

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 8:57 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: Feb 21 City Council Agenda Item 26

From: Linda Moon <lsapiro048@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2023 4:34 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: Feb 21 City Council Agenda Item 26

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATION

Meeting Date: 2/21/2023

Dear Mayor Strickland and City Council Members

Agenda Item No.: #26 (23-172)

I am a 48 year resident of Huntington Beach and I maintained a law practice here for 40 years before my retirement. I am writing in opposition to Item 26, Councilmember Burns' request to authorize the City Attorney to bring legal action to challenge the provisions of SB 9 and 10 and ADU requirements.

Senate Bill 9 – the California Housing Opportunity and More Efficiency (HOME) Act streamlines the process for a homeowner to create a duplex or subdivide an existing lot. Any new housing created as a result of this bill must meet a specific list of qualifications that protects historic districts, preserves environmental quality and the look of communities, and prevents tenants from being displaced. This legislation will provide access to more rental and ownership options for working families who would otherwise be priced out of neighborhoods. You have noted yourself that Huntington Beach is 95% built out. There is little room or resources to build affordable housing. Yet there continues to be a need for housing our workforce and the grown children we raised here. The legislation creates the ability for the city to increase housing in existing neighborhoods, without changing their character. The provisions of the Home Act would allow homeowners to choose to build a duplex on a single family lot, or if the lot is of sufficient size, to subdivide the lot into two lots and build a duplex on each lot. Building two modest units on a 6,000 foot lot or even a 3000 foot lot is less impactful than allowing a 4000 foot mansion on a 2500 square foot lot as occurs often in Huntington Beach. This legislation will allow more families to reside in low-density neighborhoods, which are currently financially prohibitive for them.

Senate Bill 10 authorizes a local government to voluntarily adopt an ordinance to zone any parcel for up to 10 units of residential density per parcel, at a height specified in the ordinance, if the parcel is located in a transit-rich area or an urban infill site, as those terms are defined. The City has no obligation to utilize the provisions of SB 10. There is, therefore, no reason to challenge its provisions.

Accessory Dwelling Units (authorized by prior separate legislation) provide needed housing for family members, students, the elderly, in-home healthcare providers, the disabled, and others, at below market prices within existing neighborhoods.

Duplexes, Quadplexes and ADU's will not solve Huntington Beach's housing crisis but they will create benign tools to reduce the need for affordable housing. Like previous litigation challenging housing mandates, lawsuits to challenge these statutes would be futile and costly.

Moore, Tania

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 9:26 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: City Council Meeting

From: vanessaweb@aol.com <vanessaweb@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 3:06 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: City Council Meeting

Dear Members of City Council,

We are unable to attend the meeting, but we want our voices to be heard and counted.

Item 23. We support the flying of the Pride flag as a symbol of the diversity and support for all. We feel it encourages visitors and businesses.

Item 26. We do not agree with Huntington Beach fighting compliance with the state mandate for Regional Housing Needs Allocation. We need people from all income levels to work in HB. We can't expect them to commute for hours to work for minimum wage. Everyday on social media, people are pleading, asking for referrals for homes or apartments. Many of them have lived here all their lives. Please do not waste your time and our money by fighting this.

Item 27. It is appropriate for the council to say the Pledge of Allegiance. It is not necessary to have an invocation which can be exclusionary.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vanessa and Jeff Webster
19421 Hansen Ln.

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/21/2023

Agenda Item No.: #26 (23-172)

Moore, Tania

From: christopher.j.varga@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 12:22 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL; supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: City Council Meeting 2-21-23

Huntington Beach City Council Meeting 2/21/23

My comments on the following Agenda Items:

Agenda Item 23 (23-165): Flags on Government Property

I **oppose** this ordinance as it will have and is having a negative impact on local businesses and puts Huntington Beach in a negative light. Huntington Beach is a tourist destination and by flying flags that make people feel welcome should be displayed. We as a city should promote inclusion and not exclusion. Support Local Businesses that depend on all people from outside the city to come and spend their free time and money. This ordinance does not make Huntington Beach appear to be a place that all are welcome. Does this City Council listen to the public Comments? With the vast majority opposing this ordinance why doesn't this council listen? Are public comments not valued or are they something to ignore?

Agenda Item 26 (23-172): ADU Permits

I **oppose** this attempt to stop processing ADU permits. This is once again the CC attempt to not comply with the State of California on housing solution. This will cost the tax payers of Huntington Beach fighting a no win battle the state of California. Please stop this costly battle with the State.

General Comments:

I thought the Huntington Beach City Council was a non-partisan public office. Will the so called conservative council members ever break ranks? Or is it an "all for one and one for all" type mentality? I'm hoping that as some point, one of the recently elected council member will break away for a vote or two. Maybe the city council should be partisan so we have a better idea who we are voting for in the future. I just want to point out the 4 recently elected city council members roughly were vote in by approximately 20,000 votes each. That about 10% of the city population. Please keep the other 90% of the city population in mind when you make decisions that affect all of us.

Sincerely,
Chris Varga
40+ year resident of Huntington Beach

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/21/2023
Agenda Item No.: #26 (23-172)

Switzer, Donna

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 12:51 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: February 21 2023 meeting

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/21/23

From: Annilise Flanagan-Frankl <anniliseff@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 11:17 AM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>
Subject: February 21 2023 meeting

Agenda Item No.: 26(23-172)

I am unable to attend the meeting in person and wish to make a public comment on the following agenda items.

#23. I OPPOSE this item. HB needs to demonstrate it is an inclusive comment.

24. This needs more information

#25. This needs more information.

#26. I OPPOSE this item. State law applies to ALL communities.

#27. I OPPOSE this item. To be an inclusive community the separation of church and state should not intersect. This opens the door to unnecessary litigation.

Annilise Flanagan-Frankl

--

Annilise M. Flanagan-Frankl, M.A.

Counseling Psychologist

Nationally Certified School

Psychologist

Remember - the past is over, the future is a mystery, and today is a gift. That is why it is called the PRESENT.

Make today count.

Call: 847-226-3119

Switzer, Donna

From: Fikes, Cathy
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 1:23 PM
To: Agenda Alerts
Subject: FW: City Council Meeting 2-21-23

From: christopher.j.varga@gmail.com <christopher.j.varga@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 12:22 PM
To: CITY COUNCIL <city.council@surfcity-hb.org>; supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: City Council Meeting 2-21-23

Huntington Beach City Council Meeting 2/21/23

My comments on the following Agenda Items:

Agenda Item 23 (23-165): Flags on Government Property

I **oppose** this ordinance as it will have and is having a negative impact on local businesses and puts Huntington Beach in a negative light. Huntington Beach is a tourist destination and by flying flags that make people feel welcome should be displayed. We as a city should promote inclusion and not exclusion. Support Local Businesses that depend on all people from outside the city to come and spend their free time and money. This ordinance does not make Huntington Beach appear to be a place that all are welcome. Does this City Council listen to the public Comments? With the vast majority opposing this ordinance why doesn't this council listen? Are public comments not valued or are they something to ignore?

Agenda Item 26 (23-172): ADU Permits

I **oppose** this attempt to stop processing ADU permits. This is once again the CC attempt to not comply with the State of California on housing solution. This will cost the tax payers of Huntington Beach fighting a no win battle the state of California. Please stop this costly battle with the State.

General Comments:

I thought the Huntington Beach City Council was a non-partisan public office. Will the so called conservative council members ever break ranks? Or is it an "all for one and one for all" type mentality? I'm hoping that as some point, one of the recently elected council member will break away for a vote or two. Maybe the city council should be partisan so we have a better idea who we are voting for in the future. I just want to point out the 4 recently elected city council members roughly were vote in by approximately 20,000 votes each. That about 10% of the city population. Please keep the other 90% of the city population in mind when you make decisions that affect all of us.

Sincerely,
Chris Varga
40+ year resident of Huntington Beach

**SUPPLEMENTAL
COMMUNICATION**

Meeting Date: 2/21/23

Agenda Item No.: 26 (23-172)