M2 Expenditure Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025 Beginning and Ending Balances

	Description	Line No.	-	Amount	Interest		
B	nces at Beginning of Fiscal Year						
A-M	Freeway Projects	1	\$	_	\$ -		
0	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	2	\$	(89,351.00)	\$ 147.00		
Р	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	3	\$	(351,343.95)	\$ -		
Q	Local Fair Share	4	\$	5,115,802.60	·\$ -		
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	5	\$	-	\$ -		
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	6	\$	-	\$ -		
Т	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	7	\$	-	\$		
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	8	\$	217,517.56	_\$ ~		
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators	9	\$	(132,577.45)	\$ -		
W	Safe Transit Stops	10-	\$	-	-\$ -		
Х	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	11	\$	(169,473.00)	\$ 847.00		
	Other*	12	\$	119,466.49	\$· -		
Balances at Beginning of Fiscal Year			\$	4,710,041.25	\$ 994.00		
	Monies Made Available During Fiscal Year	14	\$	4,642,571.04	\$ 174,788.00		
Total	Monies Available (Sum Lines 13 & 14)	15	\$	9,352,612.29	\$ 175,782.00		
	Expenditures During Fiscal Year	16	\$	11,414,199.04	\$ 174,788.00		
Balar	nces at End of Fiscal Year						
A-M	Freeway Projects	17	\$		\$ -		
0	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	18	\$	(89,351.00)	\$ 147.00		
Р	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	19	\$	49,967.73	\$ -		
Q	Local Fair Share	20	\$	4,671,938.59	\$ -		
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	21	\$	-	\$ -		
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	22	\$	-	\$ -		
Т	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	23	\$	-	\$ -		
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	24	\$	128,970.82	\$ -		
ν	Community Based Transit/Circulators	25	\$	10,616.00	\$ -		
w	Safe Transit Stops	26	\$	-	\$		
Х	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	27	\$	324,580.53	\$ 847.00		
	Other*	28	\$	(7,158,309.42)	\$ -		

^{*} Please provide a specific description

^{*} The revenues for Other expenditures include developer/traffic impact fees (Fund 206), Gas Tax (Fund 207), and Traffic Congestion Relief Prop 42 (Fund 219).

M2 Expenditure Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025 Sources and Uses

	Description	Line No.	Γ	Amount	Interest		
Reve	enues:						
********	Freeway Projects	1	\$	-	\$	_	
0	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	2	\$	-	\$	-	
Р	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	3	\$	496,834.68	\$	-	
Q	Local Fair Share	4	\$	3,073,972.77	\$	169,260.00	
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	5	\$	_	\$	-	
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	6	\$	-	\$	-	
Т	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	7	\$	-	\$	-	
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	8	\$	217,813.88	\$	5,528.00	
V	Community Based Transit/Circulators	9	\$	359,896.18	\$	-	
W	Safe Transit Stops	10	\$	-	\$	-	
Х	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	11	\$	494,053.53	\$	-	
	Other*	12	\$	-	\$		
TOT	AL REVENUES (Sum lines 1 to 12)	13	\$	4,642,571.04	\$	174,788.00	
Ехре	nditures:	EG 5 A 6 164					
A-M	Freeway Projects	14	\$	-	\$	_	
0	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)	15	\$	-	\$	-	
Р	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)	16	\$	95,523.00	\$	-	
	Local Fair Share	17	\$	3,517,836.78	\$	169,260.00	
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service	18	\$	-	\$	-	
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink	19	\$	-	\$	-	
т	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-Speed Rail Systems	20	\$	-	\$	-	
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program	21	\$	306,360.62	\$	5,528.00	
	Community Based Transit/Circulators	22	\$	216,702.73	\$	-	
	Safe Transit Stops	23	\$		\$		
*******	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)	24	\$	-	\$	-	
	Other*	25	\$	7,277,775.91	\$	-	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Sum lines 14 to 25)			\$	11,414,199.04	\$	174,788.00	
TOT	AL BALANCE (Subtract line 26 from 13)	27	\$	(6,771,628.00)	\$	-	

^{*} Please provide-a specific description

^{*} The revenues for Other expenditures include developer/traffic impact fees (Fund 206), Gas Tax (Fund 207), and Traffic Congestion Relief Prop 42 (Fund 219).

M2 Expenditure Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025 Streets and Roads Detailed Use of Funds

Type of Expenditure	Line No.	MOE	Developer / Impact Fees [†]	О	O Interest	Р	P Interest	Q	Q Interest	х	X Interest	Other M2 ²	Other M2 Interest	Other*	TOTAL
Indirect and/or Overhead	1		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Construction & Right-of-Way										9983 5					
New Street Construction	2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Street Reconstruction	3a	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 673,226.28	\$ 673,226.28
Street Reconstruction - Pavement	3b	\$ 676,429.95	\$ 1,679,118.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,419,186.74	\$ 169,260.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,943,995.44
Signals, Safety Devices, & Street Lights	4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,523.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,679,118.75	\$ 1,774,641.75
Pedestrian Ways & Bikepaths	5	\$ 65,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 112,335.20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 177,335.20
Storm Drains	6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Storm Damage	7a	\$ 36,014.64	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,014.64
Storm Damage - Pavement	7b	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	. \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Construction ¹ (Sum Lines 2 to 7b)	8	\$ 777,444.59	\$ 1,679,118.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,523.00	\$ -	\$ 2,531,521.94	\$ 169,260.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,352,345.03	\$ 7,605,213.31
Right of Way Acquisition	9	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Construction & Right-of-Way (Sum Lines 8 & 9)	10	\$ 777,444.59	\$ 1,679,118.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,523.00	\$ -	\$ 2,531,521.94	\$ 169,260.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,352,345.03	\$ 7,605,213.31
Maintenance															
Patching	11	\$ 233,065.44	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 233,065.44
Overlay & Sealing	12	\$ 3,746,177.17	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 48,015.44	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,399,207.71	\$ 8,193,400.32
Street Lights & Traffic Signals	13	\$ 1,438,860.45	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 512,247.55	\$ 1,951,108.00
Storm Damage	14	\$ -	\$ -	-\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other Street Purpose Maintenance	15a	\$ 1,594,031.99	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 896,520.27	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,975.62	\$ 2,504,527.88
Other Street Purpose Maintenance - Pavement	15b	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,779.13	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,779.13
Total Maintenance ¹ (Sum Lines 11 to 15b)	16	\$ 7,012,135.05	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 986,314.84	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,925,430.88	\$ 12,923,880.77
Other	17		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 523,063.35	\$ 5,528.00	\$ -	\$ 528,591.35
GRAND TOTALS (Sum Lines 1, 10, 16, 17)	18	\$ 7,789,579.64	\$ 1,679,118.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,523.00	\$ -	\$ 3,517,836.78	\$ 169,260.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 523,063.35	\$ 5,528.00	\$ 7,277,775.91	\$ 21,057,685.43
Any California State Constitution Article XIX streets and road eligible expenditure may be "counted" in local jurisdictions' calculation of MOE if the activity is supported (funded) by a local jurisdictions' discretionary funds (e.g. general fund). The California State Controller also provides useful information on Article XIX and the Streets and Highways Code eligible expenditures in its "Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties". The revenues for Other expenditures include developer/traffic impact fees (Fund 206), Gas Tax (Fund 207), and Traffic Congestion Relief Prop 42 (Fund 219). I have reviewed and am aware of these guidelines and their applicability in calculating and reporting on Maintenance of Effort expenditures. Finance Director initial: Finance Director initial:															

¹ Includes direct charges for staff time

Legend

Legena					
Project	Description				
A-M	Freeway Projects				
0	Regional Capacity Program (RCP)				
Р	Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP)				
Q	Local Fair Share				
R	High Frequency Metrolink Service				
S	Transit Extensions to Metrolink				
т	Convert Metrolink Station(s) to Regional Gateways that connect Orange County with High-				
1	Speed Rail Systems				
U	Senior Mobility Program or Senior Non-Emergency Medical Program				
٧	Community Based Transit/Circulators				
W	Safe Transit Stops				
X	Environmental Cleanup Program (Water Quality)				

² Other M2 includes A-M, R,S,T,U,V, and W

Transportation related only
 Please provide a specific description

M2 Expenditure Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025 Local Fair Share Project List

PROJECT NAME	TYPE OF EXPENDITURE	AMOUNT	INTEREST
General Street Maintenance for Public Works	Other Street Purpose Maintenance	\$938,299	
Residential Pavement	Maintenance - Overlay & Sealing	\$48,015	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Arterial Rehabilitation 22-23	CON - Street Reconstruction - Pavement	\$33,288	***************************************
Arterial Rehabilitation 23-24	CON - Street Reconstruction - Pavement	\$30,400	***************************************
Admiralty Bridge Rehab	CON - Street Reconstruction - Pavement	\$ 247,315.00	**********************
Zone 1 Overlay	Maintenance - Overlay & Sealing	\$ 247,315.00 \$ 4,042.20	
Residential Zone Maint 24-25	CON - Street Reconstruction - Pavement	\$ 2,075,173.33	\$ 169,260.00
Arterial Rehab 24-25	CON - Street Reconstruction - Pavement		
Residential Curb Ramp 24-25	CON - Pedestrian Ways & Bikepaths	\$ 33,009.92 \$ 108,293.00	

			2.447.447.447.447.447.447.447.447
	TOTAL PROJECT Q EXPENDE	\$ 3,517,836.78	\$ 169,260.00

GRAND TOTAL PROJECT Q EXPENDED \$ 3,687,096.78

M2 Expenditure Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025

I hereby certify that:	
☑ All the information attached herein and included in schedule my knowledge;	s 1 through 4 is true and accurate to the best of
The interest earned on Net Revenues allocated pursuant to t purposes for which the Net Revenues were allocated;	he Ordinance shall be expended only for those
The City of Huntington Beach is aware of the State Controller for Cities and Counties", which is a guide for determining MOE	r's "Guidelines-Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures Expenditures for M2 Eligibility purposes;
The City's Expenditure Report is in compliance with direction Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties;" and	provided in the State Controller's "Guidelines
The City of Huntington Beach has expended in this fiscal year streets and roads purposes at least equal to or exceeding the FY	an amount of local discretionary funds for 2024-25 MOE benchmark dollar amount ¹¹ .
Robert Torrez	1525
Director of Finance (Print Name)	Date
Signature	

¹¹ Jurisdictions are encouraged to submit MOE eligible expenditures higher than their MOE benchmark, so that should certain expenses be ruled ineligible during an MOE audit, the local jurisdiction still has sufficient MOE expenditures to demonstrate continued achievement of the MOE benchmark.