

From: [H Meyers](#)
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Subject: City Council meeting June 2, Agenda Item 14 – Adopt Resolution No. 2026-25: General Plan Amendment No. 21-003
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2026 8:17:58 PM

I am a long-term resident of Huntington Beach.

I urge you to adopt (item 14) the Resolution No. 2026-25: General Plan Amendment No. 21-003 (2021–2029 Housing Element Update). Far too much money has already been wasted on law suits that should never have been filed. The city has lost. Continuing to fight the State on this will result in more lost taxpayer money and any local control over housing authority. We need more housing and more affordable housing.

Please stop throwing our money away and adopt the housing element.

Thank you,
Hildy Meyers

From: [Pat Goodman](#)
To: [CITY COUNCIL \(INCL. CMO STAFF\)](#); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org
Cc: [Hopkins, Travis](#); [Villasenor, Jennifer](#); [Vigliotta, Mike](#)
Subject: Support Agenda Item # 14 on the June 2, 2026 City Council Meeting.
Date: Monday, June 1, 2026 11:13:45 AM

Dear Mayor McKeon and City Council,

Please vote to adopt the 6th Cycle Housing Element and bring Huntington Beach into compliance with California housing law. The Housing Element law, signed by Governor Ronald Reagan in 1967, requires local jurisdictions to plan for meeting the housing needs of their residents. Huntington Beach, as the fourth-largest city in Orange County by population and 5th in land area, is expected to accommodate its fair share of regional housing needs under the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) process.

The Superior Court has intervened in this matter and is seeking to compel the City to adopt a compliant Housing Element. Rather than continuing costly litigation, the City should take this opportunity to regain control of the process and move forward.

Housing contributes positively to the local economy. New housing generates property tax revenue, supports local businesses, and increases consumer spending. As the Council explores new sources of revenue, adopting the Housing Element can help position the City for long-term economic growth. Coupling housing production with efforts to attract and retain businesses will strengthen employment opportunities and further enhance the local economy.

Adopting the Housing Element will also help the City avoid court-imposed penalties, including fines of up to \$50,000 per month and the potential appointment of a receiver to oversee planning and zoning functions. Such actions would significantly reduce local control over land-use decisions. Is that the outcome the Council wants?

Only three current Council members voted to initiate the lawsuit against the State. This item provides the Council majority with an opportunity to take ownership of the issue, restore compliance with state law, and preserve local decision-making authority. You can pursue housing legislation through our State Senator, Assemblymember, and federal representatives.

In addition, compliance with state housing law would restore the City's eligibility for Permanent Local Housing Allocation sometimes to refer to as SB 2 funding, planning grants and other housing-related funding opportunities that Huntington Beach has been unable to access in recent years.

For these reasons, I urge you to adopt the 6th Cycle Housing Element and move Huntington Beach toward compliance, fiscal responsibility, and local control.

Thank you, vote YES on Agenda Item # 14.

Thank you.

Pat Goodman

From: [Paula Schaefer](#)
To: [CITY COUNCIL \(INCL. CMO STAFF\)](#); supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; [Vigliotta, Mike](#)
Subject: June 2, 2026 Agenda File 26-482
Date: Monday, June 1, 2026 3:52:19 PM

Council Members:

Vote NO to adopt this housing element as written. The supplemental communications received to date indicate that there are a number of inaccuracies that must be corrected. If these inaccuracies are not corrected, the City faces a rejection of the housing element by the State's Housing and Community Development Department (HCD).

However, assuming that these corrections are made prior to submitting the housing element to HCD, I say, finally!

The absolute waste of taxpayer funds to fight the HCD is simply unconscionable.

--

Paula A. Schaefer

From: [Carol Daus](#)
To: supplementalcomm@surfcity-hb.org; [CITY COUNCIL \(INCL. CMO STAFF\)](#)
Subject: Agenda Item #14- Resolution 2026-25
Date: Monday, June 1, 2026 4:18:42 PM

Dear Mayor McKeon and Council Members,

I urge you to vote Yes on agenda item #14 and Adopt Resolution 2026-25 to restore local control of our housing. We do not want "builder's remedy" to initiate construction of high-density, affordable housing anywhere in the city, as it happened in Beverly Hills. This could happen without a housing element, which you've been putting off for three years.

Your city council has cost HB taxpayers millions of dollars in a fight that was unwinnable from the start. It's time to stop this reckless retribution against Sacramento and comply with the state mandates involving the housing element. Michael Gates' theory about a charter city's right to control housing proved false and was even denied by the conservative Supreme Court. Conservative President Ronald Reagan, who supported and signed the California Housing Element in 1967, understood the need for housing for future generations. Now would be a good time to practice fiscal conservatism instead of pursuing costly lawsuits that cannot succeed.

Finally, I urge you not to expand the liquor license for Distractions Lounge, the bikini bar near Westmont Elementary School. It's bad enough that you voted to allow the sale of liquor at our Sports Complex, right next to a playground. Must you continue to put alcohol sales tax revenue above children?

Thanks for hearing my concerns.

Best regards,

Carol Daus
714-235-1187