulation in the City. However, with	the HEU would be required to comply with General Plan	
incorporation of mitigation measures	goals and policies pertaining to LOS and would be	
GPU PEIR MM 4.13-1 through MM 4.13-	subject to compliance with mitigation measures GPU	
3, impacts to the circulation system	PEIR MM 4.14.1 through 4.14-3, which require future	
would be reduced to a less than	projects near specified intersections to make fair share	
significant level	contributions toward specified improvements.	
	Compliance with these goals and policies and	
	implementation of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM	
	4.14.1 through 4.14-3 would ensure that impacts	
	related to the City's circulation system would be	
	reduced to a less than significant level.	
Future development under the HEU	A total of 325 candidate housing sites would not require	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
would increase the number of vehicular	preparation of a VMT analysis based on Small Project	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which

## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 25 of 40 Page ID #:923

City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
trips in the Project area, which would	screening (<110 daily trips), low VMT area screening; or	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
generate additional vehicle miles	proximity to transit screening. A total of 53 candidate	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
travelled (VMT) that could result in	housing sites would not be screened out, thereby	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
conflicts with State guidelines pertaining	requiring additional VMT analysis at the time of	of mitigation measure MM Trans-1.
to VMT. However, with incorporation of	development application. Candidate housing sites that	
mitigation measure MM TRANS-1,	identify significant VMT impacts would require feasible	
impacts would be reduced to a less than	mitigation measures to reduce the project's VMT	
significant level	impacts. Consequently, future housing development on	
-	these 53 sites would be required to reduce their	
	average home-based VMT through compliance with	
	applicable General Plan goals and policies and	
	implementation of mitigation measure MM TRANS-1,	
	which identifies feasible mitigation strategies that	
	could help projects avoid or substantially reduce VMT-	
	related impacts to a less than significant level.	
	Furthermore, future housing development would be	
	subject to all State and local requirements for	
	minimizing VMT-related impacts. Therefore, future	
	housing developments on the 53 candidate housing	
	sites that were not screened out are presumed to result	
	in a less than significant with mitigation incorporated.	
Tribal Cultural Resources		
Construction activities associated with	It is currently infeasible to determine whether future	Finding 1. The City of Huntington Beach finds that the
implementation of the HEU could cause	development under the Project would result in the	identified changes or alterations in the Project, which
a substantial adverse change in the	disturbance of tribal cultural resources within the	would reduce this impact to a less than significant level,
significance of tribal remains on a	planning area. However, future projects would be	are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional
Project-level basis. With incorporation of	required to implement mitigation measures GPU PEIR	mitigation measures are necessary with implementation
mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.4-2	MM 4.4-2 and MM 4.4-3, which require project	of mitigation measures GPU PEIR MM 4.4-2 and MM 4.4-
and MM 4.4-3, these impacts would be	applicants to retain a qualified professional and/or	3.
reduced to a less than significant level.	Native American monitors to determine if the project	
	could result in impacts to tribal cultural resources and	
	also require the halting of all earth-disturbing activities	
	within 100-feet of a known discovery while data	
	recovery and other methods are implemented.	
	Implementation of these measures would ensure that	



## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 26 of 40 Page ID #:924

## City of Huntington Beach

2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding			
	Project impacts with respect to tribal cultural resources would be less than significant.				
Utilities and Service Systems					
Future development under HEU could require new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunication facilities. However, with implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.15-1, this impact would be considered less than significant.	Future development under the HEU could introduce the need for additional infrastructure or connections to existing infrastructure. With incorporation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.15-1, which requires future projects to demonstrate that there is adequate capacity in the wastewater collection system to accommodate discharges from future projects, and adherence to General Plan policies and existing City of Huntington Beach processes, impacts to water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunication facilities would be reduced to a less than significant level	<b>Finding 1.</b> The City of Huntington beach finds that the identified changes or alterations in the Project, which would reduce this impact to a less than significant level, are hereby incorporated into the Project. No additional mitigation measures are necessary with implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.15-1.			
The Project would result in a significant and unavoidable project-specific impact on existing water supplies despite implementation of mitigation measure GPU PEIR MM 4.15-2.	Given the uncertainty of water supplies across the western United States and throughout the state of California, a future supply deficit would result in a significant and unavoidable impact associated with water demands from future development facilitated by the proposed Project. Until such time as greater confidence in and commitment from water suppliers can be made, even with implementation of mitigation measure MM 4.15-2, which requires project-specific applicants to incorporate water conservation measures as part of future projects, and adherence to General Plan policies and existing regulations, the HEU would result in a significant and unavoidable impact related to water supplies.	<b>Finding 3.</b> The City of Huntington Beach finds that even with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and policies, the Project could result in a significant and unavoidable impact to water supplies. No mitigation measures in addition to GPU PEIR MM 4.15-2 are feasible to reduce water supply impacts to a less than significant level.			
The Project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to water demand and a corresponding significant and unavoidable cumulative impact with respect to water supply.	As with the Project-specific impact, given the uncertainty of water supply across the western United States and throughout the state of California, a future supply deficit would result in a significant and unavoidable impact. Until such time as greater	<b>Finding 3.</b> The City of Huntington Beach finds that even with implementation of all feasible mitigation measures and compliance with applicable General Plan goals and policies, the Project could result in a significant and unavoidable impact to water supplies. No mitigation			





## Case 8:23-cv-00421-FWS-ADS Document 50-2 Filed 06/06/23 Page 27 of 40 Page ID #:925

City of Huntington Beach 2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Impact Statement	Impact Summary	Impact Finding
	confidence in and commitment from water suppliers	measures in addition to MM 4.15-2 are feasible to
	can be made, even with implementation of mitigation	reduce cumulative water supply impacts to a less than
	measure GPU PEIR MM 4.15-2, the Project would result	significant level.
	in a cumulatively considerable contribution to water	
	supplies, resulting in a significant and unavoidable	
	cumulative impact.	

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

## **3.0 FINDINGS REGARDING PROJECT ALTERNATIVES**

## **3.1. Introduction**

The Draft SEIR prepared for the HEU considered two alternatives to the Project as proposed. Pursuant to Section 15126.6(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, the primary intent of an alternatives evaluation is to "describe a range of reasonable alternatives to the project, or to the location of the project, which would feasibly attain most of the basic objectives of the project but would avoid or substantially lessen any of the significant effects of the project, and evaluate the comparative merits of the alternatives."

This chapter describes the project objectives and criteria used to develop and evaluate project alternatives presented in the Draft SEIR. A description of the alternatives compared to the Project and the findings regarding the feasibility of adopting the described alternatives is presented for use by the City in the decision-making process.

## 3.2. Project Objectives

In accordance with State CEQA Guidelines §15124, the following primary objectives support the HEU's purpose, assist the City, as the lead agency, in developing a reasonable range of alternatives to be evaluated in this SEIR, and ultimately aid decision-makers in preparing findings and overriding considerations, if necessary. The HEU's purpose is to address the housing needs and objectives of the City and to meet the State Housing law requirements. The HEU has the following goals:

- Adopt State-mandated and locally desired programs to implement the City's Housing Element.
- Maintain and enhance the quality and affordability of existing housing in Huntington Beach.
- Provide adequate sites to accommodate projected housing unit needs at all income levels identified by the 2021-2029 RHNA.
- Provide for safe and decent housing for all economic segments of the community.
- Reduce governmental constraints to housing production, with an emphasis on improving processes for projects that provide on-site affordable units.
- Promote equal housing opportunities for all residents, including Huntington Beach's special needs populations.
- Promote a healthy and sustainable Huntington Beach through support of housing at all income levels that minimizes reliance on natural resources and automobile use.
- Maximize solutions for those experiencing or at risk of homelessness.
- Improve quality of life and promote placemaking.
- Affirmatively further fair housing.

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

## **3.3.** Selection of Alternatives

The range of feasible alternatives was selected and discussed in a manner to foster meaningful public participation and informed decision-making. Among the factors that were taken into account when considering the feasibility of alternatives (as described in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6[f][1]) were environmental impacts, economic viability, availability of infrastructure, regulatory limitations, jurisdictional boundaries, and attainment of project objectives. As stated in Section 15126.6(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, the Draft SEIR need not consider an alternative whose effects could not be reasonably identified, whose implementation is remote or speculative, or one that would not achieve the basic project objectives. The analysis includes sufficient information about each alternative to provide meaningful evaluation, analysis and comparison with the proposed project.

## 3.4. Project Alternative Findings

The following is a description of the alternatives evaluated in comparison to Project, as well as a description of the specific economic, social, or other considerations that make them infeasible for avoiding or lessening the impacts.

As shown below and in Chapter 7.0 (Alternatives) of the Draft SEIR, two alternatives were evaluated in comparison to the Project, including the No Project Alternative required by CEQA. The two alternatives analyzed represent a reasonable range of alternatives to the Project. The analysis in this section focuses on significant and unavoidable impacts attributable to each alternative and the ability of each alternative to meet basic project objectives.

### "No Project" Alternative (Alternative 1)

According to State CEQA Guidelines §15126.6(e), the specific alternative of "No Project" shall also be evaluated along with its impact. The purpose of describing and analyzing a No Project Alternative is to allow decision-makers to compare the impacts of approving the proposed Project with impacts of not approving the Project. The No Project Alternative analysis is required to discuss the existing conditions at the time the Notice of Preparation is published (August 4, 2021), as well as what would be reasonably expected to occur in the foreseeable future, if the Project were not approved, based on current plans and consistent with available infrastructure and community services.

Under Alternative 1, development within the City would proceed pursuant to the adopted City General Plan and zoning. The City's projected regional housing need for the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle RHNA planning period (2021-2029) is 13,368 dwelling units (11,743 units when accounting for existing applications and pipeline projects). Under Alternative 1, the City would not implement the Housing Program required to comply with State law, to accommodate the lower-income RHNA units, including amendments to existing land use designations and zoning districts, an affordable housing overlay, and identification of underutilized, residentially-zoned parcels in an inventory of candidate housing sites. In total, the HEU identifies 378 candidate housing sites (approximately 419 acres). The proposed amendments to the Huntington Beach

## ER-339

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

General Plan and the City of Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Text and Zoning Map amendments) for changes to land use designations and base/overlay districts, as well as ancillary amendments to other planning documents, would not be implemented. These amendments, which are needed to accommodate future housing sites as part of the HEU's Implementation Program, would not be implemented at the 378 identified candidate housing sites. The capacity to develop 11,743 additional housing units that would be facilitated by Project implementation would not be provided under the No Project Alternative. Because the Project proposes only three candidate housing sites (Sites 3, 4, and 5) for rezoning, and all other sites would retain their existing underlying zoning, under Alternative 1, rezoning of Sites 3, 4, and 5 would not occur and existing zoning would remain in place.

Under this alternative, State Housing Law and legislative requirements for implementation of the Project's proposed programs and strategies to increase housing capacity and the production of affordable dwelling units in the City would not occur. Overall, Alternative 1 would not consider the candidate housing sites and adoption of the land use amendments and rezones necessary to achieve the City's RHNA. As a result, the capacity for 11,743 multi-family housing units would not be created. This alternative would not satisfy the Project objectives stated above because implementation of Alternative 1 would not facilitate the development of sufficient residential units to meet the City's RHNA allocation and would not satisfy legislative mandates for the HEU.

### **Findings**

The No Project Alternative would result in fewer impacts than the Project. Although this Alternative could reduce environmental impacts from future housing development facilitated by the HEU, the No Project Alternative would not achieve any of the project objectives. The No Project Alternative would not provide adequate housing sites to meet the City's 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle RHNA allocation or satisfy State housing law including AB 1397. Under the No Project Alternative, the City would not meet its RHNA obligations. Thus, this Alternative would directly conflict with California Government Code §65583, which stipulates that a jurisdiction must assess its housing element every eight years and identify adequate sites for housing and provide for the existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community.

## Beach and Edinger Corridors Alternative (Alternative 2)

As with the proposed Project, the Beach and Edinger Corridors Alternative (Alternative 2) would meet the City's RHNA. However, residential development under Alternative 2 would be concentrated around the Beach and Edinger Corridors area of the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan (Specific Plan 14). More specifically, new residential development would occur in portions of Specific Plan 14's Transition Corridor Areas (TCAs), which would support transit-oriented communities, and on fewer total parcels. This would have the effect of further reducing vehicle miles traveled (VMT), transportation-related energy demands, and associated criteria air pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions associated with housing development. However, this approach would require taller building heights and higher densities to achieve the target housing production in this area necessary to meet the RHNA, which could result in increased aesthetic

30 ER-**340** 

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

impacts as compared to the Project. This alternative would also create dense/confined residential development and not expand housing opportunities across the City and would not affirmatively further fair housing to the same degree as the Project.

### **Findings**

Alternative 2 would meet the majority of the project objectives as it is assumed that development under this alternative would meet the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle RHNA housing needs. However, Alternative 2 would fail to affirmatively further fair housing since this alternative would not provide new housing within highest resources areas with access to highly rated schools, parks and community amenities. New housing would be concentrated within one area of the City. Furthermore, Alternative 2 could result in additional constraints to housing because the densities necessary to accommodate all of the RHNA within the Specific Plan may not be supported by the market (e.g., land and construction costs), which could potentially make it cost-prohibitive for developers to construct housing. As such, because Alternative 2 would fail to affirmatively further fair housing and could result in additional constraints to the construction of housing, this alternative would likely not be certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), as it would not substantially conform to Housing Element Law.

## **Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Future Consideration**

Five additional alternatives were initially considered during the scoping and planning process, but were not selected for detailed analysis in the Draft SEIR. These included: Reduced Dwelling Units Alternative, Alternate Housing Sites Alternative, Palm/Goldenwest Specific Plan (SP 12) Alternative, Huntington Harbour Area Sites Alternative, and McDonnell Centre Business Park Specific Plan (SP 11) Alternative.

#### **Reduced Dwelling Units Alternative**

A Reduced Dwelling Units Alternative was considered, but rejected from further consideration. This alternative was considered to assess if it would help mitigate the significant and unavoidable impact to potable water resources associated with the proposed Project, as future housing development facilitated by the Project would incrementally increase the demand for potable water. The projected water demand associated with Project implementation at buildout would increase water demand in the City by approximately 2,905 acre-feet per year (AFY), or approximately 11 percent over existing 2022 and projected 2030 City demands. While the Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) did not specifically account for the population growth associated with the Project, it did project that the City would serve a population of 206,499 persons by 2030<sup>1</sup>, which is an additional 9,625 persons over the City's existing population of 196,874 persons.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, it can be inferred that at least a portion (approximately 54 percent<sup>3</sup>, or 949 AFY) of the water demand associated with the Project population growth was accounted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UWMP Table 3-2: Retail: Population - Current and Projected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State of California Department of Finance. 2021. *E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2011-2021 with 2010 Census Benchmark*. <u>https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/e-5/</u> (accessed June 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Based on 25,020 persons/9,625 persons.

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

for in the UWMP's anticipated 2030 future water demand. Thus, after considering the existing water demand associated with the displaced land uses that would be removed, the approximately 54 percent assumed to be already accounted for in the UWMP's anticipated population growth, and unaccounted for net Project water demand of approximately 46 percent or 823 AFY which would remain unmet. In order to not exceed the projected water resources for the City, the Reduced Dwelling Units Alternative would have to reduce the number of housing units to a number that would fail to meet the basic RHNA requirements.

### Alternate Housing Sites Alternative

The Alternate Housing Sites Alternative was considered, but rejected from further consideration. This alternative was determined to be infeasible during the scoping process because alternative housing sites not included in the scope of the Project were found to be infeasible due to regulations, site constraints, property owner interest in developing housing, community input, and existing uses. Additionally, some candidate housing sites were considered, but rejected because potentially significant effects of future housing development would be avoided or substantially lessened by rejecting those sites. Examples of alternative sites initially considered are discussed below.

### Palm/Goldenwest Specific Plan (SP 12) Alternative

This is a 96-acre area bordered by Pacific Coast Highway, Goldenwest Street, and Seapoint Street and is located entirely within the Coastal Zone. The property is designated for visitor serving commercial uses within the Palm/Goldenwest Specific Plan. At the time the specific plan was adopted in 2000, the property was an active oil field. Aera Energy owned the property and indicated that the property would remain in oil production for the next 15 to 20 years. As such, the specific plan was adopted to plan for reuse of the site after oil production activities ceased.



#### Palm/Goldenwest Specific Plan 12

This site was originally identified as a candidate housing site in the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle Housing Element because of its large size and its potential availability for residential development within the planning period (based on the information in SP 12). Housing capacity on the site, when applying the proposed Affordable

City of Huntington Beach	Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program	Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

Housing Overlay, would accommodate 40 to 50 percent of the City's total RHNA (96 acres x 55 du/acre up to 96 acres x 70 du/acre). Although this site could accommodate residential uses, the site is located within higher resource areas that could result in greater environmental impacts than other sites included in the scope of the Project. The following are reasons why this alternative was rejected:

- The location of the site within the Coastal Zone would require the California Coastal Commission to approve the Affordable Housing Overlay designation; timing of the "rezoning" effort could be lengthy with no guarantee of approval from the Coastal Commission.
- The potential for costly remediation of the site due to its historic use as oil field.
- The property owner no longer anticipates oil production activities to cease as described in SP 12. Therefore, the property is not expected to be available for development prior to 2030.
- The concentration of almost 50 percent of RHNA allocation on one site may lead to overconcentration of affordable housing in one area.

## Huntington Harbour Area Sites Alternative

There are two commercial areas in the Huntington Harbour area with a combined acreage of 21.5 acres. One area is the Huntington Harbour mall, which is an older mall developed in the 1960s. This 10.8-acre site was identified as a potential candidate housing site because it is underutilized with one and two-story buildings developed at a relatively low floor-area-ratio (FAR) considering that the maximum allowed FAR is 1.5. The site has potential to be redeveloped as a mixed-use project with the inclusion of residential units at 30 du/acre. The site has close access to Warner Avenue, a major arterial. The second area is Peter's Landing. This site includes the Peter's Landing commercial center and adjacent properties along Pacific Coast Highway, and has been studied for mixed use (residential/commercial) in prior General Plan planning efforts. In addition, the property owners previously showed interest in adding residential uses in existing or new development projects on the sites. Previous site analyses on this site indicate that residential could be accommodated at higher densities.



**Peter's Landing Area** 



**Huntington Harbour Mall** 

City of Huntington Beach	Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
2021-2029 HEU Implementation Program	Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

The following are reasons why this alternative was rejected:

- The location of these sites within the Coastal Zone would require the California Coastal Commission to approve any changes to the zoning/land use designation including an Affordable Housing Overlay designation. As such, the timing of the "rezoning" effort could be length with no guarantee that the Coastal Commission would approve the amendments, particularly because residential is a lower priority use in the Coastal Zone.
- These sites, in conjunction with the general Huntington Harbour area, are shown in the City's Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment as one of the most vulnerable areas in the City with development in this area having the highest exposure to sea level rise hazards (e.g., storm and non-storm flood projections becoming widespread with 1.6-foot and 3.3-foot sea level rise, respectively).

### McDonnell Centre Business Park Specific Plan (SP 11) Alternative

The McDonnell Centre Business Park Specific Plan encompasses 307 acres in the northwestern portion of the City. It has access from Bolsa Chica Street and Bolsa Avenue, both major arterials, with close access to the 405 freeway. The area was first developed for the aerospace industry in the 1960s and a specific plan was adopted in 1997 with amendments in 2002 and 2006 that allowed for approximately eight million square feet of industrial, office, and ancillary uses (including the existing development). Boeing has been the primary landowner in the area, although other major business tenants have moved into the specific plan area. In 2018, Boeing began marketing some of its properties in the specific plan area. As such, the City evaluated housing potential within portions of the specific plan area for the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle, particularly workforce housing and lower income worker housing. The specific plan could accommodate a large capacity of housing units at higher densities due to its size and existing and planned infrastructure.





McDonnell Centre Business Park Specific Plan (SP 11)

City of Hun	tington Beach	
2021-2029	<b>HEU Implementation</b>	Program

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

The following are reasons why this alternative was rejected:

- There is a strong market for industrial land in this area of the City. The site was even more attractive to potential developers due to its proximity to the freeway and because zoning and environmental approvals were already in place.
- Potential conflicts between industrial uses and residential uses.
- Potential costs to remediate site to residential standards.
- Properties have already started redeveloping with new industrial buildings recently completed and future phases approved.

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

## 4.0 STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

## 4.1. Introduction

#### Section 15093 of the CEQA guidelines states:

- (a) CEQA requires the decision-making agency to balance, as applicable, the economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of a proposed project against its unavoidable environmental risks when determining whether to approve the project. If the specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of a proposed project outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects, the adverse environmental effects may be considered "acceptable."
- (b) When the lead agency approves a project which will result in the occurrence of significant effects which are identified in the Final EIR but are not avoided or substantially lessened, the agency shall state in writing the specific reason to support its actions based on the Final EIR and/or other information in the record. The statement of overriding considerations shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record.
- (c) If an agency makes a statement of overriding considerations, the statement should be included in the record of the project approval and should be mentioned in the notice of determination.

The City of Huntington Beach proposes to adopt a Statement of Overriding Considerations regarding the significant cumulative air quality, greenhouse gas, hydrology and water quality, noise, and utilities/water supply impacts of the Project. This section describes the anticipated benefits and other considerations of the Project to support the decision to proceed, even though significant and unavoidable impacts are anticipated.

## 4.2. Significant Adverse Project and Cumulative Impacts

The City of Huntington Beach is proposing to approve the proposed Project, with revisions to reduce environmental impacts, and has prepared a SEIR as required by CEQA. Even with revisions to the Project, the following impacts have been identified as being unavoidable as there are no feasible mitigation measures available to further reduce the impacts. Refer to Chapter 2 (CEQA Findings) for further clarification regarding the impact listed below.

## **Air Quality**

Despite compliance with General Plan policies, GPU PEIR mitigation, and MM AQ-1 and AQ-2, the Project would result in significant and unavoidable impacts concerning construction-related and operational emissions. In addition, sites over two acres could expose sensitive receptors to significant impacts by exceeding construction LST thresholds. The Project-related contribution of daily construction and operational emissions associated with the HEU are considered cumulatively significant and unavoidable.

## **Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

Despite the recommendation of Greenhouse Gas Reduction program GHG reduction strategies, the Project would generate GHG emissions that may have a significant impact on the environment and could

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

conflict with applicable plans for reducing GHG emissions. Therefore, impacts on GHG are considered significant and unavoidable, both for the Project and cumulative conditions.

### Hydrology and Water Quality

The Project could substantially decrease groundwater supplies resulting in a significant and unavoidable impact concerning sustainable management of the Basin. The Project's impact concerning groundwater supplies would be cumulatively considerable and a significant unavoidable impact would occur.

#### Noise

Despite compliance with GPU PEIR mitigation, the Project would result in significant and unavoidable impacts concerning construction-related noise and vibration levels and operational noise levels associated with traffic. The Project's impact concerning the substantial temporary and permanent increase of ambient noise levels would be cumulatively considerable. The Project's impact concerning construction-related noise and groundborne vibration would also be cumulatively considerable.

### **Utilities and Service Systems**

Despite compliance with GPU PEIR mitigation, until the water supply situation improves, the water demands from future development pursuant to the HEU would result in a significant and unavoidable impact concerning water supplies. Additionally, until such time as greater confidence in and commitment from water suppliers can be made, or the water supply situation improves, the Project's impacts concerning water supplies to serve future development would be cumulatively considerable.

## 4.3. Findings

The City of Huntington Beach has evaluated all feasible mitigation measures and potential changes to the Project with respect to reducing the impacts that have been identified as significant and unavoidable (see Chapter 2, CEQA Findings). The City of Huntington Beach has also examined a reasonable range of alternatives to the project as proposed (see Chapter 3, Findings Regarding Project Alternatives). Based on this examination, the City of Huntington Beach has determined that the No Project Alternative is considered to be the environmentally superior alternative.

## 4.4. Overriding Considerations

Specific economic, social, or other considerations outweigh the significant and unavoidable impacts stated above. The reasons for proceeding with the proposed project, notwithstanding the identified significant and unavoidable impacts are described below.

#### **Proposed Project Benefits**

 The HEU would facilitate the development of a wide range of housing types in sufficient supply to meet the needs of current and future residents, particularly for persons with specific needs, including but not limited to extremely low, very low, and lower income households; seniors; persons

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

with disabilities; large households, single-parent households, people experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness, and farmworkers.

- The HEU would increase the supply of affordable housing in high opportunity/resource areas, including areas with access to employment opportunities, community facilities and services, and amenities.
- The HEU would provide a comprehensive system of support and would expand housing options aimed to prevent and end homelessness.
- 4) The HEU would reduce constraints to the development of housing, including affordable housing, through programs that allow ministerial approval processes, permit ready plans for Accessory Dwelling Units, a review and update of the City's small lot ordinance, and housing overlays in non-residential areas.
- 5) The HEU would address planning and monitoring goals for long-term affordability of adequate housing.
- 6) The HEU would facilitate the development of an accessible housing supply for all persons without discrimination in accordance with State and federal fair housing laws. The HEU would enhance existing lower resource neighborhoods by promoting livable, healthy, and safe housing for all residents.
- 7) The HEU provides a plan for meeting the City's RHNA goals and to affirmatively further fair housing, which substantially complies with State law, thereby enabling the City to achieve certification of the HEU through the California Department of Housing and Community Development. Certification of the HEU would also enable the City to maintain eligibility for funding programs tied to a compliant HEU.
- 8) The HEU would allow the City of to revitalize commercial corridors and older industrial areas by allowing for additional housing opportunities in the City while maintaining the character of existing, long-established single-family residential neighborhoods in the City. Consistent with General Plan Implementation Program LU-P.14, the Affordable Housing Overlay allows for housing within the Research and Technology zoned areas, which establishes housing opportunities for employees of business in these areas. The provisions of the Affordable Housing Overlay ensure that potential conflicts between residential and non-residential uses in these areas would be minimized. The City would continue to ensure that all standards for building design, streetscape design, and landscaping would be adhered to and would review development proposals to ensure consistency with the character and visual appearance of the surrounding neighborhood.
- 9) The HEU would encourage future housing developments to better integrate with alternative modes of traditional transport because over half of the candidate housing sites identified in the HEU are located along High Quality Transit Areas. New development would also be encouraged to promote and support public transit and alternative modes of transportation by incorporating bus turnouts and shaded bus stops (where appropriate) and providing enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities.
- 10) With more organized development and guided use of existing resources, such potential impacts to water supply can be monitored and improved for the health and benefit of residents. Further, park

Page 27

Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report Findings of Fact/Statement of Overriding Considerations

lands and open spaces can be protected and retained in place throughout the planning horizon to provide recreational benefits to residents, visitors and school aged students. A shift toward sustainable resources and self-sufficiency, as outlined in the HEU, will allow for the continuation of the valued way of life within the City of Huntington Beach throughout the planning horizon. For example, future projects would be required to comply with General Plan Goal ERC-15 and Policies ERC-15.A and ERC-15.B, which aim to maintain an adequate supply of water and distribution facilities capable of meeting existing and future water supply needs and require monitoring to reduce impacts to the water system in an effort to maintain and expand water supply and distribution facilities.

Page 28